



The Sociology of Death and Dying

Dr. Ashok Yakkaldevi

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Sociology,
A.R. Burla Mahila Varishtha Mahavidyalaya, Solapur

ABSTRACT:

Demise and orderly matters have been original themes of reflection, disputatious level headed discussion, and different methods of social talk subsequent to the beginning of human progress and, probably, additionally among the general population who originate before development.

Throughout the hundreds of years, researchers of numerous stripes have identified with the matter of death and archived their insights. Logicians have contemplated the significance of life and demise. Scholars have set thoughts and influences concerning eschatological situations. Students of history have archived horde arrangements of death-related conduct from the past. Artists and authors have waxed persuasively on their conceptualizations of death and passing on. Archeologists have found antiquated remnants and curios and deciphered the implications of such disclosures with sympathy toward the examples of life and demise among old people groups. Researchers and medicinal specialists have tested the physiological measurements of life and demise. Teachers have reported new examples of death-related conduct and convictions of the extraordinary individuals with whom they have lived and to whom they have served. All the more as of late, anthropologists have watched and broke down death-related qualities, customs, and functions of the preliterate and people bunches they consider.

KEYWORDS: Sociology of Death and Dying, Archeologists, functions of the preliterate, human progress.

I. Introduction

Along these lines, by the twentieth century, a gigantic group of writing, data, and information concentrating on death and biting the dust, and related matters from numerous scholarly and scholastic viewpoints, had collected. Inquisitively absent from this corpus of learning was any noteworthy commitments from the scholastic orders of brain science and humanism, despite the fact that beyond any doubt Freud (whose life and profession crossed the nineteenth and twentieth hundreds of years) had talked about subjects, for example, the contrast in the middle of grieving and sadness and the procedure of managing demise (Freud [1917] 1959). Grieving is the ordinary process that goes to the melancholy experienced when a friend or family member bites the dust. Despondency is the illness that goes to misery. He likewise talked about the idea of the human confidence in individual eternity. As a result, Freud ([1913] 1954) placed that we couldn't encounter tension about our own particular passing and watched that "our own demise is for sure entirely impossible . . . at base no one has faith in his own particular passing . . . [and] in the oblivious everybody of us is persuaded of his own interminability" (p. 304).

On the sociological side, Emile Durkheim, the early French humanist, led broad examination on suicide rates and how they were identified with various parts of social solidarity. He distributed the consequences of his exploration on suicide when the new century rolled over, and his monograph (1951) turned into an exemplary after some time.

II. The Past and Present in Thanatology

It is trying to relate the recorded improvement of the humanism of death and passing on in light of the fact that the investigation of death has been interdisciplinary to the point that it is hard to unravel the numerous strands of exploration and grant from the distinctive controls that have tended to the social measurements of death and biting the dust.

Due to the intricate mix of interdisciplinary sociology exploration and grant that has made up the corpus of information in the investigation of death and biting the dust, a few scholars have been more inclined to utilize to some degree more non specific marks than the humanism of death, the brain science of death, et cetera. Some have gathered the writing on this theme into the more broad point of "death instruction" (Pine 1977), while others have talked on the subject of the "passing mindfulness development" (Doka 2003). On account of the previous, Pine (1977) mirrors that "Passing Education as a scholastic control [italics added] is a genuinely late marvel, dating from the mid 1960's" (p. 57).

This mark alludes to the enthusiasm for death and kicking the bucket that emerged among sociology researchers in the mid-to late 1950s and the 1960s, which prompted the improvement of school courses in different disciplinary divisions that address different parts of death and passing on; the introduction of a few investigative diaries concentrating on the theme; the assembling of gatherings and workshops; and the distributed of reading material, monographs, and treasuries on the subject of death and biting the dust.

Numerous researchers who address passing and kicking the bucket just utilize the nonexclusive mark of "thanatology" to allude to the broad interdisciplinary, entwined, and frequently criminal writing, and in addition the different exploration hypothetical and methodological points of view and systems utilized as a part of the examination of the social measurements of death, biting the dust, and mourning.

