International Multidisciplinary Research Journal

Golden Research Thoughts

GRT # Golden Research Thoughts

MENTAL PROFILING IN CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

Harsh Pradipkumar Joshi

**M.Sc. (Forensic Science) , Ex-Faculty, Shree P. M. Patel College
Department of Forensic Science, Sardar Patel University ,
Vallabh Vidhynagar.**

PRESENTATION :

Individual is interlaced with feelings and contemplations which are showed in various types of conduct. He judges the circumstance in a logical outlook. Along these lines, each individual will have an extraordinary approach to react to the circumstance. As a human propensity each individual has a yearning to know concerning what the other individual considers him and the other way around. When we meet one individual, in view of the reference to a circumstance and setting we attempt to shape a sentiment of the person. Profiling is digging impedance upon the accessible data, witness and confirmations. Profiling is finished by every single individual and in every last circumstance yet with alternate point of view. Profiling is a method which is utilized as a part of our regular daily existence.

Profiling is a progressed investigative apparatus and its viability is still under a ton of logical examination. An Indian would shake his head from left to appropriate in a confirmed signal or expression, while a Caucasian by doing as such would show a foreswearing motion or expression; while an owl in the Middle East is looked upon as an awful sign, in the west it symbolizes insight, et cetera...

It is acknowledged that in the advancement of human race physical components rose up out of their acquired conduct because of a particular domain and method for adjustment. How about we take for example an African negroid's thick lips developed because of an adjusting procedure to help save dampness. A native of Australia or Papua New Guinea grew thick eye peruse to shield his eyes from sun beams. A Bedouin abandon individual did as such with a bigger or longer nose to help him breath better in betray atmosphere, and ladies living in hot and muggy territories have a tendency to have a bigger bottoms to save muscle to fat ratio ratios and so forth.

Profiling for the law requirement and criminal equity frameworks includes mental appraisal of

the wrongdoer. The evaluation contains socio-demographic data viz. age, sexual orientation, conjugal status, training, occupation and the social and mental properties of the guilty party.

It is one of the essential strategy through which a measurable master can help the specialist to discover the main driver of the wrongdoing. The measurable master through his mental sharpness looks at the wrongdoing scene, police record, explanations of witness, medicinal records and verifies them with the speculate's mental test discoveries and other system, (for example, ANS and DNS based strategies) to set up a legal profile[2].

Howard Teten (the granddad of profiling) characterizes profiling as a technique which distinguishes the culprit in view of the examination of the nature and mode in which the wrongdoing is submitted. The criminal's identity will characterize his inclination of the casualty and his conduct some time recently, amid and after the wrongdoing. The connection of the conduct showed at the wrongdoing scene and accessible physical confirmation will derive the identity typology and psychopathology of the criminal.

It gives particular data about the guilty party to the examining office with respect to the wrongdoing and his association in the wrongdoing. In early days in spite of the fact that profiling was done casually; with the intrusion of various test and methods, profiling has turned out to be more logical and exact. Profiling backpedals in history to the medieval times, and Scotland Yard made it a stride facilitate. While Sherlock Holmes character made it much more mainstream, the CSI arrangement recently has been filling the halfway exhaust measure of parched TV watchers.

FREUDIAN HYPOTHESIS OF PROFILING:

Freud's therapy has underscored on the person's essential sense: sex and animosity and how can it impact an individual's mental state. Freud has additionally stressed on broken childhood of the youngster which prompts to dysfunctional behavior/masochist identity. Investigate examines have demonstrated that kids who have undesirable youth are more inclined to wrongdoing. Dr. Thomas Bond, a police specialist was welcomed by the exploring offices to concentrate the instance of the executioner, Jack, The Ripper, who had guaranteed to have murdered five whores in Whitechapel, London 1888. He connected the Freudian standards to the given case. In light of the restricted data and proof, Thomas Bond trusted that the wrongdoer must be of good physical quality, incredible self-control and brave. The wrongdoer more likely than not been a moderately aged, harmless and calm man. He should be perfectly and respectably dressed. The guilty party must be in the propensity for wearing shroud or jacket or he could barely have gotten away notice in the lanes if the blood staring him in the face or garments are obvious. This endeavor of profiling made an imperative commitment in the field of criminological science. From there on, John Douglas has done 12 pages of profiling of this case however it had not come about into recognizable proof of the criminal. The profiling done by John Douglas depended on accessible restorative reports, wrongdoing scene photos and police investigative reports, which presumably does not give the detail confirmations contrasted with today's investigative style. [13]This exertion put profiling on the middle phase of examination.

