

Vol 3 Issue 5 Nov 2013

Impact Factor : 1.9508 (UIF)

ISSN No :2231-5063

Monthly Multidisciplinary  
Research Journal

*Golden Research  
Thoughts*

Chief Editor  
Dr.Tukaram Narayan Shinde

Publisher  
Mrs.Laxmi Ashok Yakkaldevi

Associate Editor  
Dr.Rajani Dalvi

Honorary  
Mr.Ashok Yakkaldevi

**IMPACT FACTOR : 0.2105**

**Welcome to ISRJ**

**RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595**

**ISSN No.2230-7850**

Indian Streams Research Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial Board readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

### ***International Advisory Board***

Flávio de São Pedro Filho Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Mohammad Hailat Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Carolina Aiken, Aiken SC 29801	Hasan Baktir English Language and Literature Department, Kayseri
Kamani Perera Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka	Abdullah Sabbagh Engineering Studies, Sydney	Ghayoor Abbas Chotana Department of Chemistry, Lahore University of Management Sciences [ PK ]
Janaki Sinnasamy Librarian, University of Malaya [ Malaysia ]	Catalina Neculai University of Coventry, UK	Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania
Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania	Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest	Horia Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania
Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania	Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania	Ilie Pinteau, Spiru Haret University, Romania
Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur	Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Xiaohua Yang PhD, USA
Titus Pop	George - Calin SERITAN Postdoctoral Researcher	Nawab Ali Khan College of Business Administration

### ***Editorial Board***

Pratap Vyamktrao Naikwade ASP College Devrukh,Ratnagiri,MS India	Iresh Swami Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur	Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur
R. R. Patil Head Geology Department Solapur University, Solapur	N.S. Dhaygude Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur	R. R. Yaliker Director Managment Institute, Solapur
Rama Bhosale Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education, Panvel	Narendra Kadu Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune	Umesh Rajderkar Head Humanities & Social Science YCMOU, Nashik
Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur	K. M. Bhandarkar Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia	S. R. Pandya Head Education Dept. Mumbai University, Mumbai
Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai	Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain	Alka Darshan Shrivastava Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar
Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar Arts, Science & Commerce College, Indapur, Pune	G. P. Patankar S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka	Rahul Shriram Sudke Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore
Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play (Trust),Meerut	Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director,Hyderabad AP India.	S.KANNAN Ph.D , Annamalai University,TN
	S.Parvathi Devi Ph.D.-University of Allahabad	Satish Kumar Kalhotra
	Sonal Singh	

**Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India  
Cell : 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.isrj.net**



**GRT** **RELIGIOUS PRACTICES AND TRADITIONS  
OF EARLY TURKISH RULE IN NORTHERN INDIA**



**Anu Bala**

**Abstract:** During the 20<sup>th</sup> century studies on religious practices and traditions of the early Turkish rule have made sufficient headway, in spite of the limited scope and availability of contemporary source materials. The study of medieval Islam as a religion with a total impact on Hindustan was not wholly neglected by 20<sup>th</sup> century historians even when, as during the first forty years of this century, narrative political history dominated modern historiography on medieval India. In the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, Thomas Arnold, Murray Titus, Mohammad Wahid Mirza and nearer independence and partition, Mohammad Habib, A.B.M. Habibullah and K. A. Nizami have directed their attention to the religious aspects of the Muslim 'presence' in India. But it would not be unfair to say that their contributions, however important individually, did not control the main thrust and the direction of historical works on medieval India before 1947. Their works did not have any appreciable effect upon the forms, technique and scope of such standard general histories as the Oxford History of India (London, 1919); The Cambridge History of India, Volume-III, (Cambridge, 1928) and Ishwari Prasad's History of Medieval India (1925).

During the 1920's and the 1930's, although useful work in Indo-Muslim society was still being done, it could not be said that the earlier enthusiasm for the religion and culture of the early Turkish rule, was, among British historians, being maintained. It is difficult to point to one work by a British historian on either, the religion, the social or the cultural history of Indian Islam during this period. For the greater part of these decades, the picture among Indian historians who had come under European influence was little different. Mohammad Habib's researches of Sufis and K.M. Ashraf, Life and Conditions of the People of Hindustan (1935) initiated the studies of Islamic religion and society.

During the 1940's and the 1950's, there grew interest in the activities of the Sufi mystics evinced by Mohammad Habib and later on by K.A. Nizami. A movement towards the study of the religious and cultural history of the peoples of the sub-continent during the early Muslim period was perceptible both in periodical literature appearing in English and also occasionally in monographs. Once again, it cannot be said that British historians have taken any prominent place in this shift of attention. Not much work had been done on the religious aspects of the early Turkish rule history.

**Key words:** political history, historiography, technique, History of Medieval.

**INTRODUCTION:**

In the pre-independence era and after partitions, the rise of Hindu nationalist historians and Muslim Indian historians started offering different interpretations to some of the religious issues during the rule of Muslim in medieval times. Western scholars such as Peter Hardy, Simon Digby, Bruce Lawrence, and Carl Ernst also discussed some of the issues pertain to the religious conditions during the medieval times. These historians have either conformed to the basic premises put forwarded by Mohammad Habib or have added to their refinements and important inputs.

James Mill division of Indian history on the basis of 'religion' had a strong influence in the historical writings of early British and Indian historians. Contemporary understanding of Indo-Islamic history have been greatly influenced and sometimes distorted by contemporary agendas or conceptual categories which readily held the past hostage. Inferiority complex among the early Indian historians appears to be one important factor which

hampered the cause of historical writings in pre-independence period. Some of these factors had lasting impact over understanding of Indo-Islamic religious practices and traditions. It may be helpful to assess the works of British and Indian historians on religious conditions prevailing in 13<sup>th</sup> Century.

