Vol 4 Issue 4 Oct 2014

ISSN No :2231-5063

International Multidisciplinary Research Journal

Golden Research
Thoughts

Chief Editor
Dr.Tukaram Narayan Shinde

Publisher Mrs.Laxmi Ashok Yakkaldevi Associate Editor Dr.Rajani Dalvi

Honorary Mr.Ashok Yakkaldevi

Welcome to GRT

RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

ISSN No.2231-5063

Golden Research Thoughts Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial board. Readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

International Advisory Board

Flávio de São Pedro Filho Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

Kamani Perera

Regional Center For Strategic Studies, Sri Abdullah Sabbagh

Lanka

Janaki Sinnasamy Librarian, University of Malaya

Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania

Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest,

Romania

Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur

Titus PopPhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania

Mohammad Hailat

Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Carolina Aiken

Engineering Studies, Sydney

Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Loredana Bosca

Spiru Haret University, Romania

Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

George - Calin SERITAN

Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi

Hasan Baktir

English Language and Literature Department, Kayseri

Ghayoor Abbas Chotana

Dept of Chemistry, Lahore University of Management Sciences[PK]

Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania

Spiru Haret University, Romania

Xiaohua Yang PhD, USA

.....More

Editorial Board

Pratap Vyamktrao Naikwade Iresh Swami

ASP College Devrukh, Ratnagiri, MS India Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur

Head Geology Department Solapur

University, Solapur

Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education,

Panvel

Salve R. N.

Department of Sociology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur

Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance

Education Center, Navi Mumbai Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar

Arts, Science & Commerce College, Indapur, Pune

Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play, Meerut (U.P.)

N.S. Dhaygude Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur

Narendra Kadu Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune

K. M. Bhandarkar

Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia

Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain

G. P. Patankar

S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar

Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary

Ph.D.-University of Allahabad

Director, Hyderabad AP India.

S.Parvathi Devi

Sonal Singh, Vikram University, Ujjain Rajendra Shendge

Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University,

Solapur

R. R. Yalikar

Director Managment Institute, Solapur

Umesh Rajderkar

Head Humanities & Social Science YCMOU, Nashik

S. R. Pandya

Head Education Dept. Mumbai University,

Mumbai

Alka Darshan Shrivastava

Rahul Shriram Sudke Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore

S.KANNAN

Annamalai University,TN

Satish Kumar Kalhotra

Maulana Azad National Urdu University

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India Cell: 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.aygrt.isrj.org Golden Research Thoughts
ISSN 2231-5063
Impact Factor: 2.2052(UIF)
Volume-4 | Issue-4 | Oct-2014
Available online at www.aygrt.isrj.org





INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON OF TAX - GDP RATIO

Vipin Kumar

Associate Professor of Commerce in Sri Aurobindo College(Morning), University of Delhi.

Abstract:-The Tax - GDP ratio is a significant feature of any country's Tax system and thus governments make constant efforts to advance them. In the case of India, the ongoing process of development and liberalization has made major contribution to its Tax potential but still, a lot more needs to be done to make it comparable with other countries of the world. The paper seeks to attempt the comprehensive study of Tax-GDP ratio in India from 1990-91 onwards.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Keywords:} International Comparison \\ , Tax - GDP \ Ratio \\ , Post-liberalisation \\ Slippage \\ . \end{tabular}$

INTRODUCTION

Trends in Tax-GDP Ratio: Post-liberalisation Slippage and Recovery

In 1950-51, total tax collections in India were a mere 6.31 per cent of GDP. With the launching of the Five Year Plans in 1951, and expansion in administrative and welfare activities of the Government at different levels, the need for revenue increased and it was met mainly by additional tax efforts. Consequently, tax-GDP ratio started increasing from decade to decade, being 7.86 per cent in 1960-61, 10.40 per cent in 1970-71 and 13.80 per cent in 1980-81. [4] It stood at 15.43 per cent in 1990-91 and after that it declined to as low as 13.38 per cent in 1998-99. Lately, an upward trend is noticeable in tax-GDP ratio (Table 8.1).

Table 8.1: Combined Tax Revenue as Per Cent of GDP: 1990-91 to 2012-13 (Rs. crore)

Year	Combined Tax Revenue*	Col. 2 as % of GDP
1	2	3
1990-91	87,722	15.43
1991-92	1,03,198	15.80
1992-93	1,14,166	15.26
1993-94	1,21,961	14.19

Vipin Kumar, "INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON OF TAX - GDP RATIO", Golden Research Thoughts | Volume 4 | Issue 4 | Oct 2014 | Online & Print

1

1994-95	1,47,849	14.60	
1995-96	1,75,259	14.75	
1996-97	2,01,056	14.69	
1997-98	2,20,659	14.49	
1998-99	2,33,017	13.38	
1999-00	2,74,583	14.02	
2000-01	3,05,320	14.49	
2001-02	3,14,535	13.79	
2002-03	3,56,277	14.54	
2003-04	4,14,084	15.00	
2004-05	4,94,016	15.83	
2005-06	5,85,626	16.58	

^{*} Total tax revenue of the Central and State Governments. 2004-05= Revised estimates; 2005-06 = Budget estimates

Indian Public Finance Statistics, 2005-2006, Tables 1.7 and 1.8).