Amid the initial two many years of the twentieth century, sociology writing was noiseless on the point, save, maybe, some anthropological writing that concentrated on the traditions and conduct of some preliterate and people societies, including their memorial service rehearses (see, e.g., Frazer 1913; Rivers [1911] 1926; Tylor 1926; Malinowski 1938).

By the 1920s, sociology researchers were starting to add to a humble enthusiasm for the themes of death and passing on. Vanderlyn R. Pine (1977:59–60), in his extremely conclusive and careful work on the sociohistorical advancement of death instruction, reports that there were a modest bunch of sociology books and articles that showed up amid the 1920s and 1930s. In his intricate piece, Pine particularly specifies Gebhart's (1928) basic investigation of the American memorial service and the funeral director. His crucial center was on the expense of funerals, which he accepted to be unreasonably high. Interestingly, this feedback of the high cost of funerals has kept on being a subject of academic talk for over 70 years. The emphasis on the memorial service chief, the burial service home, and the social motion of the burial service has been one of the real strands of examination until today.

Pine (1977:59) additionally says the exploration work of Thomas D. Eliot, a humanist, who centered his consideration on despondency and mourning (1930a, 1930b, 1933). This attention on melancholy and mourning has likewise turned into a noteworthy exploration strand in the sociologies. Pine (1977:60), in his thorough treatment of the subject, additionally says two different bits of death-related grant that showed up in the 1940s. He specifies *The Child's Discovery of Death* wrote by Sylvia Anthony (1940). Anthony's book pointed out the attention to death encounters by youngsters. The worry with the consciousness of death turned into a critical strand of examination in later years. Pine (1977:60) furthermore talked about the significance of the work of the specialist Erich Lindemann, who distributed an article in 1944 that concentrated on the theme of intense pain and how it could be overseen. Lindemann placed the thought that anguish was typical and that it could be determined. His examination depended on the survivors of the Coconut Grove heartbreaking flame in Boston in 1942, in which 490 persons passed on (Doka 2003:51).

After upward of a half-century of social shirking of the subject of death and kicking the bucket in the United States, the human toll of World War II couldn't be disregarded or covered up. A few of the nations included in World War II, for example, Russia and Germany, endured gigantic misfortunes in both military and non military personnel populaces. Rosenberg and Peck (2003:224) report that amid World War II, there were 20 million military passings and 30 million non military personnel passings. Firebomb air strikes, for example, those that demolished Hamburg and Dresden in Germany and the nuclear bomb attacks of Hiroshima and Nagasaki in Japan took the lives of more than 100,000 regular people for every besieging assault. The phantom of the nuclear bomb with the capacity of murdering millions couldn't be eradicated from our psyches, and passing was again a fundamental distraction of the populace (see Lifton 1963; Pine 1977:63; Doka 1983:41–42). With TV, people in general could have quick access to wars, regular catastrophes, and mishaps and the megadeaths that went with such occasions. It was inescapable that passing would again develop as a theme of open and private talk and scholastic and logical investigation.

Pine (1977:60), in any case, takes note of that the humanist William M. Kephart distributed the primary exact, sociological investigation of death in 1950, analyzing the subject of status after death. The stirring of enthusiasm for death at a national level, be that as it may, might well have begun a couple of years prior with an anecdotal account. In 1948, Evelyn Waugh's (Evelyn Waugh was the creator's nom de plume; his full name was

Evelyn Arthur St. John Waugh) scorching and humorous novella *The Loved One* was distributed. This book was around a rich and gaudy burial ground (a meagerly camouflaged Forest Lawn Cemetery), a pet graveyard, and the dreary exercises of a percentage of the general population who worked at both. It was a national hit and extremely well known perusing on numerous school grounds.

