

Vol 4 Issue 7 Jan 2015

ISSN No :2231-5063

International Multidisciplinary
Research Journal

Golden Research
Thoughts

Chief Editor
Dr.Tukaram Narayan Shinde

Publisher
Mrs.Laxmi Ashok Yakkaldevi

Associate Editor
Dr.Rajani Dalvi

Honorary
Mr.Ashok Yakkaldevi

Welcome to GRT

RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

ISSN No.2231-5063

Golden Research Thoughts Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial board. Readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

International Advisory Board

Flávio de São Pedro Filho Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Mohammad Hailat Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Carolina Aiken	Hasan Baktir English Language and Literature Department, Kayseri
Kamani Perera Regional Center For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka	Abdullah Sabbagh Engineering Studies, Sydney	Ghayoor Abbas Chotana Dept of Chemistry, Lahore University of Management Sciences[PK]
Janaki Sinnasamy Librarian, University of Malaya	Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest	Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania
Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania	Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania	Ilie Pinteau, Spiru Haret University, Romania
Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania	Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Xiaohua Yang PhD, USA
Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur	George - Calin SERITAN Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences AL. I. Cuza University, IasiMore
Titus PopPhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea,Romania		

Editorial Board

Pratap Vyamktrao Naikwade ASP College Devrukh,Ratnagiri,MS India	Iresh Swami Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur	Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur
R. R. Patil Head Geology Department Solapur University,Solapur	N.S. Dhaygude Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur	R. R. Yaliker Director Managment Institute, Solapur
Rama Bhosale Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education, Panvel	Narendra Kadu Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune	Umesh Rajderkar Head Humanities & Social Science YCMOU,Nashik
Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University,Kolhapur	K. M. Bhandarkar Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia	S. R. Pandya Head Education Dept. Mumbai University, Mumbai
Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai	Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain	Alka Darshan Shrivastava Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar
Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar Arts, Science & Commerce College, Indapur, Pune	G. P. Patankar S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka	Rahul Shriram Sudke Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore
Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary,Play India Play,Meerut(U.P.)	Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director,Hyderabad AP India.	S.KANNAN Annamalai University,TN
	S.Parvathi Devi Ph.D.-University of Allahabad	Satish Kumar Kalhotra Maulana Azad National Urdu University
	Sonal Singh, Vikram University, Ujjain	

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India
Cell : 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.aygrt.isrj.org



SEASONALITY OF INVERTEBRATE FAUNA INHABITING LOWER SHIWALIK STREAM, PHILODINAVUS PARADOXUS- THE FIRST REPORT

Shiwali Gupta , Sarbjeet Kour and Harjeet Kaur

Department of Zoology, University of Jammu.
Baba sahib Ambedkar road, Jammu, J&K, INDIA.

Abstract:- The present contribution encompasses on few zooplankton groups and physico-chemical parameters as ecological indicator for identifying the ecological quality of Devika stream at Udhampur district, J&K. The seasonal variation of both these components were analysed for a period of one year (July, 2013– June, 2014). Fifteen physico-chemical parameters were analysed and a remarkable seasonal variation was recorded in them during the study period. Regarding zooplankton, a total of 36 genera were recorded, out of which Protozoa was represented by 14 genera, Copepoda by 12 genera, Rotifera by 6 genera and Cladocera by 4 genera. Among these zooplankton, Rotifera showed its presence throughout the study period and the recorded data clearly showed well-marked seasonal fluctuation in zooplankton population with the presence of new rotifer sp. viz. *Philodinavus paradoxus*. The various kinds of indices such as Shannon-Wiener index, Simpson index, Margalef's index and Menhinick's index were also analysed.

Keywords: *Philodinavus*, Ecological, Seasonal fluctuation, Margalef's index.

INTRODUCTION

Water is the prime necessity for the existence of life apart from being the universal solvent. Water is available on earth as lotic and lentic aquatic sources as it provides habitat to a large number of microscopic and macroscopic communities. Thorough knowledge of physico-chemical conditions prevalent in a water-body provides assessment of water quality and also about the existence of biotic communities (Laal et al., 1986 & Nath, 2001). Moreover, there exists a close relationship between the hydro-biological parameters and the metabolism of aquatic organisms (Desmukh & Ambore, 2006).