Note: The ratios of GDP since 1950-51 are with reference to new series GDP (Base: 1993-94) at current market prices. (Source: Rearranged data from Government of India, Ministry of Finance,

The slippage in tax-GDP ratio was confirmed by the Twelfth Finance Commission. To quote, "Taking the 15-year period from 1987-88 to 2001-02, and comparing three-year averages at both ends, that is for 1987-90 and 1999-2002, we note that the tax-GDP ratio fell from a level of about 16 per cent relative to GDP by 1.6 percentage points to reach an average level of 14.4 per cent of GDP." [5] Stating the reasons for the slippage, the Commission noted, "As a result of tax reforms, the indirect taxes relative to GDP started coming down whereas that of direct taxes started increasing. But the magnitude of increase in the direct taxes was less than the fall in indirect taxes. In consequence, the overall tax-GDP ratio fell from its peak in 1987-88 to 14.4 per cent in 2001-02." [6] It is noteworthy that rates of excise and customs duties have gradually been lowered during the post-1991 period.

Emphasising the need to increase tax-GDP ratios, the Commission suggested ratios for the year 2009-10 (Table 8.2).

Table 8.2: Tax-GDP Ratios Suggested by the Twelfth Finance Commission for the Year 2009-10

	2004-05	2009-10
Combined tax revenue	15.6	17.6
Centre's gross tax revenue	9.7	10.9
States' own tax revenues	5.9	6.8

Source: Report of the Twelfth Finance Commission (2005-10), November 2004, Tables 4.12 and 4.13 (excerpted).

Tax-GDP Ratios in Developed and Developing Countries

There has been a good deal of literature on ranking countries according to tax ratio relative to their taxable capacity to measure national tax performance. These inter-country comparisons of tax levels have been attempted by individual scholars and international agencies from time to time. Much of the research work in this area has been done by the Fiscal Affairs Department of the International Monetary Fund.

Tax-GDP ratio is generally high in developed countries as compared to developing countries. In Denmark, the tax-GDP ratio was as high as 47.75 per cent in 2004. For the same year, it was 36.25 per cent in Sweden, 35.16 per cent in Norway, and 30.68 per cent in Australia. Table 8.3 records tax-GDP ratios for important developed countries of the world and compares them with tax-GDP ratios in some developing countries. It is found that tax-GDP ratios in developing countries are far low as compared to developed countries.

Table 8.3: Tax-GDP Ratios in Selected Developed and Developing Countries: 2004 (in per cent)

Constrict (in the second in th	T. CDD	C1: 1:	T. CDD
Countries (in descending	Tax-GDP	Countries (in descending	Tax-GDP
order of Tax-GDP Ratio)	Ratio	order of Tax-GDP Ratio)	Ratio
Developed countries		9. Netherlands	23.29
1. Denmark	47.75	10. Germany	21.91
2. Sweden	36.25	Developing countries	
3. Norway	35.16	11. Malaysia	18.57
4. Australia	30.68	12. Thailand	17.19
5. Italy	29.68	13. Mauritius	17.11
6. United Kingdom	29.20	14. India	15.15
7. Canada	28.98	15. Nepal	9.7
8. France	26.95		

Sources: International Monetary Fund, Government Finance Statistics Yearbook 2005. For India, Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Indian Public Finance Statistics, 2004-05, Table 1.8.

From the foregoing analysis it can be inferred that the level of taxation is linked with the stage of development. More specifically, tax-GDP ratio is positively related to per capita income, i.e. higher the per capita income, greater is the tax-GDP ratio and vice versa. In poor countries, the taxpaying capacity of the people is less owing to low levels of per capita income.

If we compare India with other countries of the world, India has one of the lowest tax-GDP ratio in the world. We need not compare ourselves with OECD countries such as Denmark or Sweden, where the ratio was around 48 and 37 per cent respectively in 2004 or even with the UK or Canada, where it stands at around 29 per cent. Comparison with our South-East Asian neighbours, too, is not very encouraging. Countries such as Thailand and Malaysia score better than India on the tax-GDP front.

This low tax/GDP ratio has been a central feature of India's fiscal problems. Tax reform is an ongoing exercise. Apart from committees set up by the government, independent public institutions have been delving into the causes and cures for the phenomenon of stagnation in the ratio, which even the reform process, set in motion from 1991 onwards, has not succeeded in pulling up to a large extent. While India's fiscal system appears to have made little progress, when viewed

through the Tax/GDP ratio, a great deal of qualitative progress has been made through tax reform, which has set the stage for a growth of the Tax/GDP ratio in a way that is consistent with rapid economic growth, and raising resources for financing public investment, producing public goods of adequate quality and quantity, and supporting enhanced spending on social programs in areas such as education and health.