Writing at a time when 'Hindu—Muslim' conflict and a narrative of the wars, diplomacy, and administration of the different Sultans of Delhi were the main features of Sultanate historiography, Mohammad Habib's foregrounding of larger social and economic changes in the history of Indian society seemed to challenge the manner in which the 13<sup>th</sup> century was interpreted. But, in fact, in at least three interrelated points, Habib's contribution left the field undisturbed. The first concerned the disparate body of immigrants fleeing into India from Afghanistan, eastern Iran, and Transoxiana. In the historiography of the Delhi Sultanate these immigrants, collectively and individually, were always described as 'Muslims', as part of a larger, monolithic

community. Habib paid little attention to the denominational background of immigrants into India, and he did argue that Muslim social and political identities were formed by a shared urban culture within which occupational and class differences were important. But, having said that, Habib provided a brief historical survey of Islamic ideologies that bound Muslims together through a shared past. As a result, despite his attempts at historicization, Habib's understanding of the Muslim community stressed its underlying unifying principles, resulting at bottom in an essentialization that did little to disturb interpretations of Muslim society as singular or monolithic.

The second assumption widely prevalent amongst scholars concerned the history of the 'Muslim community', which they believed could only be charted through the history of the 'Muslim Sultanate'; these two not merely merged into a monolith, but were also congruent. At one extreme this led to the argument that the iconoclastic, militaristic character of Islam and Muslims determined the nature of the Sultanate and its rulers; these were regarded as positive or negative attributes, depending upon who was narrating the history. At the other extreme, it led to the production of histories by secular minded and progressive historians such as Mohammad Habib who saw in the arrival of Islam and the foundation of the Delhi Sultanate the appearance of new ideologies and modes of production that transformed society and politics in India for the better. Since these ideas were 'foreign' to 'Hindu' society, their novelty could only be grasped through the early history of 'Islamic' state formations in the Arabian Peninsula, Iran, and Transoxiana. That was the collective pre-history of Muslims in India, and it needed the Delhi Sultanate to create the social and political preconditions for the import of these new ideas into the subcontinent. Even while Habib argued for the distance between the religion of Islam and its political manifestation, the history of the Muslim community in India could not be told without the context of an Islamic state. Thus, the paradox: while Chishti saints abhorred service with the state because of its materialistic, hierarchical attributes, this same state challenged the caste-based stratifications of Hindu society. Nor was the urban character of Muslim society entirely accidental: the towns were the centres of religious training and culture. They were also its major centres of production. And they flourished because they received state patronage and were the hub of Sultanate government and economy.

The third assumption concerned the other face of the Muslim state: an undifferentiated 'Hindu' subject population. For a large number of historians the seizure of Delhi by 'Muslims' marked the beginning of a period when 'indigenes' were ranged in opposition to 'foreign' invaders: a 'Hindu' community juxtaposed and in conflict with the 'Muslim'. A.B.M. Habibullah worked this theme with complete confidence into his text: the only threat to the 'foundation of Muslim rule in India' was occasioned by 'Hindu aggression'. In Mohammad Habib's formulation the Muslim—Hindu divide operated at the level of social organizations: one was casteist and hierarchical, the other was egalitarian. Just as normative juridical and hagiographical texts had enlarged on the qualities of Muslim

society, Al-Beruni and the Manu Smriti summarized the features of 'Hindu' society. Caste differences in 'Hindu' society implied differing reactions to the Muslim Delhi Sultanate; the low castes, first in the towns and then in the countryside, saw the Muslim Sultanate as a liberating force, while the upper castes, the rulers, and the rich peasantry had to be destroyed by 'Ala' al-Din Khalaji. The differing responses of 'Hindus' to the Sultanate notwithstanding, the nature of the conflict between the two was built into the supposedly compelling logic of antithetical Hindu and Muslim social structures.

I.H. Qureshi's book emphasizes Islamic character of the Delhi Sultanate. He seems to be proud of the political achievements of Muslims in medieval India and believes that they more than satisfied modern ideas of tolerance, benevolence and efficiency. Qureshi's approach is strongly communalist, writes Peter Hardy. Qureshi treats the Delhi Sultanate as a welfare state, the Muslim community in medieval India as a nation, and the Sultans of Delhi as Muslims in both a religious and a political sense. I.H. Qureshi in the Chapter eleven entitled, 'The Spirit of the Government' (pp. 204-214) claims that, the Hindu population was better off under the Muslims than under Hindu tributaries or under independent rulers... Nor was the Hindu despised socially. The Muslims, generally speaking, have been remarkably free from racial prejudice. There are instances of Muslim nobles marrying Hindu maidens; of free intercourse between Muslim saints and Hindu yogis; of Hindu followers of Muslim saints and vice-versa... it was Hinduism which protected itself beneath the strong armor of exclusiveness. The Muslim was unclean; his very touch polluted the food of the twice-born Brahman and men of the higher castes; the new-comer was outside the pale.

I.H. Qureshi's monograph, *The Muslim Community of the Indo-Pakistan Subcontinent (610-1947 A.D.)* traces the history of Islam in India from the times of Arab traders till the partition of India. In his work, I.H. Qureshi tried to trace the background that Muslim community in India survived as a separate entity from the beginning and partition of India was inevitable. He tried to highlight the progress made by Islam in India under the chapters entitled, Islam enters the sub-continent, Islam gains a foothold in the north-west, Islam spreads into other areas etc. etc. till a separate nation of Pakistan was formed in 1947 A.D. In assessment of his work, it appears that he was involved in charting the history of the origin of the Pakistan state, not the development of Islam in Indian sub-continent.

