Vol II Issue XII June 2013

Impact Factor: 1. 2018 ISSN No:2231-5063

# Monthly Multidisciplinary Research Journal

Golden Research
Thoughts

Chief Editor
Dr.Tukaram Narayan Shinde

Publisher Mrs.Laxmi Ashok Yakkaldevi Associate Editor Dr.Rajani Dalvi

Honorary Mr.Ashok Yakkaldevi

#### IMPACT FACTOR: 1. 2018

#### Welcome to ISRJ

#### RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

ISSN No.2230-7850

Indian Streams Research Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial Board readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

#### International Advisory Board

Flávio de São Pedro Filho

Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

Kamani Perera Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri

Lanka

Janaki Sinnasamy

Librarian, University of Malaya [

Malaysia ]

Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania

Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest,

Romania

Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur

Titus Pop

Mohammad Hailat Hasan Baktir

Dept. of Mathmatical Sciences, English Language and Literature

University of South Carolina Aiken, Aiken SC Department, Kayseri

29801

Abdullah Sabbagh Engineering Studies, Sydney

Catalina Neculai University of Coventry, UK

Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Loredana Bosca

Spiru Haret University, Romania

Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

George - Calin SERITAN

Postdoctoral Researcher

Ghayoor Abbas Chotana

Department of Chemistry, Lahore University of Management Sciences [ PK

Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania

Horia Patrascu

Spiru Haret University, Bucharest,

Romania

Ilie Pintea, Spiru Haret University, Romania

Xiaohua Yang PhD, USA Nawab Ali Khan

College of Business Administration

#### **Editorial Board**

Pratap Vyamktrao Naikwade Iresh Swami

ASP College Devrukh, Ratnagiri, MS India Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur

R. R. Patil

Head Geology Department Solapur

University, Solapur

Rama Bhosale Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education,

Panvel

Salve R. N.

Department of Sociology, Shivaji

University, Kolhapur

Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai

Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar Arts, Science & Commerce College,

Indapur, Pune

Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya

N.S. Dhaygude Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur

Narendra Kadu Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune

K. M. Bhandarkar

Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia

Sonal Singh

Vikram University, Ujjain

G. P. Patankar

Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary

Director, Hyderabad AP India.

S.Parvathi Devi

Ph.D.-University of Allahabad

Secretary, Play India Play (Trust), Meerut Sonal Singh

Rajendra Shendge

Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University,

Solapur

R. R. Yalikar

Director Managment Institute, Solapur

Umesh Rajderkar

Head Humanities & Social Science

YCMOU, Nashik

S. R. Pandya

Head Education Dept. Mumbai University, Mumbai

Alka Darshan Shrivastava S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar

> Rahul Shriram Sudke Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore

S.KANNAN Ph.D, Annamalai University, TN

Satish Kumar Kalhotra

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India Cell: 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.isrj.net

Golden Research Thoughts Volume 2, Issue. 12, June. 2013 **ISSN:-2231-5063** 

Available online at www.aygrt.isrj.net

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE





## POLITICAL COMPETENCY AMONG BACKWARD CLASSES IN RURAL AREA- A CASE OF HARYANA

#### PREM KUMAR AND SUNIL KUMAR

Associate Professor, Department of Sociology, K.U. Kurukshetra(Haryana)

#### **Abstract:**

The present study was carried out to know the Political Competency among Backward Classes in rural Haryana. On the basis of literacy rate, 267 respondents from four villages namely, Kardhan, Gokalgarh, Dhanauri village and Chautala village were selected with the help of Stratified Random sampling. The study reveals that majority of the respondents know the name of the Chief Minister and MLA/MP of their constituency. Maximum respondents knew the meaning of democracy, equality of right, adult franchise, midterm election and lok sabha/vidhan sabha etc. It is also found that majority of the respondents (63.3%) agreed that sometimes politician seem so complicated but disagreed (81.65%) on the point that most political parties care only winning the elections. The study highlighted that caste plays a major role in creating hurdles in decision making process in political sphere. The study shows that majority of the people cast their vote on the basis caste. Regarding the involvement in a political party, only 17.6 % respondents were found having worked for political parties but reluctant on joining an organisation for the OBC's. The study highlights that maximum of the backward classes people were consulted in decision making process at village level but cast their vote on the basis of caste irrespective of their educational advancement.