This novel exhibited that passing had a clever (regardless of the fact that doleful) angle. It exhibited that one could snicker at death and be entertained by it. On the off chance that people in general could react in a constructive manner to a satirically comical novel about death (and the general population did), then passing could at the end of the day be a subject of open, and along these lines insightful, interest. Robert W. Habenstein's (1949) early insightful exertion, his expert's theory, *A Sociological Study of the Cremation Movement in the United States*, was guarded at the University of Chicago in 1949. Doubtlessly Habenstein's academic thoughtfulness regarding the point of death and biting the dust really went before Kephart's exploration.

Further sociological enthusiasm for death and passing on was shown by Habenstein's (1955) doctoral thesis, *The American Funeral Director: A Study in The Sociology of Work*, at the University of Chicago. It is fascinating to note that, as the title suggests, Habenstein evidently considered his examination on memorial service chiefs to be more research in the humanism of work than exploration on death and biting the dust. Around the same time, Habenstein and William M. Lamers (1955) distributed *The History of American Funeral Directing*. They took after this book with a second book, *Funeral Customs the World Over*, in 1960. The main book was rich in authentic subtle element, and the second was a broad culturally diverse study. Undoubtedly various researchers in the region of death and biting the dust got to be included in examination on this point through a unique hobby and exploration in the human science of work and word related human science.

At about this time, various academic distributions on death and passing on gave the idea that gave some noteworthy force until the very end mindfulness development. In 1955, a British social anthropologist, Geoffrey Gorer, wrote a section in a book that he altered. Gorer talked about cutting edge society's social inclination to deny or overlook demise and investigated the foundation calculates that offered catalyst to this propensity (Doka 2003:51). In 1965, Gorer's book was republished and got to be one of the fundamental works in the investigation of death and biting the dust.

In 1959, the American humanist LeRoy Bowman distributed *The American Funeral*. Bowman's book was exceptionally reproachful of what he saw as the too much high cost of funerals, the excessively unrestrained burial service rehearses, and the memorial service industry. This book was not generally referred to around then or even today and has not been extremely compelling in scholastic circles from that point forward, yet it has, be that as it may, gave an embittered layout to different books in this way distributed were additionally exceptionally condemning of, if not unfriendly to, American memorial service rehearses, the high cost of funerals, and the burial service industry.

Pine (1977:63) talks about a correspondingly basic book, *The High Cost of Dying* (Harmer 1963), which had a to a great degree negative view of the high cost of American funerals. This book might well have been something of a result of Bowman's book. This book was likewise not exceptionally persuasive in scholastic circles.

One broadly referred to deal with death and biting the dust is the therapist Herman Feifel's (1959) altered book *The Meaning of Death*. In this accumulation of papers, various original measurements of death and kicking the bucket, for example, the diminishing patient, suicide, the trepidation of death, cutting edge craftsmanship and passing, demise and religion, kids' perspective of death, and different articles on reasoning and demise, to specify yet a couple, were investigated. Various contemporary researchers, for example, Pine (1977) and Doka (2003), consider Feifel's compilation to be one of the more compelling insightful works of the time. Doka, for instance, attests that "this book plainly settled demise contemplates as a scholastic train and offered researchers clear proof of the extensive variety of issues enveloped by the investigation of death and biting the dust" (p. 51).

In a comparative, exceptionally positive evaluation of Feifel's altered work, Pine (1977:62) watches that generally creator