I.H. Qureshi say, it was mostly through men of learning who kept themselves in touch with the intellectual and religious developments in the rest of the Muslim world and through the Sufis that Islam maintained its cosmopolitan and international character. He described the missionary activities of Sufi saints and other missionaries like Mulla Ali, Imam Shah, Baba Raihan and Chisti and Suhrawardi Sufi saints. He writes, the Sufis did not compromise with Hindu beliefs and customs; they did not adopt the Ismaili technique of gradual conversion, but they were not totally unwilling to take account of human psychology. He further adds that not all the Muslims of the subcontinent are of native blood but substantial elements are of foreign origin. The exact



percentage of families of foreign origin and of those who still betray foreign racial characteristics is difficult to assess, but it cannot be denied that they form a considerable element in the Muslim population, and one whose importance has not found recognition in the writings of British scholars.

R.C. Majumdar writing about Hindu-Muslim relations during the Sultanate of Delhi sees an uncompromising spirit of animosity between the two communities. Living for generations as close neighbors, reciprocal relations were too superficial and touched merely the fringe of life. The ultra democratic social ideas of the Muslims, an object lesson of equality and fraternity, did not make any impact on Hindu social rigidity and attitude of inequality among men exemplified in the Caste system and Untouchables. Nor did the Muslims imbibe the Hindu spirit of tolerance and reverence for all religions. They did not moderate their zeal to destroy the Hindu temples and images of gods. The Hindu was Zimmi—a being protected by the Muslim state on condition of rendering certain service and suffering certain political and civil disabilities.

K.M. Panikkar observes that the great culture of Islam could hardly be represented by the Turks and Afghans who entered India in the wake of Muhammad Ghori. They no doubt represented the religion of Islam, but the civilization associated with the Muslim Empires of Baghdad, Cairo and Cordova found no echo in the hearts of Turkish maliks in whom the political power was vested. Panikkar further writes, "Two parallel societies were established on the same soil. At all stages they were different and hardly any social communication or intermingling of life existed between them. There was of course a continuous process of conversion from Hinduism to Islam; as against it there was also a continuous strengthening of the Hindu social body, both by the rise of new doctrines and sects as well as by defensive feeling of security."

Islam had a profound effect on Hinduism during this period. The main social result of the introduction of Islam as a religion into India was the division of society on a vertical basis. Before the 13th century, Hindu society was divided horizontally and neither Buddhism nor Jainism affected this division. Islam split Indian society into two sections from top to bottom and what has now come to be known in the phraseology of today as two separate nations came into being from the beginning. Medieval Hindu theism is in some ways a reply to the attack of Islam; and the doctrines of medieval teachers, by whatever name their gods are known, are essentially theistic. With the conquest of Gangetic valley, Sanskrit scholars and poets took refuge in the courts of Hindu rulers in distant areas and this would perhaps explain the sudden efflorescence of Sanskrit literature in places like Mewar, Khalinjar and Gujarat. Unconnected with the influence of Islam but contemporaneous with it is the great revival of Jainism. Balachandra Suri (Vasantavilas, 1296 A.D.), Yasapala (Mahamahavijaya), Ramchandra Suri (Nalavilasa), Vastupala (Naranarayaniya), to mention a few, are among the prominent Jain authors of the 13th century who contributed to the richness of Sanskrit.

K.M. Panikkar observes that in the social thought of the time there is a double and apparently contradictory

process. Social reconstruction which Islamic invasions necessitated could only be based on Smritis. The Prayaschitta Section of the Smritis assumed more and more importance. A large number of commentaries were produced by scholars like Devala, Medhadhiti, Vijnaneswara, Kalluka & Chandeswara. In fact this period was the heyday of writers on Smritis for the reason that the adjustment of social relationships had become an important problem.

Writing on Hindu-Muslim Relations and Fusion of Hindu-Muslim ideas and practices, A. Rashid in his book, *Society and Culture in Medieval India (1206-1526 A.D.)* believes that the medieval chroniclers are responsible for the attitude of some modern scholars towards the problem of Hindu-Muslim relations. He observes that the chroniclers would have us believe that the Muslim conquerors were good Muslims because their primary aim and motive in the wars and conquests were religious rather than political.