#### **KEYWORDS:**

Backward Caste; Sanskritisation; Political Compenetce; Gram Panchyat; Caste Associations

#### INTRODUCTION

The political system consists of political institutions (e.g. government associations, political parties) and political organizations existing in a society performing various kinds of functions based on the set norms and goals as per the constitutional and political philosophy of the State (Almond and James, 1960). The traditional rural political system was based on the zamindari system, the caste system and the village Panchayat. After independence, the power holders in rural areas are classified on the basis of the ownership and control of land; their caste; their numerical strength and on the basis of the position they hold (Ahuja, Ram, 1999). At present, the term 'backward classes' denotes three different categories of castes such as Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs) and OBCs/SEBCs (Other Backward Classes / Socially and Educationally Backward Classes). Andre Beteille (1992)defines "OBCs are residual category, there is highly ambiguous; and it is impossible to give an exact statement of their number". As per K.L. Sharma (1997) the OBCs are those, which are not as backward as the SCs and STs; OBCs comprises the non–untouchable and intermediate castes that were traditionally engaged in agriculture, animal husbandry and functional services. The position of OBCs is far better than the SCs & STs. OBCs/SEBCs in Haryana. The term 'Backward Classes' in its narrow sense is that is it does not include SCs and STs. But in common and popular use it is termed as 'Backward Classes' (Prasad, Anirudh 1997).

Political competence is the skill, perspectives, and values needed for effective political

Title :POLITICAL COMPETENCY AMONG BACKWARD CLASSES IN RURAL AREA- A CASE OF HARYANA Source:Golden Research Thoughts [2231-5063] PREM KUMAR AND SUNIL KUMAR yr:2013 vol:2 iss:12



movement. It is the ability to understand what you can and cannot control when to take action, anticipate who is going to resist your agenda and determine who you need on your side to push your agenda forward. Political competence knows how to work through coalitions. (An interview of Professor Samuel B.Bacharach by Daniel Gherghe-2005). Easton and Dennis viewed political competence involving three basic dimensions: (1) a general belief that government is responsive to citizen influence; (2) skills necessary for effective political behaviour; and (3) a psychological disposition or feeling of confidence in one's personal ability to influence salient government decisions. Almond and Verba (1963) defined political or citizen competence as an ability to exert influence in the decision-making process. The willingness to participate concerns the extent of citizen engagement in public affairs as a pure quantitative measure, the ability to do so refers to the competence of citizens to further their interests in a purposeful manner (Milner 2002)