Walter Langer, an American psychoanalyst did the profiling of Hitler on the demand of Gen. William J. Donovan. Walter has not by and by talked with Hitler but rather he had met the partners of Hitler and Investigating offices. His investigation prompted to the surmising that Hitler's identity was a consequence of blended and separated mind. He said that the Hitler was most likely "a psychotic maniac verging on schizophrenia" and at the Office of Strategic Services (OSS) Hitler's profiling was partitioned into five general segments:-

1. How Hitler saw himself
2. How German individuals saw him
3. How his partners saw him
4. Psychoanalysis and recreation
5. His likely future conduct

Langer's profile recommended that Hitler most likely will submit suicide.

Being a specialist, Dr. James Brussel, vigorously depended upon the psychoanalytical hypothesis. Preceding this case, Dr. Brussel amid his armed force benefit had cured numerous patients. He was given a case wherein the aircraft was putting the arrangement of unstable bundles. Over a time of 16 years he had planted bombs in principle silver screen theaters and pay phones. On the premise of a letter kept in touch with Con Edison (the power organization in New York) he profiled the guilty party as unmarried, remote, self-taught, in his 50's, living in Connecticut, and neurotic. [Table 1]Based on this profiling, the police could capture George Metesky in January 1957, an outside conceived Roman Catholic. Late Brussel was solicited to do profiling from the instance of 13 sexual murders between 1962 to 1964, to which he opined that all the 13 violations are finished by one guilty party. Following a while; Albert DeSalvo was captured who later admitted to the wrongdoing, in spite of the fact that it was not demonstrated. Brussel connected his Freudian investigation looking into the issue and he depicted the photo of the plausible culprit.[10]

Table 1 George Metesky Profile

Profile	Basis of profiling
Bomber is a male	With few exceptions, bombers have been always male
Letter mentioning Con Edison	The bomber had a grudge against Con Edison and was likely a former employee
Bomber was a textbook paranoid.	The bomber believed that Con Edison and the public at large conspired against him.
Bomber was middle-aged probably around 50	Paranoia generally peaks around age 35 and the bomber had been active for 16 years.
Paranoids tend to set high standards for themselves so as not open themselves to unwanted criticism.	Bomber was neat, meticulous and skilled at his work ,carefully constructed bombs, neat lettering, careful planning of the bombs indicated his neatness
Foreign or spent the majority of his time with foreign people	Bomber wrote in stilted, formal language bereft of any contemporary slang. He utilized phrases like "dastardly deeds" that sounded as if out of Victorian fiction
The bomber had at least a high school education but probably no college.	The stilted language of the letters and skilled construction of the bombs spoke of selfeducation. The excellent handwriting indicated at least some formal schooling.
The bomber was a Slav and probably	Culturally speaking, Eastern and Central
Roman Catholic	Europeans most often employ bombs as weapons. Most Slavs are Catholic.
The bomber lived in Connecticut	The letters had been mailed from Westchester Country (a location in between Connecticut and New York) and Connecticut was home to large communities of Eastern and Central Europeans.
The bomber suffered from an Oedipal Complex	The phallic construction of the bombs; the strange (and breast-like) W's in the bomber's otherwise perfect handwriting and the strange slashing and penetration of the movie theatres seats.

IP (Interpersonal) Approach to Profiling:

Prof. David Canter, analyst, London, UK was welcomed by Scotland Yard to settle the instance of "Railroad Rapist". As per David Canter, the guilty party was in late twenties, of little stature, saved, wedded yet no youngsters and lives in the residential area of Northwest London, which coordinated with accessible proof. In light of his profiling, the police could catch John Duffy in 1988. He was discovered blameworthy.

In spite of the fact that David has watched that the exploring offices are frequently hesitant to change and select fresher methods to get the culprits. He has broadly utilized IP technique for profiling. As indicated by him, brain science has an immediate association with wrongdoing, as it is seen as interpersonal exchange between the criminal's activity, social setting and identity attribute. He has hypothesized five methodologies for profiling.