There is no dearth of scholarly studies and other writings touching upon 'Sufi' ideas and 'Malfuzat' literature. These themes representing diverse points of view, both in English and Urdu remained focus of 20th century historians. However, the towering corpus of studies which had a definitive bearing on the writings of the last few decades and which continues to be the paradigm of further studies is undoubtedly the one penned by Mohammad Habib. One such work is his "Early Muslim Mysticism", which was presented as an extension lecture, delivered at Visva-Bharati in 1935 at the invitation of Rabindranath Tagore. It was first published in the Vidyapeetha Commemoration Volume, pp. 42-83, and subsequently printed in his collected works titled, *Politics and Society During the Early Medieval Period*, edited by K.A. Nizami (New Delhi, 1974, Vol. 1, pp. 235-285). Mohammad Habib's *Hazrat Amir Khusraw of Delhi (Aligarh, 1927)* and *Shaikh Nasiruddin Chiragh of Delhi (Aligarh, 1946)* throw a flood of light on Sufi mysticism in medieval times. K.A. Nizami followed Mohammad Habib in his studies of medieval mysticism and penned down three monographs, (i) *Life and Times of Shaikh Fairduddin Gang-i-Shakar (Aligarh, 1955)*, (ii) *Studies in Medieval Indian History (Aligarh, 1956)* and (iii) *Some Aspects of Religion and Politics in India during the Thirteenth century (Aligarh, 1961)*. In the latter book, he discussed the nature of rule and conditions of Hindus under the Sultanate pertaining to religious aspects of history. Subsequent works by S.A.A. Rizvi, Simon Digby, Carl Ernst, Bruce B. Lawrence, Richard M. Eaton, Riaz-ul Islam and others have either conformed to Mohammad Habib's basic premises or have added to their refinements and important inputs. Indeed, a large part of the conventional historiography on mysticism shares an empiricist bent also present in political history; whether it was Mohammad Habib weeding out 'genuine' from 'fabricated' records of conversations between mystic saints, malfuzat, or P.M. Currie searching for the historical Mu'in al-Din Chishti. But over the last few years mystic records—both malfuzat and biographical encyclopedias or tazkirat (singular, tazkira) started to be read more carefully for their rhetorical significance.

The work of Carl Ernst and, more recently, Bruce Lawrence, has paid attention to the stylistic form, content, and narrative intent of sufi texts to show how important they

were in the constitution of a Shaykh's authority. Other than a 'historiographical' approach to the sources, Ernst also emphasized the need for a micro-study, 'an intensive study of a tightly circumscribed field', to better grapple with the several perspectives of different accounts from a central location. K.A. Nizami's *Some Aspects of Religion and Politics in India During the Thirteenth Century* deals with the Sufis of the Delhi Sultanate. The organization and activities of the Suhrawardi and Chishti Silsilas are contrasted. It is however an important work, the most considerable on the period to be published for a number of years, and it breaks much fresh ground. It is not the least of the achievements of the Aligarh school of historians that are at last freed from the tyrannous and narrow vision of earlier Indo-Muslim history imposed by Elliott and Dowson.

Many of Habib's insights regarding the Sufis were never theorized sufficiently in his larger arguments and were, therefore, completely missed by later generations of scholars, Habib noted, 'the Silsilahs quarreled with each other, there was no co-ordination even between the Shaikhs of the same Silsilah, who-acted independently and often appointed rival successors to the same territory'. These observations escaped the attention of his principle colleague, K.A. Nizami who wrote extensively on the Sufis. Decades later, quite independently, Simon Digby focused upon these questions in his paper "Tabarrukat and Succession among the Great Chishti Shaykhs of the Delhi Sultanate" (R.E. Frykenberg, (Ed.), *Delhi Through the Ages*, Delhi, 1986, pp. 63-103). These observations aside, additional it is to be borne in mind that Habib also argued rather naively for the veracity of Al-Beruni's reportage on the nature of Hindu society. Riaz-ul Islam's *Sufism in South Asia: Impact on 14th Century Muslim Society*, (Karachi, 2002) and Carl Ernst and Bruce Lawrence's *Sufi Martyrs Of Love: The Chishti Order in South Asia and Beyond*, (London, 2002) are the latest important works that not only encompass the earlier questions raised in the study of Sufism but also pose new questions and set out important paradigms.

Few professional historians have engaged with the issue of temple destruction or iconoclasm, even though it is a properly historical one. It's difficult to assess why K.A. Nizami in his monograph, *Some Aspects of Religion and Politics During the Thirteenth Century*, did not offer an explanation of the issue of temple destruction in much details. It appears that Nizami did not consider unwelcome evidence as in his remarks on the destruction of Hindu temples on page 320 the famous inscription at the Quwwat-ul-Islam Masjid (recording the despoiling of 27 Hindu and Jain temples) finds no mention. Instead, Weberian essentializations received a fresh lease of life in Andre Wink's work, where an 'Islamic theology of iconoclasm' explained temple destruction in India under the Muslim Sultans. During the two centuries before 1192, which was when an indigenous Indo-Muslim state and community first appeared in north India, Persianized Turks systematically raided and looted major urban centers of South Asia, sacking temples and hauling immense loads of movable property to power bases in eastern Afghanistan.

Hindu Nationalist, Sita Ram Goel's, *Hindu Temples: What Happened to Them*, Vol. 1: A Preliminary Survey (New

Delhi, 1990); Vol. 2, *The Islamic Evidence* (New Delhi, 1991) are monographs that endeavored to document a pattern of wholesale temple destruction by Muslims in this period. Much of the contemporary evidence on temple desecration cited by Sita Ram Goel is found in Persian materials translated and published during the rise of British hegemony in India. Especially influential have been the eight volumes *History of India as Told by its Own Historians*, edited by Henry M. Elliot with the help of John Dowson. But Elliot, keen to contrast what he understood as the justice and efficiency of the British Rule with the cruelty and despotism of the Muslim rulers who had preceded that rule, was anything but sympathetic to the 'Muhammadan' period of Indian history. In his monographs, Sita Ram Goel accepts the inscription's references to temple destruction more or less at face value. Richard M. Eaton's paper, "Temple Desecration and Indian States" (Richard M. Eaton, *Essays on Islam and Indian History*, New Delhi, 2000, pp. 46-81) which reviews the works of Sita Ram Goel with scrutiny and a well thought out discussion on the subject. Richard M. Eaton examines the available evidence of temple desecration with a view to answer some of these questions: were temples in fact desecrated in India's pre-modern history? When, and by Whom? How, and for what purpose? And above all, what might any of this say about the relationship between religion and politics in pre-modern India?.