The available literature on the Other Backward Classes or SEBCs in India reflects the particular aspect of backwardness. In a study by Rose William (1968) on Noniya community, a sudra caste found in M.P., U.P. and Bihar which now acclaim the status of a well known warrior clan, the Chauhan Rajput. Verma (1979) in his study on the Kurmi Sabha in North India found the process of social transformation amongst Kurmi people who had a manifest goal of acquiring a higher ritual rank by accepting kashatriya model of sanskritization and the latent goal of legitimising the access to higher strategic resources of power since from the pre independence era. The latent goal has now become manifest. The aim of the Sabha has shifted from sacred to secular goals. Lucia Michelutti's (2004) work carried out in Mathura town on Yadavas and found that Yadavas, who were a low to the middle ranked peasant class, have become a significant political force in U.P. & Bihar by demonstrating their political activism which was partly linked to their descent view of caste, folk theories of religious descent, factionalism. Sudha Pai and Jagpal Singh (1997) examined an ongoing process of politicization and resulting conflict between Dalits (SCs) and the Most Backward Castes (a section of OBCs.) in four villages of Meerut district in western Uttar Pradesh after and before the Lok Sabha Elections of 1996. The study indicated that MBCs have begun confrontation with the OBCs and the Dalits. E. Venkatesu (2005) analysed the trends and limitations of Caste Associations or Federations of Caste Associations in the Backward Castes mobilization. Only three caste associations such as Munnurukapu, Gouds (toddy-tappers), and Padmashali, were chosen for analysis. These associations were found helpful to protect their economic rights and to prepare its peoples to participate in the newly emerged democratic political system by organizing, mobilizing, articulating their interests and to participate in the political process. B.B. Mohanty (2003), based on his study concludes that in Maharashtra the members of backward classes and women take part in the decision making process in the Gram Sabha. In Haryana state, D.C. Miglani found that the voters their favourable choice as middle-aged persons (31-40 years). Satyakam Joshi (2003) in a study conducted in 1999 concludes that with regular elections, the position of upper castes has been challenged by the middle and OBCs as they have begun to assert their rights. S.S. Sharma in his study of western UP re-confirmed the significance of caste in local politics. The study also highlight that landlords and cultivators were dominating the panchayat and exlambardars were found to be losing position. M. Sam Roy in his study found that the caste, numerical strength and ward system play relatively more significant role in comparison to other social, economic and political variables in ensuring representation at local levels. D. S. Choudhry (1981) revealed that that even after democratization, the monopoly in politics of rich and well to do families continues at the grass-root level irrespective of caste, education and age and family.

In the present context, the problem has taken serious shape due to political motives in our society. In fact, they are used as a vote-bank in the field of power and politics. So now-a-days it has become a fertile area for sociologists to study such phenomenon (i.e. OBCs). Keeping these views in mind, we have decided to conduct a study on Political Competency among Other Backward Classes in rural Haryana.

#### METHODOLOGY, SAMPLING AND DATA COLLECTION TECHNIQUES

The present study was carried out in rural Haryana on the basis of literacy rate. Four villages namely, Kardhan village in Ambala district, Gokalgarh village in Rewari district were selected from high literacy rate and Dhanauri village in Jind district, Chautala village in Sirsa district were selected from the low literacy rate. Stratified Random sampling was used in the present study and 267 respondents were selected from the universe i.e. 20% from each caste. All the heads of the household have been interviewed with the help of interview-schedule to get information. In the secondary sources - Village Panchayat record, village health worker survey register, report of backward classes commission, census report and other concerned literature have been used in the present study. Realizing the importance of political competency, the study tries to know the political competency among Backward Classes.



#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table No. 1

| Sr. No | Description of items                        | Responses of Respondents |            |             |  |
|--------|---|--------------------------|------------|-------------|--|
|        |   | Yes                      | No         | Total       |  |
| 1      | Do you know the name of the M.L.A & M.P. of | 245(91.8)                | 22(8.2)    | 267(100.00) |  |
|        | your constituency?                          |                          |            |             |  |
| 2      | Do you know the name of the Chief Minister? | 251(94.00)               | 16(6.00)   | 267(100.00) |  |
| 3      | Should Adult take part in active politics?  | 201(75.3)                | 66(24.7)   | 267(100.00) |  |
| 4      | Should uneducated have right to vote?       | 242(90.6)                | 25(9.4)    | 267(100.00) |  |
| 5      | Should women take part in politics?         | 80(30.00)                | 187(70.00) | 267(100.00) |  |
| 6      | Should students take part in politics?      | 233(87.3)                | 34(12.7)   | 267(100.00) |  |

Note: Figures given in brackets represent percentage

Table No. 1 reveals that majority of the respondents i.e. above 91% know the name of the Chief Minister and MLA/MP of their constituency. Maximum respondents i.e. above 75% argued that adult and students should take part in politics. Majority of respondents (90.6%) acquainted with the fact that uneducated person have right to vote but a large number of respondents (70.0%) did not support that women should take part in politics.

