International Multidisciplinary Research Journal





Chief Editor Dr.Tukaram Narayan Shinde

Publisher Mrs.Laxmi Ashok Yakkaldevi Associate Editor Dr.Rajani Dalvi

Honorary Mr.Ashok Yakkaldevi

Welcome to GRT

RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

Regional Center For Strategic Studies, Sri

Librarian, University of Malaya

Spiru Haret University, Romania

Spiru Haret University, Bucharest,

Titus PopPhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania

Flávio de São Pedro Filho

Kamani Perera

Janaki Sinnasamv

Romona Mihaila

Delia Serbescu

Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur

Romania

Lanka

Golden Research Thoughts Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial board. Readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

International Advisory Board

Mohammad Hailat Dept. of Mathematical Sciences. University of South Carolina Aiken

Abdullah Sabbagh Engineering Studies, Sydney

Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania

Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

George - Calin SERITAN Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi

Hasan Baktir English Language and Literature Department, Kayseri

Ghayoor Abbas Chotana Dept of Chemistry, Lahore University of Management Sciences[PK]

ISSN No.2231-5063

Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania

Ilie Pintea. Spiru Haret University, Romania

Xiaohua Yang PhD, USA

.....More

Fditorial Board

Pratap Vvamktrao Naikwade Iresh Swami ASP College Devrukh, Ratnagiri, MS India Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur

R. R. Patil Head Geology Department Solapur University, Solapur

Rama Bhosale Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education, Panvel

Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University,Kolhapur

Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai

Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar Arts, Science & Commerce College, Indapur, Pune

Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play, Meerut(U.P.)

N.S. Dhaygude Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur

Narendra Kadu Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune

K. M. Bhandarkar Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia

Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain

G. P. Patankar S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar

Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director, Hyderabad AP India.

S.Parvathi Devi Ph.D.-University of Allahabad

Sonal Singh, Vikram University, Ujjain Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur

R. R. Yalikar Director Managment Institute, Solapur

Umesh Rajderkar Head Humanities & Social Science YCMOU,Nashik

S. R. Pandya Head Education Dept. Mumbai University, Mumbai

Alka Darshan Shrivastava

Rahul Shriram Sudke Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore

S.KANNAN Annamalai University, TN

Satish Kumar Kalhotra Maulana Azad National Urdu University

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India Cell : 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.aygrt.isrj.org



STRENGTHENING DEMOCRACY TOWARDS DEVELOPMENT : THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT




S. Mangaiah

Research Scholar , Dept of Political Science , Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana State.

ABSTRACT

India is a democratic country, but even after the 67 years of Independence we are in the list of a developing country, but not a developed country. This is due to the lack of proper administration and imitative by the government in implementing the welfare programmes. We can find the delay in administration and corruption in most of the government organizations from top level to the bottom level. This corruption is due to the lack of Transparency and accountability of the officials and politicians.



But an effective change is being brought in the citizen grievances through the introduction of the RTI Act 2005. This has made a notable change among the government institutions and officers also who are involving in corruption in one way or the other.

This paper deals with the how democracy can be strengthen and development can take place by successful implementation of the RTI in India.

KEYWORDS : RTI, Democracy, Development, Transparency.

INTRODUCTION:

India is a developing country, it is a socialist, secular and democratic in nature. India attained freedom from British colonial rule in 1947, subsequently becoming the largest and one of the most diverse democracies in the world. In 1950, it adopted the longest constitution of any sovereign country, consisting of 395 articles in 12 schedules and 94 amendments. Even after 68 years of independence in India we find the mixed culture, tradition and religions here. Each and every citizen has the right to follow their own custom and tradition. As it is a federal form of government we elect the representatives for parliament and state legislature for every 5 years. The people are democratically free to elect their representatives to rule over them.