A. The Popularity of Death Studies

As said before, there were just a couple scattered course offerings in death and kicking the bucket in the early and mid-1960s—most obviously, Fulton's course, initially offered in 1963, and Pine's course, initially offered in 1966. By the late 1960s, notwithstanding, courses in death and kicking the bucket started to show up in schools and colleges the country over, offered by analysts, sociologists, and others in both the sociologies and the humanities. The theme of death instruction itself grew a significant after, pulling in both scholastics and people in the wellbeing and guiding fields, and this produced more prominent interest for school courses in death and biting

the dust. Places for death training were likewise being built up. To specify one early focus, Robert Kastenbaum, a clinician, sorted out and coordinated the Center for Psychological Studies of Dying, Death, and Lethal Behavior at Wayne State University in April 1969 (Pine 1977:68). Another early focus, the Center for Death Education and Research, was built up by Robert Fulton, a humanist, at the University of Minnesota in July 1969 (Pine 1977:68). After Fulton resigned, the middle was moved to the University of Wisconsin–LaCrosse, and Robert Bendiksen turned into its executive (Doka 2003:52). By (2003:52), two scientists (Green and Irish 1971) found that there were more than 600 courses on death and kicking the bucket by 1971. Doka (2003:52) likewise reported that one specialist (Cummins 1978) demonstrated that five years after the fact, there were more than 1,000 passing and biting the dust courses in the United States, with the aggregate enlistment surpassing 30,000 understudies. The quantity of such courses today is, without a doubt, greatly expanded, similar to the aggregate enlistment.

As university enthusiasm for death and biting the dust expanded and spread, numerous showing assets, for example, movies, filmstrips, tapes, tapes, were delivered (Pine 1977:71–72). The accessibility of such material was likely one of the elements in the expansion in death and biting the dust courses. Educators with an enthusiasm for, however minimal formal arrangement for, the subject of death and kicking the bucket could all the more effectively create and show courses on these points. The accessibility of such showing helps and instructional material was most likely a component in the presentation of death and kicking the bucket units or fragments in both rudimentary and optional schools (Pine 1977:72).

A multidisciplinary proficient association called the Forum for Death Education was composed and introduced in 1976. The name of the association was later changed to the Association for Death Education and Counseling (Doka 2003:52). This association, since its establishing, has had a position of centrality in the development and advancement of death training and the demise mindfulness development. The Journal of Thanatology was established in 1971 however did not proceed past 1977. In 1977, Hannelore Wass established and altered another diary, Death Education. The name was later changed to a more non specific title, Death Studies. This diary and the prior diary Omega, initially distributed in 1970, in this manner came to be viewed as official diaries of the Association for Death Education and Counseling (Doka 2003:52). In 1977, another diary in the field of death and biting the dust, however maybe fringe to the standard, was established. This diary was Markers, established in 1980 and distributed by the Association for Gravestone Studies. The Journal of Near Death Studies was established in the spring of 1988.

Two more diaries, the American diary Loss, Grief, and Care, later titled Journal of Social Work in End of Life and Palliative Care, and the British diary Mortality, which initially showed up in 1996, have ended up extra distributed venues for thanatologists, and both element articles of expansive hobby and high insightful quality.

Amid the years when the fundamental writings on death and passing on were being distributed in sporadic bounty, there were numerous collections (some altered by sociologists and others by researchers from various controls) additionally being distributed. The greater part of them didn't show up in second or consequent releases. Amid this period there were additionally various fundamental monographs distributed that were joined into the corpus of thanatological information shared by human science and various different controls. Inquisitively, one of the prior and more vital monographs on death was Warner's (1959) *The Living and the Dead*. The inquisitive part of the book and its discoveries is that it became out of a group think about and was not for the most part planned (or perceived) as a commitment to the humanism of death. One part of the book looks at specific parts of group imagery and group demeanors with respect to death and the dead and nearby burial grounds. Another remarkable case was *Death, Grief, and Mourning* by Geoffrey Gorer (1965), a British social anthropologist. In 1968, Paul Irion distributed his far reaching history of cremation, appropriately titled *Cremation*. Another extremely compelling book was *The Denial of Death* by Ernest Becker (1973), an American social anthropologist. Two extremely valuable and frequently referred to chronicled monographs are *The Puritan Way of Death: A Study in Religion, Culture, and Social Change* by David E. Stannard (1977), an American student of history, and *Death in Early America* by Margaret Coffin (1976), a collectibles master. Other valuable monographs incorporate Paul E. Irion's (1954) *The Funeral and the Mourners* and, later, *The Funeral: Vestige or Value* (1966). An especially broadly referred to anthropological work is *Celebrations of Death: The Anthropology of Mortuary Ritual* by Richard Huntington and Peter Metcalf (1979).