Zooplankton, microscopic drifting animal-like aquatic invertebrates forms an important component of the biotic communities inhabiting the aquatic ecosystem. Ecologically, they play a useful role influencing all the functional aspects of the aquatic ecosystem viz. food chain, food web, energy flow/transfer and cycling of matter. The population dynamics and distribution of the inhabiting zooplanktons can be best understood by the prevalent physico-chemical factors. The complete study of both the organism and environment is, therefore, very essential and pre-requisite for understanding the various life history parameters of aquatic organisms (Welch, 1952). Suresh et al. (2011) reported that different environmental factors that determine the characters of water have great importance upon the growth and abundance of zooplankton.

Most of the zooplankton species are cosmopolitan in distribution. Their distribution depends on several factors, some of which are climatic conditions, physico-chemical factors and the availability of phytoplankton as food. Zooplankton play an important role in indicating the water quality, eutrophication status and productivity of any freshwater-body (Mikschi E, 1989). The abundance & distribution of these organisms can provide information regarding the health of water-body whether it is polluted or non-polluted Gajbhiye et al., 1981).

With this background, the present work was undertaken to analyse the physico-chemical parameters, seasonal abundance of zooplankton and also to know the relationship between the former and the latter.

STUDY AREA:

Devika stream, a lotic ecosystem of Udampur district, lies between 32°53'27" N latitude and 75°6'34" E longitude. This is a slow flowing, concrete embarked stream.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Monthly zooplankton sample was collected from the study area for the period of one year from July, 2013 to June, 2014. Concurrently, water sample was also taken for measuring the selected physico-chemical variables. Air temperature, Water temperature, Water velocity, Depth, DO and FCO₂ were done at the study site and rest of these abiotic parameters were determined in the laboratory (APHA, 1985).

For zooplankton samples, 50 litres of water was filtered using plankton net (Nytex 70µm mesh size). The filtered sample was transferred to glass vials and was preserved in 5% formalin. For their qualitative analysis, the methods given by Edmondson & Winberg (1971), Pennak (1978) and Adoni (1985) were used. For quantitative analysis, the drop count method was applied and the no. of zooplankton per litre of the concentrate was calculated by using the formula:

$$\text{Organism/litre} = A \times 1/L \times n/V$$

Where V = Volume of 1 drop (ml)
A = Number of organism per drop (ml)
n = Total volume of concentrated sample (ml)
L = Volume of original sample (l)

Various indices such as Shannon-wiener (H') index, Simpson index (I), Margalef's index (R1) and Menhinick index (R2) were used to analyze species diversity, richness.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

A. PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS:

Monthly variations in the various physico-chemical parameters are shown in Table 1. All these factors showed wide range of fluctuations with changing seasons.

1. Temperature: Water temperature closely followed air temperature as earlier advocated by Sharma (2001), Sawhney (2004) & Shvetambri (2007). The rise in temperature (air & water) was primarily due to increased day length and sharp angle of incidence during summers. The decrease in temperature (air & water) may be because of reduced illumination, shorter day length (Sawhney, 2008 & Shindey et al., 2011) and less turbidity (Buttler, 1962).

2. Water velocity: This parameter showed its highest value during monsoons and lower value during summers. Higher water velocity coincides with the peak in water level caused by heavy rains and increase in runoff (Sharma, 1999, Chowdhary, 2011 & Sharma, 2013).

3. Depth: The depth was found to be more during monsoons and less during summers. The maximum depth in monsoons was due to rains that caused frequent floods (Sawhney, 2008 & Chowdhary, 2011). The decrease in depth during summers was due to evaporation at high temperature (Zutshi, 1992 & Sharma, 1999) and no extra addition from catchment areas.

4. pH: In winters, alkaline pH was recorded and during summers, acidic pH was recorded. Low pH in summers may be due to reduced level of water (Dutta & Patra, 2013) and high value of FCO₂ (Langer et al., 2007). High pH in winters might be because of various additive factors as low water level (Pulugandhi, 2014) but less evaporation results in dilution even with the addition of domestic sewage (Bhandarwar & Bhandarkar, 2013).

5. DO: Concentration of DO is inversely proportional to tempt at a given time. Low DO during summer may be because of high tempt as its solubility decreases at higher tempt. (Dutta & Patra, 2013). Agitation of water due to heavy rainfall caused an increase in DO during monsoons (Chinnahiah et al., 2011). Higher DO during winters may be because of physical aeration rather than biological aeration (Hutchinson, 1957) and because of increased oxygen solubility at low tempt. (Bhandarkar & Bhandarkar, 2013).

6. FCO₂: Most of the FCO₂ comes from the decomposition of the organic matter and respiration of organisms (Singh, 1999). Increased decomposition of organic matter at high temperature and increased respiratory activities of aquatic organisms lead to the higher production of FCO₂ during summers (Talling, 1957, Singh & Gupta, 2010 & Ahangar et al., 2012). Shorter photoperiod, slow decomposition due to low temperature made FCO₂ lower in winters (Kumar et al., 1987 & Chowdhary, 2011).