Democracy and national stability are also enhanced by policies of openness which engender greater public trust in elected representatives. This is crucial . without the support and trust of the people, government will be more likely to face resistance to proposed policies and programs and implementation will be more difficult. Conflict also becomes more likely, particularly if government secrecy exacerbates perceptions of favoritism and/or exclusion. Systems that encourage communication and give people the ability to personally scrutinize government decision-making processes reduce citizens. feelings of powerlessness, and weaken perceptions of exclusion from opportunity or unfair advantage of one group over another. It effectively reduces the distance between government and people and combats feelings of alienation.

Article, 19(1) (a) protects and guarantees freedom of speech and expression to all citizens. But freedom of speech and expression so granted shall not affect the operation of any existing law or prevent the state from making any law putting reasonable restriction on freedom of speech and expression on any of the grounds given in Article 19(2).

Right to propagate one's conviction, ideas or bent of mind with a view to create restrictions on the listener or recipient through any mode of speech or expression like words of mouth, writing, reading, painting, printing or any other communicable medium like radio, T.V, newspaper, book, Article or visible representation, such as gestures, sign are all included in freedom of expression. Giving smile, frowning in anger without speaking are expressions. Two are not the same. There may be expression without speech.

DEMOCRACY :

India is a democratic country. Every citizen has the right to enjoy the fruit of democracy without any discrimination among them. India's constitution provides for clear separation of powers and authority, as well as functional autonomy for the legislative, executive and judicial branches of government. The constitution provides all citizens with a guarantee of fundamental rights: equality before the law; a variety of particular freedoms such as the freedoms of speech and expression, the freedom of association, the freedoms of movement and residence, and others; cultural and educational rights; freedom of religion; freedom from exploitation; and constitutional remedies for the protection of civil rights.

The Advantages of Democracy over Dictatorship

The Advantages of Democracy over one-man rule Governments that square measure actually responsible will a lot of effectively stop corruption, that involves the employment of positions of power or privilege for private enrichment. Indeed, corruption is feasible all told systems of state, and democracies aren't immune from it. Still, democracies have many blessings in addressing corruption.One advantage is that electoral representatives in an exceedingly democracy have an immediate relationship with the country's voters, whose votes encourage the winner to act honestly in representing the people's can. Indeed, the assorted laws, constitutional provisions, and internal rules found in democracies mirror the thought that those that work for the govt., whether or not appointed, elected, or hired, owe a high level of answerability to the general public. in contrast, dictatorships haven't any such protections or safeguards. Leaders in an exceedingly one-man rule don't have a similar incentives as leaders in an exceedingly democracy to avoid violating the law and abusing power to their own advantage. The 2007 Corruption Perceptions Index compiled by transparency International (TI), a worldwide organization committed to fighting corruption, demonstrates however corruption will correlate with one-man rule.

The People's Right to Know

Elections square measure the first means that for voters to carry their country's officers in charge of their actions in workplace, particularly after they have behaved illicitly, corruptly, or fecklessly whereas finishing up the work of the govt..But for elections—and the people's will—to be significant, basic rights should be protected and thoroughbred. If voters square measure to control

affairs, either directly or through representative government, they have to be inf ormed regarding however best to work out their affairs and the way best to represent and execute them. If voters aren't well inf ormed, they'll neither act in their own self-interest, loosely, nor have any serious selection in elections, abundant less provide themselves as candidates. "If voters square measure to control their own affairs, either directly or through representative government, they have to be inf ormed regarding however best to work out their affairs and the way best to represent and execute them "

PARTICIPATORY DEVELOPMENT

Even though India is rushing towards development from all sectors, still we are said to be considered as a developing country. Development over the last few decades, there still remain main nations in the region who are marked by poverty and under-development. Democratizing power of information has given us all the chance to effect change and alleviate poverty in ways we cannot even imagine today. Participatory development will make that change real for those in need, wherever they may be. With information on our side, with knowledge a potential for all, the path to poverty can be reversed. With assured information, marginalised groups will be given their rightful voice and a powerful tool to scrutinize and engage with the development activities being directed at them. They can access information about their development rights, as well as the projects and programmes from which they are supposed to be benefiting. In fact, experience shows that personal information is the most common type accessed under right to information laws. People use the law to ensure they receive proper entitlements and find out what the government is doing for them or for their locality.