As far as conclusive grant, a towering, if not great, monograph in the verifiable investigation of death and kicking the bucket is *The Hour of Our Death* by Philippe Aries (1981), a French social student of history. Aries' postulation is that in the far off past, death was "tamed" (seen as inescapable and typical, acknowledged with poise, and absorbed by society). Throughout the hundreds of years, this perspective changed, so that by current times, passing was dreaded, denied, covered up, "medicalized," "filthy," and "prohibited." An exceptionally valuable and

magnificently itemized monograph is *Purified by Fire*, a social history of cremation in the United States, created by Stephen Prothero (2001), partner teacher of Religion at Boston University.

A percentage of the monographs on death have tended to death in different societies. An especially significant and fascinating case of such a monograph is *Price of Death: The Funeral Industry in Japan* by Hikaru Suzuki (2001).

Late years have seen the production of various far reaching reference works—handbooks and reference books—tending to different parts of death and passing on, wrote or altered by sociologists and researchers from different controls that fuse thanatology into their exploration and grant.

A constrained arrangement of cases here might incorporate the *Encyclopedia of Death*, altered by Robert Kastenbaum, a gerontologist, and Beatrice Kastenbaum (1989), an attendant in the scholarly world. This book was one of the before works of this type. It is still in print (1993), despite the fact that by an alternate distributor. Other ensuing reference works were the *Encyclopedia of Afterlife Beliefs and Phenomena* by James R. Lewis (1995), educator of Religious Studies, and a later version, *The Death and Afterlife Book: The Encyclopedia of Death, Near Death, and Life after Death* (2001).

Other late reference chips away at a few parts of death will be *Death and the Afterlife: A Cultural Encyclopedia* by Richard P. Taylor (2000), educator of Religious Studies, and the *Encyclopedia of Death and Dying*, altered by Glenny Howarth, a humanist, and Oliver Leaman (2001), a thinker. One later, protracted and moderately conclusive reference work is the *Handbook of Death and Dying*, altered by Clifton D. Bryant (2003), a humanist. Another authoritative reference work likewise showed up in 2003, this time a reference book: Robert Kastenbaum's (a clinician) *Macmillan's Encyclopedia of Death and Dying*.

The latest reference books incorporate Cassell, Salinas, and Winn's (2005) *The Encyclopedia of Death and Dying*. Two of the three creators are therapeutic specialists. The other latest reference book addresses a to some degree distinctive part of death—cremation: the *Encyclopedia of Cremation* (2005), altered by Douglas J. Davies, educator of Religious Studies and Theology.

It is intriguing to note that these different reference works, talking about some part of death, biting the dust, and life following death, were wrote or altered by researchers from various distinctive scholarly teaches and are, probably, utilized by academicians as a part of various diverse orders, including sociologists. Every one of these books were noteworthy commitments to the corpus of information in thanatology and, by augmentation, human science. The humanism of death and biting the dust is just too interlaced with different controls to be effortlessly inspected outside of the standard of thanatology.

Obviously, demise related articles showed up in numerous other more broad diaries. Numerous such articles take a gander at generally new regions of death and biting the dust that have not been tended to in the thanatological writings. One illustrative sample of articles on new points is Vinitzky-Seroussi and Ben-Ari's (2000) "A Knock on the Door: Managing Death in the Israeli Defense Forces," which showed up in the diary *The Sociological Quarterly*. Another illustration is Ben-Ari's (2005) "Epilog: A "Great" Military Death," which showed up in *Armed Forces and Society*.