7. BOD: The minimum BOD was recorded during winters due to decrease in temperature, which lead to decrease in microbial activity (Sachidanandamurthy & Yajurvedi, 2004). Increased microbial decomposition of dead organic matter with increase in water temp. & decrease in water flow was responsible for higher BOD in summers (Das & Acharya, 2003 & Garg et al., 2009).

8. Bicarbonates: Low FCO_2 , reduced photosynthetic activities in winters resulted in decreased uptake of HCO_3^- as a source of carbon in photosynthesis, which lead its increase in winters (Sharma, 2013). Lower value of HCO_3^- in summers might be due to use of bicarbonates by the aquatic biota directly which lead to their depletion in water resulting in low value of total alkalinity (Harney et al., 2013).

9. Calcium: The uptake of Ca^{2+} for rich phytoplanktonic growth (Sawhney, 2008) and its decreased solubility at high temp. (Abdel-Satar, 2005) might be responsible for its lower concentration in summers. High amount of Ca^{2+} in monsoons might be due to more leaching of Ca^{2+} containing rocks during rainy season and their subsequent entry into the water source along the runoff from catchment areas (Bhandarkar & Bhandrakar, 2013) and also due to rapid oxidation of organic matter (Pulugandi, 2014).

10. Magnesium: Decreased amount of Mg^{2+} might be due to its utilization by phytoplankton for chlorophyll molecules and enzymatic transformation (Wetzel, 2001 & Malik & Pandey, 2006). Leaching of Mg^{2+} bearing rocks in the catchment area might be responsible for its higher concentration during monsoons (Bhandarkar & Bhandrakar, 2013).

11. Chlorides: The higher level of Cl^- was recorded during summers which might be the result of increased rate of evaporation (Shinde et al., 2011) and winter minima might be attributed to dilution effect and renewal of water mass (Shinde et al., 2011).

12. Sulphates: Lesser amount of sulphates in winter might be due to low temperature which resulted in reduced decomposition rate and conversion of sulphate to sulphides (Tripathy & Pandey, 1990 & Kaur, 2006). Sulphate maxima in summers might be due to high rate of evaporation and low flow of water (Bhandarkar & Bhandarkar, 2013).

13. Phosphates: High amount of phosphates in summers might be due to continuous addition of dead organic matter with sewage wastes (Saad & Antony, 1978 & Kaul, 2000) and low water level (Bhandarkar & Bhandarkar, 2013). Decline in its concentration during winters might be due to its utilization by algal and its co-precipitation with carbonates at high pH (Sawhney, 2008).

14. Nitrates: Influx of decaying organic matter and crematoria wastes (Chattopadhyaya et al., 2005) and influx of flood water (Shukla et al., 1989 & Sharma, 2013) might be responsible for its higher concentration during winters. Its minimum concentration in summers might be due to its uptake by natural phytoplankton and its reduction by denitrifying bacteria (Sabae & Abdel-Satar, 2001 & Sharma, 2013).

B. ZOOPLANKTON COMMUNITY:

Qualitatively and quantitatively, monthly and seasonal abundance of zooplankton for one year of investigation has been presented in Table 2 & 3. The zooplankton community of Devika stream consisted of 4 groups viz. Protozoa, Rotifera, Copepoda and Cladocera which showed a well-marked seasonal variation in accordance with physico-chemical factors.

a. Protozoa: In the present work, this group showed maximum abundance contributing 82.06% of total zooplankton population studied, with a peak in summer season and minima in monsoons. This group was represented by 14 genera viz. *Centropyxis aculeata*, *Centropyxis ecornis*, *Centropyxis hemispherica*, *Vorticella* sp., *Bursaridium* sp., *Euplotes* sp., *Epistylis* sp., *Campanella* sp., *Paramecium Aurelia*, *Paramecium trichium*, *Paramecium caudatum*, *Colpidium* sp., *Euglypha* sp. and *Trachelomonas* sp. Their maxima in summers might be due to due to availability of food and high rate of decomposition at high temperature (Wetzel, 1975 & Sharma, 2013). In monsoons, reduced amount of detritus caused by floods and heavy rains caused a tremendous reduction in their number.

b. Rotifera: Rotifers followed Protozoa group contributing 10.89% and showed maxima during winters and minima during monsoons. This group was represented by 6 genera viz. *Philodina* sp., *Rotaria* sp., *Euchlanis* sp., *Asplanchna* sp., *Trichocerca multicrinis* and *Philodina paradoxus*. Their better development during cooler months coincides with the investigation of Heerkloss et al., 2005 and it might be due to higher oxygen content (Singh, 2004). A sharp decline in rotifer population was, however, recorded during monsoons which could be due to dilution of water resulting in lesser nutrients, reduced pH and DO level (Edmondson, 1965 & Sharma, 2013).