DEMOCRACY & RIGHT TO INFORMATION :

In a democratic country the citizens are given vital importance. They have the right to decide the government to be frame the government and rule. The citizens in a democratic country enjoy the liberty, freedom, fundamental rights as per the constitution. The main aim of a government is to safeguard their liberty and rights. The Indian government is involved in taking initiatives for the development of the county from all sectors. The citizens of India are enjoying the fruit of the democracy for their development. But even after the 68 years of independence we are lagging behind in achieving the development and eradicate poverty, illiteracy, corruption, violence's against women etc. Each and every citizens have the right to now what the government is doing for their development.

The origin of the Right to Information Act in India can be traced to the sustained struggle in the early 1990s by Mazdur Kisan Shakti Sanghtan, a grassroots popular movement in the western state of Rajasthan, which organized and mobilized people to demand employment and the establishment of minimum wages under the rural wage employment schemes. This led to the discovery of ghost entries in muster rolls and other abuses, which pointed to rampant corruption in the system and led to a demand for pertinent information from the government records. The struggle subsequently snowballed into a national movement demanding comprehensive national legislation on the freedom of access to information. This was realized in 2005, when parliament enacted the Right to Information Act, popularly known as RTI (Save RTI Campaign 08.03.2010). Hence, this can be achieved only through the accountability, transparency.

. in a very democracy, the principle of answerability holds that government officials—whether nonappointive or appointed by those that are elected—are accountable to the group for his or her selections and actions. T ransparency needs that the choices and actions of these in government area unit receptive

STRENGTHENING DEMOCRACY TOWARDS DEVELOPMENT : THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT

public scrutiny which the general public includes a right to access such inf ormation. each ideas area unit central to the terribly plan of democratic governance. while not answerability and transparency, democracy is not possible. In their absence, elections and also the notion of the desire of the folks haven't any which means, and government has the potential to become capricious and self-seeking.

a) Transparency :

In a democratic country, the citizen have the right to control the government through controlling the corruption, transparency. A government is transparent when the great majority of the information that it holds about its activities, policies, etc., is available to the public. Therefore, transparency helps in bringing out the achievements of the government towards the citizens. A transparent public body is one that is characterized by visibility or accessibility of information by people. Usually, this means not only that the public body is good and fast at answering requests for information from the public, but also that they publish a large amount of information with

 Transparency for accountability: The public has the right to hold the government and public officials to account for how they act and for the decisions they take. To do this information is needed.
 Transparency for participation: In a democracy it is essential that people can access a wide range of information in order to participate in a real and effective way in the matters that affect them. That means not just participating in elections but also participating in public debate and decision-making between elections, and in order to participate in a meaningful way we need information.
 Transparency for efficiency: Responding to requests for information also has the benefit of encouraging public institutions to organise their information. In particular, proactive disclosure of information encourages better information management. This in turn should result in better, more fact-based decision-making inside each institution, as well as more effective communication between public bodies.

b)Corruption

The major problem existing now a days in all government organizations is corruption at administration level. There is a need to control the corruption in the governmental organization through Access to information has it is a key mechanism for ensuring transparency and is a proven anti-corruption tool. The World Bank estimates that corruption can reduce a country's growth rate by 0.5 to 1.0 percentage points per year. The harmful effects of corruption are especially severe on the poor, who are hardest hit by economic decline, most dependent on the provision of public services, and least capable of paying the extra costs associated with bribery, fraud, and the misappropriation of economic privileges.

In this context, the right to information has proven to be an effective antidote to corruption, equipping parliamentarians, anti-corruption bodies (such as Ombudsmen) and the public with a tool to breakdown the walls of secrecy that shield corrupt officials. A legally entrenched right to access documents held by the government (and in some cases, by private bodies) can be used to collect hard evidence of malfeasance and hold officials accountable. The right to information also serves as an important deterrent - the knowledge that a decision may be open to review by the public at a later stage can discourage the decision-maker from acting dishonestly. Officials will be aware that it will be much more difficult to hide their bad behaviour from public scrutiny.