The different thanatological diaries today convey articles that attention on a large portion of the same themes as in their initial years. The essential parameters of thanatology seem to have changed little since the time they were initially distributed; be that as it may, the articles today frequently have a tendency to be more refined, creative, recondite, and, in a few examples, brilliant. Two cases from the diary *Omega* might serve to show this pattern: Cox, Garrett, and Graham's (2004–2005) "Demise in Disney Films: Impl

Bibliography:

1. Aiken, Lewis R. 1985. *Dying, Death, and Bereavement*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
2. Anthony, Sylvia. 1940. *The Child's Discovery of Death*. New York: Harcourt.
3. Aries, Philippe. 1981. *The Hour of Our Death*. New York: Alfred A. Knoff.
4. Becker, Ernest. 1973. *The Denial of Death*. New York: Free Press.
5. Ben-Ari, Eyal. 2005. "Epilogue: A 'Good' Military Death." *Armed Forces and Society* 31(4):651–64.
6. Blauner, R. 1966. "Death and Social Structure." *Psychiatry* 29:378–94.
7. Bowman, Leroy. 1959. *The American Funeral*. Washington, DC: Public Affairs Press.
8. Breen, John. 2004. "The Dead and the Living in the Land of Peace: A Sociology of the Yasukuni Shrine." *Mortality* 9(1):76–93.
9. Bryant, Clifton D., ed. 2003. *The Handbook of Death and Dying*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

10. Charmaz, Kathy. 1980. *The Social Reality of Death*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
11. Coffin, Margaret M. 1976. *Death in Early America*. New York: Elsevier/Nelson Books.
12. Cook, Alicia Skinner and Kevin Ann Oltjenbruns. 1989. *Dying and Grieving*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
13. Corr, Charles A., Clyde M. Nabe, and Donna M. Corr. 1994. *Death and Dying, Life and Living*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
14. Cox, Meredith, Erin Garrett, and James A. Graham. 2004–2005. “Death in Disney Films: Implications for Children’s Understanding of Death.” *Omega: The Journal of Death and Dying* 50(4):267–80.
15. Davies, Douglas J., ed. 2005. *Encyclopedia of Cremation*. London, England: Ashgate.
16. DeSpelder, Lynne Ann and Albert Lee Strickland. 1983. *The Last Dance*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
17. Doka, Kenneth J. 1983. “The Rediscovery of Death: An Analysis of the Emergence of the Death Studies Movement.” Pp. 39–52 in *Creativity in Death Education and Counseling*, edited by C. A. Corr, J. Stillion, and M. Ribour. Lakewood, OH: Association for Death Education and Counseling.
18. Doka, Kenneth J. 2003. “The Death Awareness Movement: Description, History, and Analysis.” Pp. 50–56 in *The Handbook of Death and Dying*, edited by C. D. Bryant. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
19. Durkheim, Emile. 1951. *Suicide: A Study in Sociology*. Translated by G. Simpson. Glencoe, IL: Free Press.
20. Eliot, T. D. 1930a. “Bereavement as a Problem for Family Research and Technique.” *The Family* 11:114–15.