Table No. 2

| Sr. No | Description of items                     | Responses of Respondents |          |            |            |
|--------|--|--------------------------|----------|------------|------------|
|        |  | Yes                      | No       | Don't Know | Total      |
| 1      | Knowledge of democracy                   | 154(57.7)                | 30(11.2) | 83(31.1)   | 276(100.0) |
| 2      | Knowledge of adult franchise             | 149(55.8)                | 18(6.7)  | 100(37.5)  | 276(100.0) |
| 3      | Knowledge about Lok sabha / vidhan sabha | 245(91.8)                | 4(1.5)   | 18(6.7)    | 276(100.0) |
| 4      | Knowledge about Mid term election        | 119(44.6)                | 7(2.6)   | 141(52.8)  | 276(100.0) |
| 5      | Knowledge about Equality of right        | 119(44.6)                | 21(7.9)  | 127(52.8)  | 276(100.0) |

Note: Figures given in brackets represent percentage

It is observed from the study that 57.7, 91.8, 44.6, 55.8 and 44.6% respondents know the meaning of democracy, equality of right, adult franchise and midterm election. It is interested to know that majority of the respondents i.e. 91.8% know the meaning of lok sabha/vidhan sabha.

Table No.3

| Sr. No | Description of items                               | Responses of Respondents |           |            |
|--------|--|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
|        |  | Yes                      | No        | Total      |
| 1      | Some time politician seem so complicated           | 169(63.3)                | 98(36.7)  | 276(100.0) |
| 2      | Most political parties care only winning elections | 49(18.4)                 | 218(81.6) | 276(100.0) |

Note: Figures given in brackets represent percentage

Table No. 3 reveals that majority of the respondents (63.3%) agree that sometimes politician seem so complicated but maximum of them (81.65) disagree on the point that most political parties care only winning elections.



Table No. 4

|       | I able No. 4                 |           |         |               |                           |  |  |  |  |
|-------|------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|       | Barriers in decisions making |           |         |               |                           |  |  |  |  |
|       |                              | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | <b>Cumulative Percent</b> |  |  |  |  |
|       | Caste                        | 167       | 62.5    | 62.5          | 62.5                      |  |  |  |  |
|       | Religion                     | 12        | 4.5     | 4.5           | 67.0                      |  |  |  |  |
|       | Language                     | 2         | .7      | .7            | 67.8                      |  |  |  |  |
|       | region                       | 17        | 6.4     | 6.4           | 74.2                      |  |  |  |  |
| Valid | 1+2                          | 10        | 3.7     | 3.7           | 77.9                      |  |  |  |  |
|       | 1+2+4                        | 6         | 2.2     | 2.2           | 80.1                      |  |  |  |  |
|       | miscellaneous                | 39        | 14.6    | 14.6          | 94.8                      |  |  |  |  |
|       | Don't know                   | 14        | 5.2     | 5.2           | 100.0                     |  |  |  |  |
|       | Total                        | 267       | 100.0   | 100.0         |                           |  |  |  |  |

Table No. 4 explain the responses of the respondents regarding to create hurdle in the decision making process in political system. The responses of the respondents were classified into eight categories i.e. caste (62.5 %), religion (4.5 %), language (.7 %), region (6.4 %), caste and religion (3.7 %), caste, religion and region (2.2 %), miscellaneous (14.6 %) and do not know (5.2 %). Thus on the basis of above table it can be concluded that the role of caste the major cause to create a hurdle in decision making process in political system in the opinion of the respondents.