The issue of conversions in India and their underlying assumptions is a widely debated question among historians in India and abroad. It may be helpful to discuss three common viewpoints presented by historians. The oldest viewpoint stresses the role of military force in the diffusion of Islam in India and elsewhere. This idea attained special prominence in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, during the high tide of European imperial domination over Muslims worldwide, when much Orientalist scholarship identified Islam with warfare and the warrior as Islam's ideal type. But the theory is deeply flawed, as its proponents tended to confuse conversion to Islam with the extension of Turko-Iranian rule in north India after 1200 C.E, a confusion originating at least in part in too literal a translation of Indo-Persian chronicles that narrated an 'Islamic' conquest of India. As Yohanan Friedmann has observed, in these accounts one frequently meets with ambiguous phrases like 'they submitted to Islam', or 'they came under submission to Islam', in which 'Islam' might mean the religion, the state, or the army. But as Richard M. Eaton suggests that it was usually the Indo-Muslim state, and more explicitly, its military arm to which people were said to have submitted, not the Islamic faith. Moreover, if Islamization had ever been a function of military or political force, we would expect that those areas exposed most intensively to rule by Muslim dynasties would in modern times contain the greatest number of Muslims. Yet the opposite is the case, as those regions where the most dramatic Islamization occurred, such as eastern Bengal or western Punjab, lay on the fringes of Indo-Muslim rule, where the means of coercion were necessarily weakest.

An important viewpoint sought to explain Islamization in India in terms of political patronage, arguing that conversions followed the granting of non-religious

favours from the ruling class, for example, relief from taxes, promotion in the bureaucracy and so forth. It is true that, in the early 14th century, some Indians presented themselves as new converts to the Khalji sultans, who in turn rewarded them with robes of honour according to their rank. However, although this kind of explanation might help account for the relatively low incidence of Islamization in the heartland of Indo-Muslim rule i.e. the upper Gangetic plain and Delhi doab – it cannot explain the massive growth that took place along the political fringe of that rule, as in Punjab or Bengal. Like the influence of the sword, political patronage would have decreased rather than increased as we moved away from the centres of that patronage.

The most widely held theory of conversion in India generated by British colonial ethnographers elaborated by Pakistani and Bangladeshi nationals and subscribed to by countless journalists and historians of South Asia - links religious change with social improvement and with liberation from a brahmanically defined social order. The theory postulates a Hindu caste system that is unchanging through time and rigidly discriminatory against its own lower orders. For centuries, members of the lower castes suffered under the crushing burden of oppressive and tyrannical high-caste Hindus, especially Brahmans. Then, when Islam arrived in the Indian subcontinent, carrying its liberating message of social equality as preached (in most versions of the theory) by Sufi Shaikhs, these same oppressed castes, seeking to escape the yoke of Brahmanic oppression and aware of a social equality hitherto denied them, 'converted' to Islam en masse. By juxtaposing what is perceived as the inherent wickedness of Hindu Society and the inherent justice of Islam, this theory identifies motives for conversion from a Muslim (or indeed a Christian) perspective eminently praise worthy. There is no contemporary evidence to support this theory. For example, there is no reason to believe that Islam in precolonial times was associated with ideas of social equality. In comparing their tradition to other Indian religions, pre-modern Muslim intellectuals wrote of Islamic monotheism as opposed to Hindu polytheism, and not of Islam's ideal of social equality as opposed to Indian notions of inequality. In fact, the idea that Islam fosters social equality (as opposed to religious equality) seems to be of relatively recent origin, dating only from the period of the Enlightenment, and more particularly from the legacy of the French Revolution among 19th century Muslim Reformers.

Unfortunately the study of factors responsible for conversion has often suffered from a lack of deep perspective. In the words of S.A.A. Rizvi, "The study of the process of conversion to Islam has been marred by deep prejudices, and studded with controversial judgments". Modern scholars like Murray, T. Titus, K.S. Lal, and R.C. Majumdar present the viewpoint that Islam was disseminated in Indian environment by force. They coined a phrase that the Muslim invaders had a sword in one hand and the Quran in the other. Second group of scholars: T.W. Arnold, Aziz Ahmad, A.R. Saiyed, Tara Chand, K.A. Nizami believes that the Islam was propagated in India by Sufi Saints. It was argued that the Sufis through the tariqa (way to life) influenced the people to adopt Islam. Sufis, being

electric in their approach to religion, took a liberal view of fundamental Islamic precepts and, by compromising with the local customs and traditions, became a major force in attracting people to Islam. However, in a study based on biographical or malfuzat literature, (Sufi literature) the personal role of Sufis, particularly the Chisti Sufis, in the process of conversion to Islam in northern India has been questioned. It has been also corroborated that the caste system of Indian society to an extent was responsible for the en masse conversion of Indian people. Along with this equality and brotherhood in Islamic society was emphasized. The contemporary historical writings provide very little information about the conversion of Indian people to religion of Prophet Mohammad. Stray evidence incidents of conversions recorded in political and non-political works can be of little help in understanding the factors responsible for conversions during the early sultanate period. Islam had in fact entered the Indian sub-continent much before the establishment of the Delhi Sultanate. It was no strange faith that came to India with the Turkish conquerors.