21. Eliot, T. D. 1930b. “The Adjustive Behavior of Bereaved Families: A New Field for Research.” *Social Forces* 8:543–49.
22. Eliot, T. D. 1933. “A Step Toward the Social Psychology of Bereavement.” *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology* 27:380–90.
23. Faunce, W. A. and R. L. Fulton. 1958. “The Sociology of Death: A Neglected Area of Research.” *Social Forces* 36:205–09.
24. Feifel, Herman, ed. 1959. *The Meaning of Death*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
25. Frazer, Sir James G. 1913. *The Belief in Immortality and the Worship of the Dead*. London, England: Macmillan.
26. Freud, Sigmund. [1913] 1954. “Thoughts for the Times on War and Death.” Pp. 288–321 in *Collected Works*, vol. 4. London, England: Hogarth Press.
27. Freud, Sigmund. [1917] 1959. “Mourning and Melancholia.” Pp. 237–58 in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud*, vol. 14, edited and translated by J. Stachey. London, England: Hogarth Press.
28. Fulton, R. 1965. *Death and Identity*. New York: Wiley. Gebhart, J. C. 1928. *Funeral Costs: What They Average? Are They Too High? Can They Be Reduced?* New York: Putnam.
29. Glaser, Barney and Anselm L. Strauss. 1965. *Awareness of Dying*. Chicago, IL: Aldine.
30. Glaser, Barney and Anselm L. Strauss. 1968. *Time for Dying*. Chicago, IL: Aldine.
31. Goodrum, Sarah. 2005. “The Interaction between Thoughts and Emotions Following the News of a Loved One’s Murder.” *Omega: The Journal of Death and Dying* 51(2):143–60.
32. Gorer, Geoffrey. 1965. *Death, Grief, and Mourning*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
33. Habenstein, Robert W. 1949. “A Sociological Study of the Cremation Movement in the United States.” M.A. Thesis, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL.
34. Habenstein, Robert W. 1955. “The American Funeral Director: A Study in the Sociology of Work.” Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL.
35. Habenstein, Robert W. and William M. Lamers. 1955. *The History of American Funeral Directing*. Milwaukee, WI: National Funeral Directors Association of the United States.
36. Habenstein, Robert W. and William M. Lamers. 1960. *Funeral Customs the World Over*. Milwaukee, WI: Bulfinch Printers. Hardt, Dale V. 1979. *Death: The Final Frontier*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
37. Harmer, Ruth Mulvey. 1963. *The High Cost of Dying*. New York: Crowell-Collier Press.
38. Howarth, Glennys and Oliver Leaman, eds. 2001. *Encyclopedia of Death and Dying*. London, England: Routledge.
39. Huntington, Richard and Peter Metcalf. 1979. *Celebrations of Death: The Anthropology of Mortuary Ritual*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
40. Irion, Paul E. 1954. *The Funeral and the Mourners*. Nashville, TN: Abington Press.
41. Irion, Paul E. 1966. *The Funeral: Vestige or Value*. Nashville, TN: Abington Press.
42. Irion, Paul E. 1968. *Cremation*. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress Press. Kalish, Richard A. 1980. *Death and Dying: Views from Many Cultures*. Farmingdale, NY: Baywood.

