International Multidisciplinary Research Journal





Chief Editor Dr.Tukaram Narayan Shinde

Publisher Mrs.Laxmi Ashok Yakkaldevi Associate Editor Dr.Rajani Dalvi

Honorary Mr.Ashok Yakkaldevi

Welcome to GRT

RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

Golden Research Thoughts Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial board. Readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

Regional Editor

Manichander Thammishetty Ph.d Research Scholar, Faculty of Education IASE, Osmania University, Hyderabad

International Advisory Board

Kamani Perera Regional Center For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka

Janaki Sinnasamy Librarian, University of Malaya

Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania

Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania

Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur

Titus PopPhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania

Mohammad Hailat Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Carolina Aiken

Abdullah Sabbagh Engineering Studies, Sydney

Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania

Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

George - Calin SERITAN Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi

Hasan Baktir English Language and Literature Department, Kayseri

Ghayoor Abbas Chotana Dept of Chemistry, Lahore University of Management Sciences[PK]

Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania

Ilie Pintea, Spiru Haret University, Romania

Xiaohua Yang PhD, USA

.....More

Editorial Board

Iresh Swami Pratap Vyamktrao Naikwade ASP College Devrukh, Ratnagiri, MS India Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur

R. R. Patil Head Geology Department Solapur University, Solapur

Rama Bhosale Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education, Panvel

Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University,Kolhapur

Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai

Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar Arts, Science & Commerce College, Indapur, Pune

Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play, Meerut(U.P.) N.S. Dhaygude Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur

Narendra Kadu Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune

K. M. Bhandarkar Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia

Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain

G. P. Patankar S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar

Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director, Hyderabad AP India.

S.Parvathi Devi Ph.D.-University of Allahabad

Sonal Singh, Vikram University, Ujjain Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur

R. R. Yalikar Director Managment Institute, Solapur

Umesh Rajderkar Head Humanities & Social Science YCMOU, Nashik

S. R. Pandya Head Education Dept. Mumbai University, Mumbai

Alka Darshan Shrivastava

Rahul Shriram Sudke Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore

S.KANNAN Annamalai University, TN

Satish Kumar Kalhotra Maulana Azad National Urdu University

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India Cell: 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.aygrt.isrj.org

ISSN No.2231-5063

Golden Research Thoughts



ALGORITHMS COMPARISON OF PLANNING PROCESSES, THROUGH PROPOSED METRIC



Vilma Gisela Garcia Garcia Social Science Graduate, Specialization in IT Management Universidad Libre, Cúcuta, Colombia.



Co - Author Details :

Danilo Alfonso López Sarmiento² and Nancy Yaneth Gelvez García ³ ^{2,3}Faculty of Engineering, Full time professor at Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas, Bogotá, Colombia.



ABSTRACT

he following article intends to perform a qualitative and quantitative study of the planning algorithms starting from a simulation, analyzing the resulting metrics. As a result of each simulation a respective metric is shown that measures the efficiency of each algorithm in order to make a general comparison regarding the performance of each studied algorithm.

KEYWORDS : Appropriative, Planning, Round Robin, SRTF, FIFO.

1. INTRODUCTION

Computers comprise a physical part, which are the interconnection components, and a functional

part which is the interaction with each other through communication rules. The functional structure studies the organization and operation architectures of components, and determines the system's functional behavior; the physical structure of the system is made up of the components as such that the computer has. A basic computer model was proposed by John Von Neumann made up of three main parts: input devices, processes central unit (arithmetic unit, control unit, main memory) and output peripherals.

The function of the control unit was to read the machine instructions stored in the main memory one by one, generating control signals for all machines to work and execute the instructions. The function of the arithmetic logic unit was to make a series of elementary operations and the main

memory which comprises a set of cells of equal size on which reading and writing operations could be made [1].

Operating systems are the control software of a computer, coordinating and manipulating the system hardware; organize memory files and control any errors that may be generated. There are many operating systems that vary according to the devices and their features change involving various configurations. Some very famous OSs belong to the Windows and Linux brands which are the market leaders.

Operating systems can be classified in many ways, such as:

-General purpose. They are characterized by having a large number of users working with a diverse range of applications.