Sukhdev Singh's monograph on the history of Muslims of Indian origin, entitled, *Muslims of Indian Origin during the Delhi Sultanate: Emergence, Attitudes & Role (1192-1526 AD)*, (New Delhi, 2005) is also an important contribution. It is originally a Ph.D thesis presented to Kurukshetra University (2000) for award of degree. The work is divided into six chapters. The author illustrates the history of emergence of the Muslims of Indian origin as a social community and the role played by them in contemporary body politics and administration during the Delhi Sultanate. The author also discusses the various theories of conversion in India from the times of Arabs to the period of Delhi Sultanate (pp. 21-68).

The coming of Islam in India and its various facets in Indian subcontinent had attracted the attention of scholars from the beginning of Indological studies. It appears that the early British historians studied the religious practice and traditions of Islam in India with keeping different objectives, some for intellectual thrust, some for individual urge, and others for political and administrative purposes. Hindu-Muslim relations remained the core issues of studies on Delhi Sultanate. In the period, when India was under British rule, it was highlighted by the British administrative historians that during medieval times there was no synthesis of Hindu Muslim communities. This was justified by quoting examples of forceful conversion, temple desecration and unharmonious relationship between these two communities. Indian nationalist historians adopted totally contrast picture and focused on Indo-Islamic fusion and mingling of two religions. On the other hand modern Muslim Indian historians stressed on the interpretation of Sufi Islam and resisted the fundamentalist picture of Islam as projected by British scholars. Modern researches after independence, based on new contemporary evidences and more sources highlighted that there was no animosity between two communities and these are modern constructs to facilitate politics.

#### REFERENCES:

Banerjee, Kalyan Kumar, "Historiography in Modern India:



- Some Relevant Issues", (T.Q.R.H.S., Vol. X, No. 3, 1970-71, pp. 127-131).
- Case, Margaret H., "The Historical Craftsmanship of W.H. Moreland (1868-1938)," (I.E.S.H.R., Vol. II, No. 3, Oct., 1965, Delhi, pp. 21-25).
- Chandra, Satish, "Writings on Social History of Medieval India: Trends and Prospects", (I.H.R., Vol. 3, No. 2, 1976, pp. 267-285).
- Ghosh, Ranjan, "India, Itihasa, and Inter-Historiographical Discourse", (Studies in the Philosophy of History, No. 2, History and Theory 46, May 2007, pp. 210-217).
- Grewal, J.S., "Historical Writing on Urbanisation in Medieval India", (Indu Banga, (Ed.), The City in Indian History: Urban Demography, Society and Politics, New Delhi, reprint. 2005, pp. 69-79).
- Grewal, J.S., The Medieval Indian State and Some British Historians, Chandigarh: Punjab University Press, 1966.
- Lal, K.S., "Pro-Hindu and Pro-Muslim Schools of Medieval Indian History", (T.Q.R.H.S., Vol. 4, No. 1-2, 1964-65, pp. 68-70).
- Lal, K.S., Historical Essays, Vol. I: History and Historiography, New Delhi, Radha Publication, 2001.
- Lambert, Peter and Schofield, Phillipp (Ed.), Making History: An introduction to the history and practices of a discipline, London: Routledge, 2004.
- Manickam, S., "Indian Historiography: Some Changing Perspectives", (I.H.S., Vol. 3, No. 1, October 2006, pp.11-22).
- Phadke, H.A., Essays on Indian Historiography, New Delhi: Rawat Publication, 2005.
- Philips, C.H. (Ed.), Historians of India, Pakistan and Ceylon, London: Oxford University Press, 1961.
- Roy, Atul Chandra, "Trends in Modern Historiography on Medieval India", (T.Q.R.H.S., Vol. 3, No. 1-2, 1963-64, pp. 29-35).
- Sen, Amartya, The Argumentative Indian: Writings on Indian History, Culture and Identity, London: Penguin, 2005.
- Sen, S.P. (Ed.) Historians and Historiography in Modern India, Calcutta: Institute of Historical Studies, 1973.
- Singh, Khuswant, "Past perfect, Present tense," (The Tribune, Saturday Extra, August 4, 2007, p. 3).
- Southgate, Beverley, History: What & Why? Ancient, Modern and Postmodern Perspectives, 2nd ed., London: Routledge, 2001.
- Thapar, Romila, Early India from the Origins to A.D. 1300, New Delhi, Penguin, 20.
- Banerjee, Kalyan Kumar, "Historiography in Modern India: Some Relevant Issues", (T.Q.R.H.S., Vol. X, No. 3, 1970-71, pp. 127-131).
- Case, Margaret H., "The Historical Craftsmanship of W.H. Moreland (1868-1938)," (I.E.S.H.R., Vol. II, No. 3, Oct., 1965, Delhi, pp. 21-25).
- Chandra, Satish, "Writings on Social History of Medieval India: Trends and Prospects", (I.H.R., Vol. 3, No. 2, 1976, pp. 267-285).
- Ghosh, Ranjan, "India, Itihasa, and Inter-Historiographical Discourse", (Studies in the Philosophy of History, No. 2, History and Theory 46, May 2007, pp. 210-217).
- Grewal, J.S., "Historical Writing on Urbanisation in Medieval India", (Indu Banga, (Ed.), The City in Indian History: Urban Demography, Society and Politics, New Delhi, reprint. 2005, pp. 69-79).
- Lal, K.S., Historical Essays, Vol. I: History and Historiography, New Delhi, Radha Publication, 2001.
- Lambert, Peter and Schofield, Phillipp (Ed.), Making History: An introduction to the history and practices of a discipline, London: Routledge, 2004.
- Manickam, S., "Indian Historiography: Some Changing Perspectives", (I.H.S., Vol. 3, No. 1, October 2006, pp.11-22).
- Phadke, H.A., Essays on Indian Historiography, New Delhi: Rawat Publication, 2005.
- Philips, C.H. (Ed.), Historians of India, Pakistan and Ceylon, London: Oxford University Press, 1961.
- Roy, Atul Chandra, "Trends in Modern Historiography on Medieval India", (T.Q.R.H.S., Vol. 3, No. 1-2, 1963-64, pp. 29-35).
- Sen, Amartya, The Argumentative Indian: Writings on Indian History, Culture and Identity, London: Penguin, 2005.
- Sen, S.P. (Ed.) Historians and Historiography in Modern India, Calcutta: Institute of Historical Studies, 1973.
- Singh, Khuswant, "Past perfect, Present tense," (The Tribune, Saturday Extra, August 4, 2007, p. 3).
- Southgate, Beverley, History: What & Why? Ancient, Modern and Postmodern Perspectives, 2nd ed., London: Routledge, 2001.
- Thapar, Romila, Early India from the Origins to A.D. 1300, New Delhi, Penguin, 20.
- Wolseley Haig, The Cambridge History of India, Vol. III, Turks and Afghans, Cambridge, 1928; Stanley Lane Poole, Medieval India under Muhammadan Rule (AD. 712-1764), New York, 1903, 1970 reprint; W.H. Moreland, The Agrarian System of Moslem India, Delhi, 1929; A.B.M. Habibullah, The Foundation of Muslim Rule in India, Lahore, 1942; R.P. Tripathi. Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, Allahabad, 1936; Aziz Ahmad, Studies in Islamic Culture in the Indian Environment, Oxford, 1964; K.A. Nizami, Some Aspects of Religion and Politics in India during the Thirteenth Century, Aligarh, 1961, 1974 reprint; K.M. Ashraf, Life and Conditions of the People of Hindustan, Calcutta, 1935, 1988 reprint.; M. Mujeeb, The Indian Muslims, Montreal, 1967; and, more recently, André Wink, al-Hind: The Making of the Indo-Islamic World, Vol. II: The Slave Kings and the Islamic Conquest, 11th -13th Centuries, Leiden, 1997.
- <sup>2</sup>Mohammad Habib, "Introduction to Elliot and Dowson", op. cit., pp. 47-59, 95-100.
- <sup>3</sup>For an early contrast see James Mill, The History of British India, 3 Vols. New Delhi, 1817-18, 1972 reprint, 2 vols., and Mountstuart Elphinstone, The History of British Power in the East (London, 1842, 1887 reprint). R.C. Majumdar. (Ed.), The Struggle for Empire: The History and Culture of