Characters of *Philodina paradoxus*: A new report of a rotifer species viz. *Philodina paradoxus* was made during the study period. This species reside in the littoral zone of the water-body under study (Fafioye & Omoyinmi, 2006) and has following characters: a. it is reptant, creeping with foot and rostrum b. Its corona is unspecialized c. its mastax is close to mouth d. it feeds by browsing and have a protrubale mastax which differs from that of other bdelloids in the structure and position of the trophy (Ricci & Melone, 1998).

c. Copepoda: This group stood at third rank in its abundance during the study period, contributing 6.91% of the total zooplankton. Their better development in warmer months (Heerkless et al., 2005) and the presence of rotifers which

may serve as prey species for them might be responsible for their maxima in summers (Dieguez & Gilbert, 2002 and Sharma, 2013). The high water currents, increased water flow and other adverse conditions caused by the floods during monsoons might be responsible for their absence in monsoon season (Welcomme, 1975 & Ekpo, 2013).

d. Cladocera: This group contributed 0.41% of the total zooplankton population. This group showed its maxima in summers and total absence during monsoons. Their summer maxima might be due to low abundance of rotifers during this period as an inverse relationship between relative abundance of rotifers and cladocerans have already been reported by Larsen et al., 1996 & Singh, 2004. Their absence in monsoons might be due to drift by rapid water current and the turbidity caused by the surface runoff which interferes with the photosynthesis of phytoplankton, thus, inhibiting their multiplication and ultimately reducing their population due to food scarcity (Viroux, 2002 & Sharma, 2013).

From the correlation analysis between zooplankton and physico-chemical parameters (Table 4), it becomes clear that the protozoans, copepods and cladocerans were positively correlated with temperature. Protozoa showed positive correlation with FCO₂, BOD, chlorides, sulphates and phosphates while rotifer showed positive correlation with pH and DO along with bicarbonates, sulphates and nitrates. Copepod population was positively correlated with FCO₂, BOD, magnesium, chlorides and phosphates and cladocera with depth, FCO₂, BOD, chlorides, sulphates and phosphates.

From the statistical analysis, various confirmations results were derived (Table 5). Shannon-wiener index revealed maxima for copepods and minima for Rotifers and Simpson index was higher for rotifers and minimum for copepods. The maximum and minimum values of species richness in terms of Margalef's index was of copepods and rotifers respectively and of Menhinick index was of cladocerans and protozoans respectively.

CONCLUSION:

The present study revealed that 36 genera of invertebrate fauna were recorded with abundance as Protozoa > Rotifera > Copepoda > Cladocera. This stream carried high biological productivity in terms of better population density of different zooplankton communities. In correlation to physico-chemical parameters, zooplankton existed under a wide range of environmental conditions, yet many species were limited by DO, pH and other physico-chemical factors. The presence of Philodina species throughout the study period indicates that the water-body is approaching towards eutrophication with the heavy inflow of organic pollutants. Also it is prevalent that zooplankton do have appearance and disappearance in different seasons of the year.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

I am highly thankful to DST INSPIRE Programme for financial assistance as DST Inspire fellow and My Guide Dr. Sarbjeet Kour for providing me valuable guidance.

Diagram: showing new rotifer species from J&K



Philodinavus paradoxus

Table 1: Physico-chemical analysis of Devika stream (July, 2013-June, 2014)