-Specific purpose. They are used in environments where a large number of events mostly external to the computer are accepted and processed in a short time. For example: industrial control, flight control, switched telephone equipment or real-time simulations.

Classification of systems by number of users:

-Single-User. Support a single user at a time.

- Multiuser. Serve multiple users simultaneously.

By number of tasks:

- Single-task. User is allowed to perform only one task at a time. Multitask. User is allowed to perform multiple tasks simultaneously.

Classification of operating systems by the way they offer services.

-Centralized. In this model a central computer handles all processing and users connect to it through terminals without memory and processor.

-Distributed. Enables distribution of jobs, tasks or processes by a set of processors, which may be on the same computer or on different computers.

- Desktop. It is commonly used for desktops, workstations and laptops.

-Online. They maintain unity between two or more computers through some communication media to share resources and system information.

Classification of operating systems according to the response time:

-Real-time.Enable the computer to give an immediate response after launching a process. -Shared time. Allow multiple individual users to interact simultaneously, so that each user feels that he is being served exclusively, although, in reality, each task has a priority level and is run in sequential order. [2]

There are also mobile operating systems comprised of: user interface and native applications, interface applications, libraries, kernel.

The main functions of operating systems are the processing time allocation policies, control and handling of input and output devices, control of resources, manage and maintain the file systems, manage and maintain users and groups, enable interaction between machine and user with a graphical interface, detect errors. The operating system has full access to all hardware and can execute any instruction that the machine is capable of running; the remaining software runs in user mode which is a

restricted mode.

Currently operating systems allow simultaneous execution of several programs where the concept of priority is used, a hierarchy that is assigned to processes for their administration at the time of execution.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The process scheduler uses an algorithm known as scheduling algorithm responsible for deciding what process in ready state will run first, if only one CPU is available.

Personal computers have two main features; one is that in most cases there is only one active process therefore the planner does not have to make an effort to determine what process to run; and second, thanks to the current speed of CPUs resources rarely become scarce. Scheduling is very important with network servers because the process to address first must be chosen.

CPU efficiency is relevant because

1. User mode must be changed to kernel mode.

2. State of the current process must be saved, including storing their records in the process table so that they can be reloaded later.

- 3. The memory map must be saved
- 4.A new process must be selected by running the scheduling algorithm.

5. The new process memory map must be reloaded in the MMU.

The new process must be started:

1. When a process is created and a choice must be made as to which should run first, the father or the son.

2. Making a scheduling decision when a process ends, and picking another that is in ready state and if there is none, choose one that is in idle state.

3.When a process is blocked for some reason, another process must be chosen to be executed.

4. When a resource is interrupted the process must be reset to ready state, and this is where the planner should decide whether to serve it immediately or wait for its corresponding turn.

Operations of processes can be:

- Creation
- Destruction
- Suspension
- Resumption
- Ownership Change
- Wake up

Scheduling algorithms can be divided into two categories.

Nonappropriative:selects a process to run and then allows it to run until it crashes or until it releases the CPU voluntarily (it will not forcibly suspended).

Appropriative: selects a process and allows it to run for a pre-set time. "If it is still running at the end of the set time interval, it is suspended and the planner selects another process to execute (if there is one available). To carry out appropriative planning, it is necessary that the clock be interrupted at the end of

the set time interval for the CPU to return control to the planner. If a clock is not available, non-appropriative planning is the only option."[3].

Scheduling algorithm goals, (all systems).

- Equity Give each process a fair share of the CPU.
- Policy implementation: Verify that established policies are carried out
- Balance: Keeping all parts of the system busy

Performance "is the number of jobs per hour that the system completes" [3].

Processes are assigned times during their life cycle and are the following:

- Waiting time: is the time at which a process remains in ready.
- End time: time that it lasted in ready plus the execution time.
- Penalty: is the response time equal to time of completion on CPU time.

Processes priorities can be:

- Assigned by the operating system
- Assigned by the owner
- Static (cannot be modified)
- Dynamic (can be modified)

Possible failures are related to the process during its execution by the system:

- Catastrophic: preclude operation and are not recoverable by the system.
- Unrecoverable: do not affect the system.
- Recoverable: The process can be solved.