Table No. 5

|       | Affected electorate          |           |         |                  |                       |  |  |  |  |
|-------|------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|       |                              | Frequency | Percent | Valid<br>Percent | Cumulative<br>Percent |  |  |  |  |
|       | Caste                        | 168       | 62.9    | 62.9             | 62.9                  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Religion                     | 11        | 4.1     | 4.1              | 67.0                  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Personality of the candidate | 13        | 4.9     | 4.9              | 71.9                  |  |  |  |  |
| Valid | Prestige of party            | 25        | 9.4     | 9.4              | 81.3                  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Miscellaneous                | 41        | 15.4    | 15.4             | 96.6                  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Don't know                   | 9         | 3.4     | 3.4              | 100.0                 |  |  |  |  |
|       | Total                        | 267       | 100.0   | 100.0            |                       |  |  |  |  |

Now-a-days elections have become more complex due to different reasons. After the entry of money and muscle power in election, the atmosphere of election becomes tense. Every voter has a reasonable cause for voting a particular candidate. In Table No. 5 these reasons classified in six categories i.e. Caste (62.9%), Religion (4.1%), Personality of candidate (4.9%), Prestige of party (9.4%), Miscellaneous (15.4%), and do not know (3.4%). Thus study shows that caste played a determinant role in deciding the candidature in rural area.



Table No. 6

|       | Source of Political information |           |         |                  |                       |  |  |  |  |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|       |                                 | Frequency | Percent | Valid<br>Percent | Cumulative<br>Percent |  |  |  |  |
|       | T.V.                            | 44        | 16.5    | 16.5             | 16.5                  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Peer group                      | 7         | 2.6     | 2.6              | 19.1                  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Newspapers +T.V.                | 29        | 10.9    | 10.9             | 30.0                  |  |  |  |  |
| Valid | Radio + T.V.                    | 136       | 50.9    | 50.9             | 80.9                  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Newspapers +T.V. +Radio         | 42        | 15.7    | 15.7             | 96.6                  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Any others                      | 9         | 3.4     | 3.4              | 100.0                 |  |  |  |  |
|       | Total                           | 267       | 100.0   | 100.0            |                       |  |  |  |  |

The table 6 depicts that only 2.6% respondents used peer group for source of political information, followed by T.V. (16.5%), Newspapers +T.V. (10.9%), Radio + T.V. (50.9%) as sources of political information while 3.4% respondents stated that they are using others sources of information. Thus maximum people of backward classes used mass media as a source of political information.

Table No. 7

|       | Worked for political party |           |         |               |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |                            | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | <b>Cumulative Percent</b> |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Office bearer              | 4         | 1.5     | 1.5           | 1.5                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Ordinary person            | 38        | 14.2    | 14.2          | 15.7                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valid | Any other                  | 5         | 1.9     | 1.9           | 17.6                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Not worked                 | 220       | 82.4    | 82.4          | 100.0                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Total                      | 267       | 100.0   | 100.0         |                           |  |  |  |  |  |

Table No. 7 explains the views of the respondents regarding whether they are work/worked for a political party or individual candidates during the election. In response to this the study highlight that only 17.6 % worked for political party out of which 1.5 %, 14.2% and 1.9% respondents worked as office-bearer, as ordinary person and 1.9 % work with any other (indifferent position) respectively, but the majority them did not work for any political party. Thus their active participation is not satisfactory.

Table No. 8

|           | Organization of OBCs |           |         |               |                           |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|           |                      | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | <b>Cumulative Percent</b> |  |  |  |  |
|           | Yes                  | 13        | 4.9     | 4.9           | 4.9                       |  |  |  |  |
| X7 - 11 1 | No                   | 45        | 16.9    | 16.9          | 21.7                      |  |  |  |  |
| Valid     | Don't know           | 209       | 78.3    | 78.3          | 100.0                     |  |  |  |  |
|           | Total                | 267       | 100.0   | 100.0         |                           |  |  |  |  |