S.No.	Months	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June
1	Air tempt. (°C)	27	28	23	20	16	15	15	16	20	20	26	34
2	Water tempt. (°C)	26	26	22	19	17	16	17	17	18	18	21	26
3	Speed (m/sec)	.32	.38	.37	.49	.35	.28	.27	.30	.35	.32	.26	.22
4	Depth (cm)	55.5	55	51.3	47.1	40.4	37.7	36.7	41.7	46	45.3	42.7	36.5
5	pH	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.5	7.4	7.6	7.2	7.4	7.3	7.2	6.7	6.5
6	DO (mg/l)	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.7	6.5	6.8	5.3	5.6	5.1	5.5	4.4	3.9
7	FCO ₂ (mg/l)	26	26	31.5	30.5	26	27.5	25.5	29.5	28.5	34.5	37.5	47
8	BOD (mg/l)	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.7	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.5
9	HCO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	227.75	197.03	219.11	206.92	220.82	232.19	222.16	206.18	201.6	194.71	187.38	179.33
10	Ca ²⁺ (mg/l)	37.68	52.56	52.14	53.19	48.56	48.99	45.83	43.31	40.36	44.57	41.99	32.50
11	Mg ²⁺ (mg/l)	127.59	178.73	159.83	182.07	168.7	165.09	159.86	156.48	127.44	131.66	168.29	162.1
12	Cl ⁻ (mg/l)	48.25	44.5	47	43.5	46	45.5	42.5	38	42.5	52.25	60.5	58
13	SO ₄ ⁻ (mg/l)	1.77	1.79	1.79	1.82	1.78	1.75	1.82	1.98	2.09	2.28	1.97	1.87
14	PO ₄ ³⁻ (mg/l)	.085	.087	.059	.045	.034	.029	.039	.069	.123	.186	.137	.059
15	NO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	.57250	.57252	.57255	.57261	.57262	.57262	.57258	.57251	.57245	.57246	.57242	.57242

Table 2: Seasonal variation in the Zooplankton fauna of Devika stream (July,2013-June,2014)

S.NO.	Name of the organism	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	may	June
1.	<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> (Ehrenberg, 1838)	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2.	<i>Centropyxis ecornis</i> (Ehrenberg, 1841)	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3.	<i>Centropyxis hemispherica</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
4.	<i>Euglypha</i> sp. (Dujardin, 1841)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5.	<i>Bursaridium</i> sp. (Lauterborn, 1894)	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
6.	<i>Paramecium aurelia</i> (Ehrenberg, 1831)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
7.	<i>Paramecium caudatum</i> (Ehrenberg, 1834)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
8.	<i>Paramecium trichium</i> (Stokes, 1885)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
9.	<i>Epistylis</i> sp. (Ehrenberg, 1831)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
10.	<i>Colpidium</i> sp. (Stein, 1860)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
11.	<i>Euplotes</i> sp. (Ehrenberg, 1830)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
12.	<i>Trachelomonas</i> sp. (Ehrenberg, 1834)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
13.	<i>Campanella</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
14.	<i>Vorticella</i> sp. (Ehrenberg, 1838)	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
15.	<i>Philodina</i> sp. (Hickernell, L.M., 1971)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
16.	<i>Philodinus paradoxus</i> (Murray, 1905)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
17.	<i>Rotaria</i> sp. (Scapoli, 1777)	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
18.	<i>Trichocerca multirinis</i> (Kellicott, 1897)	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
19.	<i>Euchlanis</i> sp. (Ehrenberg, 1832)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
20.	<i>Asplanchna</i> sp. (Gosse, 1850)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
21.	<i>Chydorus</i> sp. (Leach, 1843)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
22.	<i>Ceriodaphnia</i> sp. (Dana, 1853)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
23.	<i>Daphnia</i> sp. (O.F.Muller, 1785)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
24.	<i>Alona</i> sp. (Birge, 1891)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-
25.	<i>Tropocyclop prasinus</i> (Fischer, 1860)	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
26.	<i>Macrocyclus albidus</i> (Jurine, 1820)	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-
27.	<i>Macrocyclus</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
28.	<i>Cyclop magnus</i> (Marsh, 1920)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
29.	<i>Cyclop scutifer</i> (Sars, 1863)	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
30.	<i>Cyclop bicolor</i> (Sars, 1863)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31.	<i>Cyclop panamensis</i> (Marsh, 1913)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
32.	<i>Mesocyclop leuckartii</i> (Claus,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-

Note: “+” indicates presence of organism
 “?” “ indicates absence of organism.

Table 3: Group-wise monthly variation of zooplankton in Devika stream

S.No.	Months	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June
	Groups												
1	Protozoa	0.03	-	0.1	7.42	5.98	6.67	15.94	13.28	46.16	15.78	53.74	49.44
2	Rotifer	0.01	0.005	0.32	0.87	3.28	4.42	6.64	2.18	3.1	2.48	2.09	3.1
3	Copepod	-	-	-	0.35	1.65	1.92	2.54	1.44	0.99	2.04	3.53	3.61
4	Cladocera	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.06	0.07

Table 4: Correlation coefficient (r) between Zooplankton and various physico-chemical parameters