3. PROCEDURE FOR ALGORITHMS SIMULATION

For simulation processes have the following characteristics, as shown in Figures 1 and 2:

- Id (identifier)
- Name
- Runtime (Rt)
- Quantum (Round Robin)
- Lifetime (Fed Back Multiple Queues)
- Priority

Ready

P1T:3R:1	
P2 T:5 R:0	
P3 T:5 R:0	
P4T:3 R:1	

Figure 1. Processes in the ready queue simulator and their Features





Figure2.Critical Section

For the various algorithms, a graphic basis of each process statements is shown in a Gantt chart, where each color represents a possible state as shown in Figure 3.

	Colors	Critical Section	Ready	Suspended	locked	finished	
P1 P2							
P1 P2 P3 P4							
P1 P2 P3 P4							

Figure3.Gantt Chart

The functionality of the Round Robin algorithm "Each process is assigned a time interval, known as quantum, during which it is allowed to run.

If the process is still running at the end of the quantum, the CPU It is suitable to be assigned to another process. If the process crashes or terminates before the quantum expires, the CPU switching is done when the process crashes of course. It's easy to implement the circular shift algorithm [3].

The main feature of this algorithm is the quantum function which should be calculated so that it is optimal for the CPU, it cannot be a very long or very short time; if the quantum is too short too many commutations processes occur and reduce CPU efficiency, but if it is too long, a poor response to short interactive requests can occur.

Default priority planning makes all processes equally important; each process is assigned a priority, and the runnable process with the lowest priority (most important) is the one that can be run.

4. METRICS ANALYSIS

For metrics analysis, both types of algorithms were considered as for each type a different analysis is performed (with and without priority), which are determined by equation 1,

 $\% Efficiency = \frac{Average\,Run\,Time}{Average\,Total\,Time}\cdot 100$

Equation 1. Processes without priority

Where the percentage of efficiency was considered because it gives us a rough idea of the performance of each processor, and thus can determine the most efficient.

4.1 Round Robin.For the Round Robin simulation the following results were obtained considering the previous equation and performing tests on processes with relatively long run times (30 sec. Approx.); simulation results are shown below in Figure 4.

Percentage of Efficiency	= Prom (execution time/ total time) * 100 = 51.11%
Percentage of Efficiency	= Prom (execution time/ total time) * $100 = 54.97\%$
Percentage of Efficiency	= Prom (execution time/ total time) * 100 $=$ 39.78 $%$

Figure4.Efficiency percentages of each CPU in Round Robin

As can be seen percentages show low performance levels, and even more so for processes with high run times.

4.2 SJF(Appropriative and non-appropriative). For the SJF algorithm we took into account the percentage of efficiency general formula, reaching similar results regarding the Round Robin algorithm; these results are shown in Figure 5.

efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 = 53.47%
efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) $*$ 100 $=$ 46.55%
efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) $*$ 100 $=$ 54.07%

Figure 5. Percentages of the SJF Algorithm

Even with high process execution times, the algorithm shows percentages of low efficiency very close to the previous.

4.3 SRTF (Appropriativeand Non Appropriative). For the SRTF analysis we took into account that the processes with priority, i.e. where the percentage of efficiency formula is amended according to priority, which means that the average number of processes is made by priority as follows (equation 2):

$$\% Efficiency = \frac{(Average Run Time Priority (n))}{(Average Total Time Priority (n))} \cdot 100$$

Equation 2. Processes with Priority

For this case the following results were obtained with high runtime processes (figure 6):

efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 $=$ 62.09%
efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 $=$ 53.78%
efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 $=$ 59.34%

Figure6. SRTF Efficiency percentages

4.4 Colas Retroalimentadas. Para este algoritmo se usó la ecuación 2, el número de procesos utilizados fueron pocos procesos en la cola de Round Robin y así poder evidenciar la eficiencia y el rendimiento con las demás colas (SRTF, y FIFO). En este caso también se usaron procesos con altos tiempos de ejecución obteniendo los siguientes que se observan en la figura 7.

efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 = 63.6%
efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 = 62.38%
efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 = 59.24%

Figure7. Efficiency Percentages

4.5 Fed Back Multiple Queues. Equation 2, was used for this algorithm, performing tests with few processes in the Round Robin queue to demonstrate the change of processes and an expected lifetime as a parameter for its execution time, making it "optimal", the results are those shown in Figure 8.

efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 $=$ 67.9%
efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 $=$ 67.56%
efficiency percentage	= average(Run time / total time) * 100 = 61.02%

Figure8. Fed Back Multiple Queues Efficiency Percentages

High execution times were also assigned in the FIFO and SRTF queue in order not to saturate the

Round Robin queue.

5. CONCLUSION

The various algorithms offer advantages depending on the processes that are running and each has advantages and disadvantages depending on the type of process and handling of each processor.

According to results obtained in the metrics analysis, the algorithm with the highest efficiency percentage is the Fed Back Multiple Queues algorithm, having relatively acceptable percentages and being the non-appropriative algorithms the most efficient.

According to the literature, there are algorithms that can improve efficiency and it also depends on the type of processing (parallel); new technologies are intended to minimize the time that they last in the critical section and minimize the chances that any of these processes end up crashing.

According to Tanemboum, "In batch processing systems there are no users waiting impatiently in their terminals for a rapid response to a short request". Consequently, the non-appropriative algorithms are acceptable (or appropriative algorithms with long periods for each process).

This method reduces process switching and therefore improves performance. In fact, batch processing algorithms are quite general and can often be applied to other situations also, which makes them worth while studying even for people who are not involved in computing with corporate mainframes.

In an environment with interactive users, ownership is essential to prevent a process from monopolizing the CPU and deny service to others. Even if there were a process that ran indefinitely and intentionally, there could be a process that would disable the others indefinitely due to an error in the program. Appropriation is needed to prevent this behavior, "this became evident in various simulations.

REFERENCES

 [1] Moreno Pérez, Juan Carlos, And Ramos Pérez, Arturo Francisco. Sistemas Operativos Y Aplicaciones Informáticas. España: RA-MA Editorial, 2014. Proquest Ebrary. Web.21 De Noviembre 2015. Pp 57-70
[2] Muñoz López, Francisco Javier. Sistemas Operativos Monopuesto. España: Mcgraw-Hill España, 2013. Proquest Ebrary. Web. 21 Noviembre 2015.

[3]Tanenboum, Andrew S. "Sistemas Operativos Modernos" 3 Ed. Pearson. Prentice Hall Pag 148, 157, 161

[4]Víctor Manuel Alonso Domínguez, José Antonio Villarino Fernández." Sistemas Operativos : Para Micros, Minis Y Mainframes, Con Ejercicios Prácticos De MS-DOS, UNIX Y OS/MVS /"Ed Madrid : Alhambra Longman, 1994.

[5] Barron, David William. "Sistemas Operativos. Editorial: México: Bogotá: Mcgraw-Hill, 1986

[6] Jesús Carretero Pérez. "Sistemas Operativos : Una Visión Aplicada". Madrid : Mcgraw Hill, 2001.

[7] Enrique Monsalve. "Sistemas Operativos Y Software De Base".

[8] Alcalde Lancharro, Eduardo. "Introducción A Los Sistemas Operativos : (MS/DOS, UNIX, OS/2, VMS, OS/400)" ED Madrid, Bogotá : Mcgraw-Hill, 1992.

[9]Mclever Mchoes, Ann. "Sistemas Operativos". Ed 6a. Instituto Politecnico Nacional. Mexico DF 2011 [10]Catalinas, Enrique Quedo. "Sistemas Operativos Y Lenguajes De Programación". Madrid 2003

Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper,Summary of Research Project,Theses,Books and Book Review for publication,you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed, India

- International Scientific Journal Consortium
- * OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed, USA

- EBSCO
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database
- Directory Of Research Journal Indexing

Golden Research Thoughts 258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005,Maharashtra Contact-9595359435 E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com Website : www.aygrt.isrj.org