Part-A

|       |   | Part-A    |         |               |                           |  |  |  |  |
|-------|---|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|       | Are you member of any organization of OBCs, why |           |         |               |                           |  |  |  |  |
|       |   | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | <b>Cumulative Percent</b> |  |  |  |  |
|       | For the welfare of the community                | 2         | .7      | .7            | .7                        |  |  |  |  |
|       | Status & prestige                               | 1         | .4      | .4            | 1.1                       |  |  |  |  |
| Valid | For personal benefit                            | 3         | 1.1     | 1.1           | 2.2                       |  |  |  |  |
| vand  | Miscellaneous                                   | 7         | 2.6     | 2.6           | 4.9                       |  |  |  |  |
|       | No members hip                                  | 254       | 95.1    | 95.1          | 100.0                     |  |  |  |  |
|       | Total   | 267       | 100.0   | 100.0         |                           |  |  |  |  |

Table no 8 showed that majority of the respondents i.e. near about 95.1 % respondents did not take any membership for any organization which was meant for OBCs welfare. Only 4.9 % respondents associated with OBCs organization. Those who associated with organization they gave different arguments which is classified in four categories namely for the welfare of the community (.7%), status and prestige to attached it (.4%), for personal benefit (1.1%) and miscellaneous basis(2.6%). Thus majority of the respondents did not join any caste-based organization.

Table No. 9

|       | Table No. 9                                     |           |         |               |                    |  |  |  |  |
|-------|---|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
|       | Are you free to participate in village politics |           |         |               |                    |  |  |  |  |
|       |   | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |  |  |  |
|       | Contest the election                            | 102       | 38.2    | 38.2          | 38.2               |  |  |  |  |
|       | Freedom of voting                               | 120       | 44.9    | 44.9          | 83.1               |  |  |  |  |
| Valid | Freedom to establish origination                | 1         | .4      | .4            | 83.5               |  |  |  |  |
|       | Miscellaneous                                   | 18        | 6.7     | 6.7           | 90.3               |  |  |  |  |
|       | No freedom                                      | 26        | 9.7     | 9.7           | 100.0              |  |  |  |  |
|       | Total   | 267       | 100.0   | 100.0         |                    |  |  |  |  |

The participation in village politics is an index of political involvement and activism on the part of the people. Table No. 9 shows that majority of the respondents i.e. 38.2% and 44.9% contest the election and freedom of voting respectively. Only 9.7% respondents did not free to participate in village politics.

Table No. 10

|       | Are the backward classes people consulted in decision |           |         |               |                           |  |  |  |  |
|-------|---|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|       |   | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | <b>Cumulative Percent</b> |  |  |  |  |
|       | Yes   | 212       | 79.4    | 79.4          | 79.4                      |  |  |  |  |
| Valid | No  | 55        | 20.6    | 20.6          | 100.0                     |  |  |  |  |
|       | Total   | 267       | 100.0   | 100.0         |                           |  |  |  |  |



Table No. 10 shows that majority of the respondents i.e.79.4% people were consulted in decision making process at village level. Only 20.6% respondents were neglected in this process. Thus majority of the Backward Classes people consulted at village level decision.