The Right To Information In Practice

As per the RTI 2005 act, The right to information can be protected through a variety of legal mechanisms, from explicit constitutional safeguards to individual departmental orders that allow for access. Even where there is no specific access legislation, sector-specific laws sometimes mandate disclosure. In some jurisdictions, information can also be obtained through the provisions in citizens charters adopted voluntarily by departments or through executive orders, although these methods for enabling access to information are not ideal, as they can be easily overturned at any time.

The constitutional guarantees, legislation should still be passed which details the specific content and extent of the right. Legislation sets a clear framework for putting in place systems and creating cultures of openness that are uniform across public bodies. While application processes, forms of access and appeals mechanisms may differ according to countries contexts, all access to information should meet the minimum principles outlined below:

- utmost Disclosure: As per the RTI act the maximum disclosure must underpin the law such that there should be a strong presumption in favour of access and a clear statement that .all people have a right to access information and all bodies covered by the act have a corresponding duty to provide access in accordance with the law. The law should cover all public bodies, as well as private bodies and non-government organisations that carry out public functions or where their activities affect people's rights.
- least Exemptions: The limits on disclosure need to be tightly and narrowly defined. Any denial of
 information must be based on proving that disclosure would cause serious harm and that denial is
 in the overall public interest. Commonly, exemptions allow for non-disclosure where release of
 information would cause serious harm to national security, international relations, legitimate law
 enforcement activities, a fair trial, or the competitive position of a party. Unreasonable disclosure
 of personal information is also usually not permitted.
- + Sovereign Appeals: As it is a democratic country every individual has the right to get effective enforcement provisions ensure the success of access legislation. Any body denying access must provide reasons for what purpose they are going to use this information.
- Strong Penalties: If the information demanded by the individual is not submitted by the concerned authorities in the stipulated time. The law should impose penalties and sanctions where there has been unreasonable delay or withholding of information, knowing provision of incorrect information, concealment or falsification of records, with ful destruction of records subject to requests, obstruction of the work of any public body under the law and/or noncompliance with the Appeal Body.
- Positive Disclosure: The law should impose an obligation on government to routinely and proactively disseminate information of general relevance to citizens, including updates about structure, norms and functioning of public bodies, the documents they hold, their finances, activities and any opportunities for consultation. The initial effort will be worth the investment as proactive publication of key information will reduce requests in the long run because people will be able to easily access routine information without having to apply to public bodies.
- Simple, Cheap Access: A key test of an access law's effectiveness is the ease, inexpensiveness and promptness with which people seeking information are able to obtain it. The law should include clear and uncomplicated procedures that ensure quick responses at affordable fees. Usually, a Public Information Officer (PIO) is appointed for each body, with powers delegated to Deputy PIOs who sit in local offices. Applications are submitted to PIOs, in writing (electronically, by mail or by

hand) or orally where the applicant is illiterate, and are then processed, within 5 to 30 days. Ideally, fees should not be imposed. Only the actual costs incurred in copying and posting the requested information should be passed on to applicants.

 Effective Monitoring & Implementation: A body should be given specific responsibility for monitoring and promoting the Act. Usually, the independent Appeal Body will be given this responsibility. Members of Parliament also play an important oversight role, as reports on compliance with the law are usually submitted annually to Parliament for consideration and comment. The law should obligate government to actively undertake training and public education programmes.

INFORMATION EXCLUSION

Any information disclose for public must be used for the benefit of the society or personal benefit. As per the RTI act it is compulsory to protect the confidential, International issues from this act. If the confidential information is released there is a scope of misuse of the information and threat from the neighboring countries. Hence, the following information are not disclosed.