S.NO.	Parameters	Protozoa	Rotifera	Copepoda	Cladocera
1	Air temperature	0.48	-0.51	0.60	0.35
2	Water temperature	0.20	-0.62	0.61	0.25
3	Speed	-0.50	-0.52	-0.86	-0.05
4	Depth	-0.36	-0.86	-0.62	0.25
5	pH	-0.66	0.09	-0.84	-0.29
6	DO	-0.45	0.44	-0.38	-0.09
7	FCO ₂	0.66	-0.02	0.65	0.51
8	BOD	0.73	-0.20	0.59	0.31
9	Bicarbonates	-0.80	0.16	-0.45	-0.54
10	Calcium	-0.62	-0.17	-0.65	-0.06
11	Magnesium	-0.56	-0.02	0.06	-0.25
12	Chloride	0.56	-0.08	-0.78	0.60
13	Sulphates	0.42	0.08	-0.01	0.63
14	Nitrates	-0.76	0.18	-0.53	-0.44
15	Phosphates	0.42	-0.23	0.15	0.68

Table 5: Different biodiversity indices for zooplankton in Devika stream

S.NO.	Indices	Protozoa	Rotifera	Copepoda	Cladocera
1	Total No. of species	14	6	12	4
2	Total no. of organisms	214.54	28.49	18.07	0.34
3	Shannon wiener (H')	1.61	0.34	1.80	1.09
4	Simpson index (I)	0.48	1.73	0.42	0.76
5	Margalef's index (R ₁)	1.30	0.62	1.47	0.85
6	Menhinick index (R ₂)	0.09	0.11	0.29	0.68

REFERENCES:

1. Abdel-Satar, A.M. (2005). Water quality assessment of River Nile from IDFO to Cairo, Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research, 31(2): 200-223.
2. Adoni, A.D. (1985). Workbook on limnology. Pratibha Publishers C-10 Gour Nagar Sagar, India.
3. Ahangar, J.A., Saksena, D.N., Mir, M.H and Ahangar, M.A (2012). Seasonal variations in physico-chemical characteristics of Anchar lake, Kashmir. International Journal of Advanced Biological Research, 3(2):352-357.
4. APHA (1985). Standard method for the examination of water. 17th edition, American Public Health Association.
5. Bhandarkar, S.V and Bhandarkar, W.R. (2013). A Study on seasonal variation of Physico-chemical properties in some freshwater lotic ecosystems in Gadchiroli District, Maharashtra. Int. J. of Life Sciences, Vol.1(3):207-215.
6. Butler, J.L. (1962). Temperature relations in shallow turbid ponds. Proc. okla. Acad. Sci., 43:90-95.
7. Chattopadhyay, S., Rani, L.A. and Sangeetha, P.V. (2005). Water quality variations as linked to landuse pattern : A case study in Chalakudy river basin, Kerala. Current Science, 89(12): 2163-2169.
8. Chinnaiah, B., Madhu, V. and Bahu, R.M. (2011). Physico-chemical characteristics of Khanjana and Darnasagar lakes in Adilabad, Andhra Pradesh, India. International Journal of Pharmacy & Life sciences, 2(4): 674-676.
9. Chowdhary, S. (2011). Diversity of Macro-benthic invertebrate fauna in some water-bodies of Jammu. Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu, Jammu.
10. Das, J. and Acharya, B.C. (2003). Hydrology and Assessment of Lotic water quality in Cuttack City, India. Water,