43. Kalish, Richard A. 1981. *Death, Grief, and Caring Relationships*. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole.
44. Kamerman, Jack B. 1988. *Death in the Midst of Life*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
45. Kastenbaum, Robert J. 1977. *Death, Society, and Human Experience*. Saint Louis, MO: C. V. Mosby.
46. Kastenbaum, Robert J., ed. 2003. *Macmillan's Encyclopedia of Death and Dying*. New York: Macmillan.
47. Kastenbaum, Robert J. and Beatrice Kastenbaum, eds. 1989. *Encyclopedia of Death*. Phoenix, AZ: Oryx Press.
48. Kearl, Michael C. 1989. *Endings: A Sociology of Death and Dying*. New York: Oxford University Press.
49. Kephart, William M. 1950. "Status after Death." *American Sociological Review* 15:635-43.
50. Kubler-Ross, Elisabeth. 1969. *On Death and Dying*. New York: Macmillan.
51. Lembke, Janet. 2003. *The Quality of Life: Living Well, Dying Well*. Guilford, CT: Lyons Press.
52. Leming, Michael R. and George E. Dickinson. 1985. *Understanding Dying, Death, and Bereavement*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
53. Leming, Michael R. and George E. Dickinson. 2006. *Understanding Dying, Death, and Bereavement*. 6th ed. Belmont, CA: Thomson/Wadsworth.
54. Lewis, James R. 1995. *Encyclopedia of Afterlife Beliefs and Phenomena*. Detroit, MI: Visible Ink Press.
55. Lewis, James R. 2001. *The Death and Afterlife Book: The Encyclopedia of Death, Near Death, and Life after Death*. Canton, MI: Visible Ink Press.
56. Lifton, R. J. 1963. "Psychological Effects of the Atomic Bomb in Hiroshima: The Theme of Death." *Daedalus* 92:462-97.
57. Lindemann, E. 1944. "Symptomatology and Management of Acute Grief." *American Journal of Psychiatry* 101:141-48.
58. Malinowski, B. 1938. *Magic, Science, and Religion and Other Essays*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
59. Maronne, Robert. 1977. *Death, Mourning, and Caring*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
60. Mitford, Jessica. 1963. *The American Way of Death*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
61. Oaks, Judy and Gene Ezell. 1987. *Dying and Death: Coping, Caring, Understanding*. Scottsdale, AZ: Gorsuch Scarisbrick.
62. Parsons. 1963. "Death in American Society: A Brief Working Paper." *American Behavioral Scientist* 6(9):61-65.
63. Pine, Vanderlyn R. 1977. "A Socio-Historical Portrait of Death Education." *Death Education* 1:57-84.
64. Prothero, Stephen. 2001. *Purified by Fire: A History of Cremation in America*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
65. Riley, John W., Jr., ed. 1963. "Social Research and Life Insurance." *American Behavioral Scientist* (special issue).6(9).
66. Rivers, W. H. [1911] 1926. "The Primitive Conception of Death." Pp. 36-50 in *Psychology and Ethnology*. London, England: Routledge.
67. Rivers, W. H. 1926. "The Primitive Conception of Death," Pp. 36-50 in *Psychology and Ethnology*, by W. H. P. Rivers (edited with a preface and introduction by G. Elliot Smith). London: Kegan Paul, French, Trubner & Co., Ltd.
68. Rosenberg, Jerome and Dennis L. Peck. 2003. "Megadeaths: Individual Reaction and Social Response to Massive Loss of Life." Pp. 223-35 in *Handbook of Death and Dying*, edited by C. D. Bryant. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
69. Salamone, Jerome J. 1972. "The Funeral Home as a Work System: A Sociological Analysis." Pp. 164-77 in *The Social Dimensions of Work*, edited by C. D. Bryant. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
70. Shneidman, Edwin S. 1976. *Death: Current Perspectives*. Palo Alto, CA: Mayfield.
71. Smith, Walter J. 1985. *Dying in the Human Life Cycle*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
72. Stannard, David E. 1977. *The Puritan Way of Death: A Study in Religion, Culture, and Social Change*. New York: Oxford University Press.
73. Stephenson, John S. 1985. *Death, Grief, and Mourning*. New York: Free Press.
74. Sudnow, David. 1967. *Passing On*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
75. Suzuki, Hikaru. 2001. *Price of Death: The Funeral Industry in Japan*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
76. Taylor, Richard P., ed. 2000. *Death and the Afterlife: A Cultural Encyclopedia*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO.
77. Tylor, E. B. 1926. *Anthropology*. New York: D. Appleton. Vernon, Glenn M. 1970. *Sociology of Death*. New York: Ronald Press.
78. Vinitzky-Seroussi, Vered and Eyal Ben-Ari. 2000. "'A Knock on the Door': Managing Death in the Israeli Defense Forces." *The Sociological Quarterly* 41(3):391-411.

79. Warner, W. Lloyd. 1959. *The Living and the Dead*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
80. Wass, Hannelore. 1979. *Dying: Facing the Facts*. Washington, DC: Hemisphere.
81. Waugh, Evelyn. 1948. *The Loved One*. Boston, MA: Little Brown.
82. Wilcox, Sandra Galdieri and Marilyn Sutton. 1977. *Understanding Death and Dying*. Palo Alto, CA: Mayfield.
83. Worden, J. W. 1982. *Grief Counseling and Grief Therapy: A Handbook for the Mental Practitioner*. New York: Springfield.