The following is exempt from disclosure under section 8 of the Act:-

• Information, disclosure of which would prejudicially affect the sovereignty and integrity of India, the security, "strategic, scientific or economic" interests of the State, relation with foreign State or lead to incitement of an offense;

- Information, the disclosure of which would cause a breach of privilege of Parliament or the State Legislature;
- Information including commercial confidence, trade secrets or intellectual property, the disclosure of which would harm the competitive position of a third party, unless the competent authority is satisfied that larger public interest warrants the disclosure of such information;
- Information, the disclosure of which would endanger the life or physical safety of any person or identify the source of information or assistance given in confidence for law enforcement or security purposes;
- Cabinet papers including records of deliberations of the Council of Ministers, Secretaries and other officers;

• Information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual (but it is also provided that the information which cannot be denied to the Parliament or a State Legislature shall not be denied by this exemption);

RTI Act is one of the most people friendly legislation ever. Thousands have benefited from it. But it is true that more than five years after Parliament passed the Act in June 2005, the road to accessing the information remains arduous. RTI has made both tangible and intangible impact on the system and its people. People have used the RTI tool to get their ration cards, passports, pension funds, birth certificates, income tax refunds et. al. There have been cases when people as old as ninety years and as young as nine years have taken recourse to RTI to get their work done. People below the poverty line, disabled and blind people also have used it to their advantage. Big scams have been averted by the use of RTI. e.g., when information revealed by RTI exposed that 87% of wheat and 94% of rice meant for the poor were siphoned of by the shopkeepers and foodgrain officers, steps were taken to streamline the system. (Kejriwal, 2006) In 2007, data obtained under RTI inspired citizens to question elected representatives to stop a scam worth over Rs. 6,000 crores in the Crawford Market redevelopment issues in Mumbai. (Gandhi, 2007)

SUGGESTIONS :

Recommendations and suggestions to optimize the benefits from RTI have been pouring in from various quarters.

1. The government should publish the success stories of the citizens who have utilizing the RTI for their personal development.

2.Punishment must be imparted for the concerned officer who are not providing the information.3.The RTI must reach the rural areas also. It is not accessible in these areas.

4. Information Technology must be improved in rural areas through establishing special centres for receiving and complaining on RTI at one hub.

5. The government should also create infrastructure like more buildings and provisions to send complaints directly from rural areas through e-mails.

6. Only about 10% of our 300 million populations of the poor are aware of the RTI, as a tool for reaping the benefits of assured entitlements.

CONCLUSION.

The Right to Information Act, 2005 is a unique and revolutionary piece of legislation. It is unique and revolutionary because it is the first legislation arising out of public campaign and public outcry. That is why it is said to be a beginning of a new era in the democratic history of our country and to most significant reform in public administration in India. It is expected to expand the democratic space available and empowers the ordinary citizen to exercise a far greater control over the corrupt and arbitrary exercise of State power, as it gives right to the citizen to ask question, examine audit, review and assess Government acts, decisions and to ensure that every act is consistent with the principle of public interest.

It is also suggested for the introduction of RTI in the core curriculum of school education and more awareness campaigns, workshops and seminars should be conducted particularly in the rural areas. The people should therefore makes ample use of this right to help proper and honest functioning of public authorities. The purpose of the Act can be achieved only if the public has proper guidance as how to use the Right to Information. A nationwide movement is initiated to guide and motivate the public.

Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper,Summary of Research Project,Theses,Books and Book Review for publication,you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed, India

- International Scientific Journal Consortium
- + OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed, USA

- + EBSCO
- +Index Copernicus
- +Publication Index
- +Academic Journal Database
- +Contemporary Research Index
- +Academic Paper Databse
- +Digital Journals Database
- +Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- +Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- +Directory Of Academic Resources
- +Scholar Journal Index
- +Recent Science Index
- + Scientific Resources Database
- +Directory Of Research Journal Indexing

Golden Research Thoughts 258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005,Maharashtra Contact-9595359435 E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com Website : www.aygrt.isrj.org