- Air and Soil Pollution, 150:163-175.
11. Deshmukh, J.U. and Ambore, N.E. (2006). Seasonal variations in physical aspects of pollution in Godavari river at Nanded, Maharashtra, India. *J. Aqua. Biol.* 21(2):93-96.
 12. Diéguez, M.C. and Gilbert, J.J. (2002). Suppression of the rotifer *Polyarthra remata* by the omnivorous copepod *Tropocyclops extensus*: predation or competition. *J. Plankton Res.*, 24: 359-369.
 13. Dutta, T.K. and Patra, B. (2013). Biodiversity & seasonal abundance of zooplankton and its relation to physico-chemical parameters of Jammunabundh, Bishnupur, India. *International Journal of Scientific Research Publications*, Vol.3(8): 2250-3153.
 14. Edmondson W.T. (1965). Reproductive rate of planktonic rotifers as related to food and temperature, *Ecol Manoir.*, 35, 61- 111.
 15. Edmondson, W.T. and Weinberg, G.G. (1971). *A manual on productivity in Freshwaters*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, p.358.
 16. Ekpo, I.E. (2013). Women's participation in lower Ikpa River fisheries of Akwa Ibom State Nigeria: A case-study of Ifiayong. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 8(1): 268-278.
 17. Fafioye, O.O. and Omoyinmi, G.A.K. (2006). The rotifers of omi river, Ago-iwoye, Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* Vol.1(5):186-188.
 18. Gajbhiye, S.N., Jiyalal, R., Nair, V.R. and Desai, B.N. (1981). Plankton of Narmada estuary and adjacent creek. *Mahasagar, bulletin of National Institute of Oceanography*, 41(1):23-31.
 19. Garg, R.K., Rao, R.J. and Saksena, D.N. (2009). Water quality and conservation management of Ramsagar Reservoir, Datia, Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 30(5):909-916.
 20. Harney, N.V., Dhamani, A.A. and Andrew, R.J. (2013). Seasonal Variations In The Physico-chemical of Pindavani Pond of Central India. *Weekly Science*, Vol.1(6):2321-7871.
 21. Heerkloss, R., Rieling, T. and Schubert, H. (2005). Long-term studies of temperature dependent plankton community changes in an estuarine system of the southern Baltic sea. *Iczm dossiers nr. 3: Lagoons and coastal wetlands in the global change context: impact and management issues. Proceedings of the International Conference, Venice, 26-28 April, 2004.* pp. 159-164.
 22. Hutchinson, G.E (1957). *A Treatise on Limnology Vol. I. Geography, Physics & Chemistry*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.
 23. Kaul, V. (2000). Effect of industrial wastes and domestic sewage on abiotic and biotic (macro-benthic invertebrates & fish) components of Behlol Nullah, Jammu. Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu, Jammu.
 24. Kaur S (2006). Studies on the impact of tourism on stream Ban Ganga and the indwelling micro and macro organisms. Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu, Jammu.
 25. Kumar, O.P., Malhotra, Y.R., Dutta, S.P.S and Zutshi, N. (1987). Physico-chemical characteristics of raw sewage water, Jammu. In : *Trends in Environmental Pollution and Pesticide Toxicology, Proceedings of the National Symposium on Environmental Pollution and Pesticide Toxicology and 8th annual session of academy of environmental biology, held at University of Jammu, (J&K). From Dec. 10-12, 1:151-156.*
 26. Laal. A.K., Sarkar, S.K. and Sarkar, A. (1986). Ecology & fisheries of river Ganga at Bhagalpur (Bihar). *Proc. Nat. Symp. Fish & Fisheries. Env.* 51-55pp.
 27. Langer, S., Jan, N. and Bakhtiyar, Y. (2007). Effect of some abiotic factors on zooplankton productivity in a subtropical pond in Jammu, India. *Current World Environment*. Vol. 2(1): 27-34.
 28. Larsen, G.L., Mc Intire, C.D., Truitt, R.E., Buktenica, M.W. and Karnaugh- Thomas, E. (1996). Zooplankton assemblages in Crater Lake, Oregon, USA. *Lake and Reservoir management*, 12(2): 281-297.
 29. Malik, A and Pandey, A.K. (2006). Physico-chemical characteristics of Brari Nambal basin of Dal lake, Kashmir. *Journal of Research & Development*, 6:87-95.
 30. Malik, D.S. and Bharti, U. (2012). Status of plankton diversity and biological productivity of Sahastradhara stream at Uttarakhand, India. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science* 4(1): 96-103.
 31. Mikschi, E. (1989). Rotifer distribution in relation to tempt. and oxygen content. *Hydrobiol.* 186/187: 209-214.
 32. Nath, D. (2001). Water & Soil characteristics of Narmada estuary before commencing of Sardar Sarovar dam. *J.Indian Fish. Society. India*, 33(2): 37-41.
 33. Pennak, R.W. (1978). *Fresh water invertebrates of United States*. 2nd edition. A Wiley- Interscience Publication.
 34. Pulugandhi, C. (2014). Analysis of water quality parameters in Vembakottai Water Reservoir, Virudhunagar District, Tamil Nadu – A Report. *Research Journal of Recent Sciences*, Vol.3(ISC-2013):242-247.
 35. Ricci, C. and Melone, G. (1998). The *Philodinaevidae* (Rotifera *Bdelloidea*): a special family. *Hydrobiologia* 385: 77–85.
 36. Saad, M.A.H. and Antonie, S.E. (1978). Limonological studies of the River Tigris, Iraq III. *Phytoplankton. Internationale Reeveder gesamten Hydrobiologic & Hydrographie*, 63(6):801-874.
 37. Sabae, S.Z. and Abdel Satar, A.M. (2001). Chemical & Bacteriological studies on El-Salam canal, Egypt. *J. Egypt. Acad. Soc. Environ. Develop.*, 2(1):173-197.
 38. Sachidanandamurthy, K.L. and Yajurvedi, H.N. (2004). Monthly variations in water quality parameters (physico-chemical) of a Perennial lake in Mysore city. *Indian Hydrobiol.*, 7, 217-228.