Table No. 11

| Annual Income  | By whom do you get your disputes settled |               |          |                 |        |  |  |
|----------------|--|---------------|----------|-----------------|--------|--|--|
| (in Rs.)       | Vill. Panchayat                          | By politician | By court | Caste Panchayat | Total  |  |  |
| 25000-50000    | 79                                       | 0             | 22       | 15              | 110    |  |  |
|                | 68.1%                                    | .0%           | 19.0%    | 12.9%           | 100.0% |  |  |
| 50000 75000    | 53                                       | 0             | 16       | 5               | 7      |  |  |
| 50000-75000    | 71.6%                                    | .0%           | 21.6%    | 6.8%            | 100.0% |  |  |
| 75000-100000   | 26                                       | 1             | 6        | 2               | 3      |  |  |
|                | 74.3%                                    | 2.9%          | 17.1%    | 5.7%            | 100.0% |  |  |
| 10000 125000   | 11                                       | 0             | 4        | 2               | 1      |  |  |
| 10000-125000   | 797%                                     | .0%           | 13.5%    | 6.8%            | 100.0% |  |  |
| 125000 150000  | 9  | 0             | 1        | 0               | 1      |  |  |
| 125000-150000  | 90.0%                                    | .0%           | 10.0%    | .0%             | 100.0% |  |  |
| 150000-175000  | 7  | 0             | 2        | 0               |        |  |  |
| 150000-1/5000  | 87.8%                                    | .0%           | 12.2%    | .0%             | 100.0% |  |  |
| ah arra 175000 | 5  | 0             | 1        | 0               |        |  |  |
| above 175000   | 88.3%                                    | .0%           | 11.7%    | .0%             | 100.0% |  |  |
| Total          | 190                                      | 1             | 52       | 24              | 26     |  |  |
| Total          | 71.2%                                    | .4%           | 19.5%    | 9.0%            | 100.09 |  |  |

Table No.11 shows that majority of the respondents in the entire income group i.e. above 68.1% respondents settled their disputes at village panchayat level. But new fact found in the study that as the level of income increased, it decreased the faith in the caste panchayat.

Table No. 12

| Educational Standard and Views on Factors Affecting Electorate |                   |                     |          |                              |                   |         |            |        |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------|------------|--------|
|  | Affecting Factors | Affected electorate |          |                              |                   |         |            |        |
|  |                   | caste               | religion | personality of the candidate | prestige of party | miscell | don't know | Total  |
| Edu. Standard  | Illiterate        | 69                  | 7        | 8                            | 6                 | 16      | 7          | 113    |
|  |                   | 61.1%               | 6.2%     | 7.1%                         | 5.3%              | 14.2%   | 6.2%       | 100.0% |
|  | 1-4 Standard      | 36                  | 0        | 3                            | 3                 | 6       | 2          | 50     |
|  |                   | 72.0%               | .0%      | 6.0%                         | 6.0%              | 12.0%   | 4.0%       | 100.0% |
|  | 5-8 Standard      | 43                  | 2        | 1                            | 10                | 7       | 0          | 63     |
|  |                   | 68.3%               | 3.2%     | 1.6%                         | 15.9%             | 11.1%   | .0%        | 100.0% |
|  | 9-12 Standard     | 16                  | 1        | 1                            | 4                 | 9       | 0          | 31     |
|  |                   | 51.6%               | 3.2%     | 3.2%                         | 12.9%             | 29.0%   | .0%        | 100.0% |
|  | College           | 3                   | 1        | 0                            | 0                 | 1       | 0          | 5      |
|  |                   | 60.0%               | 20.0%    | .0%                          | .0%               | 20.0%   | .0%        | 100.0% |
|  | Others            | 1                   | 0        | 0                            | 2                 | 2       | 0          | 5      |
|  |                   | 20.0%               | .0%      | .0%                          | 40.0%             | 40.0%   | .0%        | 100.0% |
| Total  |                   | 168                 | 11       | 13                           | 25                | 41      | 9          | 267    |
|  |                   | 62.9%               | 4.1%     | 4.9%                         | 9.4%              | 15.4%   | 3.4%       | 100.0% |



Table no. 12 revealed that maximum respondents cast their vote on the basis of caste irrespective of their educationally advanced. But it is interested that level of education increased the caste decreased as the basis of cast a vote.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The study reveals that majority of the respondents know the name of the Chief Minister and MLA/MP of their constituency. Maximum people favoured that adult and students should take part in politics, but they were against the women's participation. Maximum respondents knew the meaning of democracy, equality of right, adult franchise, midterm election and lok sabha/vidhan sabha etc. It is also found that majority of the respondents (63.3%) agreed that sometimes politician seem so complicated but disagreed (81.65%) on the point that most political parties care only winning the elections. The study highlighted that caste plays a major role in creating hurdles in decision making process in political sphere.