the Indian People, Vol. V, Bombay, 1957. More recently, see André Wink, *Al-Hind*, vol. II: The Slave Kings, op. cit., pp. 294-333.

<sup>4</sup>For Habib's reflections on the introduction of new technologies by Muslim immigrants in India see "Introduction to Elliot and Dowson", op. cit., pp. 68-9.

<sup>5</sup>Habib explained: 'The relation of the culture of Ajam to Indo—Muslim culture is extremely simple. The whole of the science and culture of Ajam, briefly surveyed above, was bodily imported into India by the first quarter of the 13th century', K.A. Nizami, (Ed.), op. cit., p. 59. Note also the long introductory chapter, 'The Asiatic Environment' authored by Habib in the volume edited by him and K.A. Nizami. *A Comprehensive History of India, Vol. 5: The Delhi Sultanat, A.D. 1206—1526*, New Delhi, 1970, 1982 reprint, pp. 1-131. Sub-section in the chapter reviewed individual dynasties and rulers and were introduced by an account titled: 'Rise of Monarchy in the Governing Class among the Musalmans'. Until very recently this was the standard textbook (also available in Hindi) prescribed to undergraduate students and published under the auspices of the Indian History Congress.

<sup>6</sup>Mohammad Habib, "Introduction to Elliot and Dowson", op. cit., pp. 36, 43-7, 74-80, and especially pp. 84-94. For a different interpretation, see Richard M. Eaton, "The Political and Religious Authority of the Shrine of Baba Farid", in Barbara Metcalf, (Ed.), *Moral Conduct and Authority: The Place of Adab in South Asian Islam*, California, 1984, pp. 333-56, reprinted in Richard M. Eaton, *Essays on Islam and Indian History*, Delhi, 2000, pp. 203-24. Also see idem, *The Rise of Islam and the Bengal Frontier, 1204-1760*, Delhi, 1997 reprint.

<sup>7</sup>Note the title of Habibullah's book: *The Foundation of Muslim Rule in India*, and Chapter 6, 'Hindu Aggression: 1235-1265'.

<sup>8</sup>Mohammad Habib, "Introduction to Elliot and Dowson", op. cit., pp. 61-7, 71-80, and 91—4.

<sup>9</sup>I.H. Qureshi, *The Administration of the Sultanate of Delhi*, 1st ed., Lahore, 1942.

<sup>10</sup>Peter Hardy, op. cit., pp. 302-303.

<sup>11</sup>*Ibid.*, pp. 204-214.

<sup>12</sup>I.H. Qureshi, *The Muslim Community of the Indo-Pakistan Subcontinent (610-1947 A.D.)*, Delhi, 1985, pp. 1-87.

<sup>13</sup>I.H. Qureshi, op. cit., p. 66.

<sup>14</sup>*Ibid.*, pp. 62-77.