Limitations of Inter-country Tax Comparisons: Are inter-country comparisons of taxation levels meaningful? Some fiscal experts have sharply criticised these attempts. According to critics, the economic, political, and institutional characteristics of individual countries are so different that neither theoretical nor empirical studies provide useful information of policy relevance. Tax-GDP ratios do not consider the fact that some countries are more favourably placed to levy and collect taxes than others. For example, Lotz and Morss analysed a sample of 72 developed and developing countries to examine the relationship between tax ratio variations and differences in per capita income and degree of openness. The sample included a wide spectrum of dissimilar economies ranging from Nepal to Singapore. It is prima facie erroneous to compare Nepal's traditional rural and agricultural economy with a highly commercial and industrial city state of Singapore.

According to critics, the actual tax-to-income ratio is a vague concept because the definitions of numerator and denominator are debatable. In spite of these limitations, international tax comparisons are useful so far as they process and condense a large amount of otherwise incomprehensible information. However, it must be emphasised that such comparisons are more meaningful if attempted among countries having similar socio-economic circumstances.

REFERENCES

1.M. Govinda Rao and R. Kavita Rao (2005), "Trends and Issues in Tax policy and Reform in India", NIPFP working paper.

2.Task Force Report on Implementation of the Fiscal, Chapter 2, Fiscal Challenge, [FRBM (Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management) Report]

3. Report of the Twelfth Finance Commission (2005-10), November 2004, p. 22.

4.Ibid,, p. 63.

5. Jorgen R. Lotz and Elliott R. Morss, 'Measuring 'Tax Effort' in Developing Countries', IMF Staff Papers, Vol. 14, November 1967, pp. 478-99;

6.Raja J. Chelliah, July 1971, pp. 254-331;

7.Roy W. Bahl, Vol. 18, November 1971, pp. 570-612.

8.Roy W. Bahl, 'A Representative Tax System Approach to Measuring Tax

Vol. 19, March 1972, pp. 87-123;

9. Raja J. Chelliah, Hessel Bass and Margaret Kelly, 'Tax Ratios and Effort in Developing Countries, 1969-71', IMF Staff Papers, Vol. 22, March, 1975, pp. 187-205;

10. Alan Tait, Wilfrid Gratz, and Barry Eichengreen,

Vol. 26, March 1979;

11. Vito Tanzi, No. 3, September 1989, pp. 633-656. 12. R.M. Bird, B. R. Bolnick, and A. R. Prest in J.F.J. Toye (ed.) Taxation and Economic

Development (London: Frank Cass and Company).

13.Das-Gupta, (2004a). "The Compliance Cost of the Personal Income Tax in India, 2000–2001: Preliminary Estimates." NIPFP Working Paper 9. New Delhi. March 2004b.–2001." NIPFP Working Paper 8. New Delhi. March.

14. pp. 570-612.

15.Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Interim Report of the Tax Reforms Committee (Chairman, Raja Chelliah), December 1991

16.Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Report of the Central Excise (Self-Removal Procedure) Review Committee (Chairman, B. Venkatappiah), 1973 Leuthold, J. (1991), "Tax Shares in Developing Economies: A Panel Study", Journal of Development Economics, Volume 35, pp.173-85.

17.M.M. Sury (2007), Fiscal Policy Developments in India: 1947 to 2007, New Century Publications.

18.Lotz, Jorgen R. and Elliott R. Morss (November 14, pp. 478-99 Stotsky, J. and A. WoldeMariam (1997), "Tax

Effort in Sub-Saharan Africa", Working Paper 97/107: International Monetary Fund, Washington, D.C. Tanzi, Vito Countries", in David Newbery and Nicholas Stern (eds.), The Theory of Taxation in 19.Tanzi, Vito and H. Davoodi (1997), "Corruption, Public Investment and Growth", Working Paper 97/139: International Monetary Fund, Washington, D.C. Tanzi, Vito Countries", in David Newbery and Nicholas Stern

(eds.), The Theory of Taxation in



Vipin Kumar

Associate Professor of Commerce in Sri Aurobindo College(Morning), University of Delhi.

Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper, Summary of Research Project, Theses, Books and Book Review for publication, you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed, India

- ★ International Scientific Journal Consortium
- * OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed, USA

- * EBSCO
- *Index Copernicus
- **★Publication Index**
- *Academic Journal Database
- **★Contemporary Research Index**
- *Academic Paper Databse
- **★Digital Journals Database**
- **★Current Index to Scholarly Journals**
- **★Elite Scientific Journal Archive**
- **★Directory Of Academic Resources**
- **★Scholar Journal Index**
- **★Recent Science Index**
- **★Scientific Resources Database**
- **★Directory Of Research Journal Indexing**

Golden Research Thoughts 258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005, Maharashtra Contact-9595359435 E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com Website: www.aygrt.isrj.org