Now-a-days elections have become more complex due to different reasons due to entry of money and muscle power in elections. Every voter has a reasonable cause for voting a particular candidate. The study shows that majority of the people cast their vote on the basis caste. The study indicates that maximum people of backward classes used mass media as a major source of their political information. Regarding the involvement in a political party, only 17.6 % respondents were found having worked for political parties. On the issue of joining an organisation for the OBC's, majority of the respondents were found reluctant. The study highlights that a meager percentage of the respondents i.e. 9.7%, did not freely involve in village politics, but maximum of them (79.4%) were consulted in decision making process at village level. It was also analysed that majority of the respondents in the entire income group i.e. above 68.1% respondents, settle their disputes at village panchayat level. Further, it was also traced out that maximum respondents cast their vote on the basis of caste irrespective of their educational advancement.

#### REFERENCES

Ahuja, Ram, Society in India. Jaipur: Rawat Publications, 1999.

Almond, Gabriel A. and Sidney Verba, The Civic Culture: Political Attitude and Democracy in Five Nations. Boston: Little Brown and Co., 1963.

Almond, Gabriel and Coleman, James S. The Politics of Developing Areas. Princeton. Princeton University Press, 1960.

Beteille, Andre. The Backward classes and the new Social Order. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1981.

Beteille, Andre. The backward classes in contemporary India. New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1992.

Choudhry, D. S., Emerging Rural Leadership in an Indian State: A Case Study of Rajasthan. Rohtak: Manthan Publications, 1981.

David Easton and Jack Dennis, "The Child's Acquisition of Regime Norms: Political Efficacy, "The REVIEW, (March, 1967), p. 27.

DEVELOPING YOUR POLITICAL COMPETENCE" An interview of Professor Samuel B.Bacharach by Daniel Gherghe,2005. available on- http://www.eupeanpwn.net/tht career/articles indiv career/political competence.html

Joshi, Satyakam, Panchayat Raj Leadership in Gujarat. IASSI Quarterly, 21, 2003,

Lucia Michelutti's, "Caste and Modern Politics in India Town; We Yadav are a caste of politician" contribution to Indian Sociology, Vol. 38, Sage, New Delhi, 2004.

Miglani, D.C. (1993). Politics and Rural Power Structure: Emerging Trends. New Delhi: Deep& Deep Publications

Milner, H. (2002) Civic Literary: How Informed Citizens Make Democracy Work, Tufts, University Press, Hanover, NH.

Mohanty, B.B., Panchayat Raj in Maharashtra and Orissa: An Overview. IASSI Quarterly, .21, 2003.

Prasad Anirudh. Reservation Policy and Practice in India, New Delhi, Deep and Deep Publications, 1991. S.S. Sharma, Rural Elites in India. New Delhi: Sterling Publishers, 1979.

Sharma, K.L. Indian Society, NCERT, New Delhi, 1990. Policy in India. New Delhi, Light and Light Pub. 1983. Sudha Pai and Jagpal Singh, Politicisation of Dalit and Most backward classes; Study of social conflict and political preference in four villages of Meerut District in Western Uttar Pradesh," EPW June 1997.

Venkatesu E. "Backward Caste and Mobilisation Process in Andhra Pradesh," Indian Journal of Political Science, April-June 2005.

Verma K.K., Changing Role of Caste Association, New Delhi National, 1979.

William, L. Rose., The New Chauhan- A caste mobility movement in north India in social stratification(ed.) Deepanker Gupta, OUP,Delhi,1991.

# Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished research paper.Summary of Research Project,Theses,Books and Books Review of publication,you will be pleased to know that our journals are

## Associated and Indexed, India

- \* International Scientific Journal Consortium Scientific
- \* OPEN J-GATE

### Associated and Indexed, USA

- EBSCO
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database

Golden Research Thoughts 258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005, Maharashtra Contact-9595359435 E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com Website: www.isrj.net