<sup>15</sup>*Ibid.*, p. 77.

<sup>16</sup>*Ibid.*, p. 86.

<sup>17</sup>R.C. Majumdar, (Ed.) *History and Culture of the Indian People*, Vol. 4, Bombay, 1964, pp. 615-618.

<sup>18</sup>R.C. Majumdar (Ed.), op. cit., pp. 617-618.

<sup>19</sup>K.M. Panikkar, *A Survey of Indian History*, Bombay, 1957, pp. 113-135.

<sup>20</sup>*Ibid.*, pp. 131-132.

<sup>21</sup>K.M. Panikkar, op. cit., pp. 130

<sup>22</sup>*Ibid.*, p. 132.

<sup>23</sup>*Ibid.*, p. 133.

<sup>24</sup>A. Rashid, op. cit., pp. 216-239.

<sup>25</sup>*Ibid.*, p. 218.

<sup>26</sup>Mohammad Habib, "Chishti Mystics Records of the Sultanate Period", *M.I.Q.*, Vol. 1, 1950, pp. 1-42; P.M.

Currie, *The Shrine and Cult of Mu'in al-Din Chishti of Ajmer*, Delhi, 1989.

<sup>27</sup>Carl Ernst, *Eternal Garden: Mysticism, History and Politics at a South Asian Sufi Center*, Albany, New York, 1992, p. xxviii and Chapters 4 and 5, pp. 62-93. Marcia K. Hermansen and Bruce B. Lawrence, "Indo-Persian Tazkiras as Memorative Communications", in David Gilmartin and Bruce B. Lawrence, *Beyond Turk and Hindu: Rethinking Religious Identities in Islamicate South Asia*, New Delhi, 2002, pp. 149-75.

<sup>28</sup>Mohammad Habib, "Introduction to Elliot and Dowson", op. cit., p. 56.

<sup>29</sup>Idem, "An Introduction to the Study of Medieval India (A.D. 1000-14)", in K.A. Nizami, (Ed.), *Politics and Society during the Early Medieval Period*, Vol. I, New Delhi, 1974, pp. 21-32.

<sup>30</sup>Andre Wink, op. cit., pp. 294-333.

<sup>31</sup>Richard M. Eaton, *The Rise of Islam and the Bengal frontier, 1204-1760 A.D.*, New Delhi, 1997, pp. 113-134.

<sup>32</sup>Yohanan Friedmann, "A Contribution to the Early History of Islam in India", in Myrian Rosen Ayalon (Ed.), *Studies in Memory of Gaston Wiet: Institute of Asian and African Studies*, Jerusalem, 1977, p. 322.

<sup>33</sup>Ibn Battuta, *The Rehla of Ibn Battuta*, Eng. Trans. Mahdi Hussain, Baroda, 1953, p. 46.

<sup>34</sup>H. Beverley, *Report on the Census of Bengal, 1872*, Calcutta : Secretariat Press, 1872, p. 132; James Wise, "The Muhammadus of Eastern Bengal", *J.A.S.B.*, Vol. 63, 1894, p. 32.

<sup>35</sup>K.A. Nizami, "Al-Hind: V, Islam", *Encyclopedia of Islam*, Leiden, 1965, 3:429; I.H. Qureshi, *The Muslim Community of the Indo-Pakistan Subcontinent*, op. cit., p. 42.

<sup>36</sup>Yohanan Friedmann, "Medieval Muslim views of Indian Religions," *J.A.O.S.*, Vol. 95, 1975, pp. 214-21.

<sup>37</sup>Albert Hourani, *Arabic Thought in the Liberal Age, 1798-1939*, London, 1962.

<sup>38</sup>S.A.A. Rizvi, *Religion and Intellectual History of the Muslims in Akbar's Reign*, Delhi, 1975, p. 57.

<sup>39</sup>Murry T. Titus, *Indian Islam*, New Delhi, rept. 1975, p. 31; K.S. Lal, *Growth of Muslim Population In Medieval India – A.D. 1000-1800*, Delhi, p. 113-116; R.C. Majumdar, *History and Culture of the Indian People, The Delhi Sultanate*, Vol. VI, Bombay, 1960, p. 521-522.

<sup>40</sup>T.W. Arnold, *The Preaching of Islam In India*, Delhi, 1984, p. 254-293; Aziz Ahmad, *Studies in Islamic Culture in the Indian Environment*, London, 1967, p. 83; A.R. Saiyid "Observations on the Spread of Islam in Indian Subcontinent", in V.V. Saiyed, *Religion and Ethnicity Among Muslims*, New Delhi, 1995, p. 83-87; Tara Chand, *Influence of Islam on Indian Culture*, Allahabad, 1963, p. 43; K.A. Nizami, *Some Aspects of Religion and Politics in India During the Thirteenth Century*, Aligarh, 1961, pp. 76, 320.

<sup>41</sup>Mohammad Habib "Introduction to Elliot and Dowson", op. cit., pp. 57-59.

<sup>42</sup>*Ibid.*, pp. 37-45, 55-57; S.M. Jaffar, *Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim Rule in India*, Delhi, 1979, pp. 190-191.

# Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished research paper.Summary of Research Project,Theses,Books and Books Review of publication,you will be pleased to know that our journals are

## Associated and Indexed,India

- \* International Scientific Journal Consortium    Scientific
- \* OPEN J-GATE

## Associated and Indexed,USA

- EBSCO
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database

Golden Research Thoughts  
258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005,Maharashtra  
Contact-9595359435  
E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com  
Website : www.isrj.net