39. Sawhney, N. (2004). Limnology of Banganga stream (Katra) with special reference to some consumers inhabiting the stream. M.Phil Dissertation submitted to University of Jammu, Jammu.
40. Sawhney, N. (2008). Bio monitoring of river Tawi in the Vicinity of Jammu city. Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu, Jammu.
41. Sharma, J. (1999). Effect of Industrial wastes and domestic sewage on abiotic and biotic (planktons & macrophytes) components of Behlol Nullah, Jammu. Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu, Jammu.
42. Sharma, K.K., Devi, A., Sharma, A. and Antal, N. (2013). Zooplankton Diversity and Physico-Chemical Conditions of a Temple Pond in Birpur (J&K, India) International Research Journal of Environment Sciences, Vol. 2(5), 25-30.
43. Sharma, M. (2001). Ecology and Conservation strategies of zooplankton of Lake Mansar. Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu, Jammu.
44. Sharma, R. (2013). Biomonitoring of Behlol Nullah (A Tributary of River Tawi) in Jammu. Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu, Jammu.
45. Shinde, S.E., Kantikar, V.N., Muley, S.P. and Nimbalkar, R.K. (2011). Studies on the physico-chemical parameters of water and zooplankton diversity in Khan river, Aurangabad district (MS) India. Bioscience Discovery, 02(2): 207-213.
46. Shinde, S.E., Patha, T.S., Raut, K.S. and Sonawane, D.L. (2011). Studies on the Physico-chemical Parameters and Correlation Coefficient of Harsool-savangi Dam, District Aurangabad, India. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 8(3):544-554.
47. Shukla, S.C., Kant, R. and Tripathy, B.D. (1989). Ecological investigations on physico-chemical characteristics & phytoplankton productivity of river Ganga at Varanasi. Geobios, 16(1): 20-27.
48. Shvetambri (2007). Rotifer diversity and polymorphism in some subtropical ponds of Jammu. M.Phil Dissertation submitted to University of Jammu, Jammu.
49. Singh MR and Gupta A (2010). Seasonal variations in certain physico-chemical parameters of Imphal, Irial and Thoubal Rivers from Manipur River System, India, Eco. Env. And Cons., 16(2): 197-207.
50. Singh, P. (2004). Faunal diversity & ecology of Wetlands of Jammu, Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu, Jammu.
51. Suresh, S., Thirumala, S. and Ravind, H. (2011). Zooplankton Diversity and its relationship with physico-chemical parameters in Kundavad Lake of Davangere District, Karnataka, India. Pro Environment, 4:56-59.
52. Talling, J.F. (1957). The longitudinal succession of the water characteristic in White Nile. Hydrobiologia, 9:73-89.
53. Tripathy, A.K. and Pandey, S.N. (1990). Water pollution. Published by Ashish publishing house, 326pp.
54. Viroux, L. (2002). Seasonal & Longitudinal aspects of macrocrustacean (Cladocera; Copepoda) dynamics in a low land river. Journal of Plankton Research, 24(4): 281-292.
55. Welch, P.S. (1952). Limnology. Mc Graw Hill Book Company. New York, Toronto, London : 538pp.
56. Welcomme, R.L. (1975). Fisheries ecology of African floodplains. FAO CIFA Technical Paper 3. 51p.
57. Wetzel, R.G. (1975). Primary Production. River Ecology. Whitton B.A (ed.), Oxford, Blackwell Scientific Publications, 230-247.
58. Wetzel, R.G. (2001). Limnology: Lakes and Rivers, 3rd edition, Academic Press; A Harcourt Science and Technology Company, 525B Street, Suite 1900, San Diego, California, 1000.
59. Zutshi N (1992). Effect of Jammu city sewage on abiotic and biotic factors of River Tawi, Jammu. Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu.



Shiwali Gupta

Department of Zoology, University of Jammu. Baba sahib Ambedkar road, Jammu, J&K, INDIA.

Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper, Summary of Research Project, Theses, Books and Book Review for publication, you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed, India

- * International Scientific Journal Consortium
- * OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed, USA

- EBSCO
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Database
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database
- Directory Of Research Journal Indexing

Golden Research Thoughts
258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005, Maharashtra
Contact-9595359435
E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com
Website : www.aygrt.isrj.org