1) Interpersonal Coherence:

A criminal picks his casualty in view of his unique qualities. For eg., there is some episodic proof that serial executioners just assault those of an indistinguishable ethnicity from themselves in United states (Canter, 1989). The profiler will have the capacity to build up the relationship between the casualty and the guilty party and the plausible discussion at the season of the wrongdoing. [5,7]

2) Significance of time and place:

The guilty party by and large grabs the casualty from the place which is more well known to him. He would conceivably choose the casualty from his adjacent area as he will feel totally in control of the earth and the casualty. He would watch the period which would help him in carrying out a wrongdoing and in addition fleeing from the wrongdoing scene.

3) Criminal Characteristics:

By watching and investigating the wrongdoing scene, the guilty party will have the capacity to give a photo of the attributes of the wrongdoer specifically, his physical qualities, his appearance, his clothing, mental make up, temperament and psychopathology, assuming any. Douglas and Olshaker (1995) have expressed that "We set going to compose and group genuine violations by their behavioral qualities and clarify them like said in Diagnostic Statistical Manual (DSM) of Psychiatry. The serial wrongdoers will have a tendency to work inside a region where they feel good (e.g., near their own particular homes) and has numerous similitudes to the autonomously created field of land profiling.[20]

4) Criminal Career:

The guilty party albeit careful of his turn in the following wrongdoing, his particular style of executing won't be distinctive. The quirk of perpetrating the wrongdoing will fluctuate from guilty party to wrongdoer in spite of the fact that not generally the sort of wrongdoing. In spite of the fact that he may accomplish more refined wrongdoing however his procedure of wrongdoing won't any noteworthy change. His style of executing will help in catching the guilty party.

5)Forensic mindfulness:

Regularly the serial executioner is aware of wrecking any confirmation from the place of the offense. The attacker will probably clean the underpants of the casualty or compel her to brush her pubic hair so that the proof is not accessible. These sort of steps obviously give a sign of the wrongdoer monitoring the police/scientific examination.

Vi-CAP (Violent Criminal Apprehension Program):

Robert Ressler served in US Army and from that point joined FBI, Behavioral Science Unit (BSU) in 1970 and resigned in 1990. In an administration traverse of a quarter century has made noteworthy commitment to mental profiling of vicious guilty parties. He has drawn the mental profiles of fierce

guilty parties who commonly select casualties at arbitrary, for example, attacker and serial executioner. He has met 36 serial executioners to concentrate the foundation and rationale of serial executioner.

He has set up Vi-CAP (Violent Criminal Apprehension Program) which gathers the information base of the suspects of unsolved violations. He has composed books on Serial murder like I have lived in the Monster: Inside the psyche of the universes most famous serial executioner, "Wrongdoing Classification Manual: A Standard System for

Examining and Classifying Violent Crime. He has done mental profiling of Jeffery Dahmer and Richard Chase.

Robert Ressler et.al (1988) has given six stages for profiling:
1)Profiling Inputs:

The physical records like examination archives, wrongdoing scene points of interest, photos, dissection report, after death report are considered as a part of the initial move toward profiling. These are the essential and preparatory prerequisites to portray the criminal.

2)Decision Process Model:

In this stage, the guilty party's aim, rationale, chance variable of the casualty/wrongdoer is surveyed and assessed. Has the guilty party done any arranging or it is only a spree slaughtering?. How much time the wrongdoer has taken to carry out the wrongdoing and additionally the guilty party's choice for perpetrating a wrongdoing says a considerable measure in regards to the criminal. The examination will likewise provide some insight with respect to whether the wrongdoing was manslaughter or not.

3)Profiling procedure:

This is the heart of the profiling. The profiler ventures into the shoes of the casualty and in addition the guilty party and along these lines remakes the wrongdoing scene. He figures out if the wrongdoing was a sorted out or disrupted. By remaking, the general impression about the wrongdoing i.e., how the guilty party carried on, what could have occurred between the casualty and wrongdoer, how the casualty was chosen etc. Regular qualities like sort of twisted, position of the body saw at the wrongdoing scene helps in deciding the kind of guilty party

4)Criminal Profile:

Contingent on the sources of info accessible , the profiler in view of his experience and information develops the profile. Profiler will incorporate the outward presentation, plausible age, sex, religion, interpersonal history, his connection with the casualty, financial foundation, instructive and word related history, his rationale behind choice of the casualty and his explanation behind the Modus operandi utilized for the wrongdoing. The profiler likewise proposes the methodologies to get the guilty party and furthermore the cross examination strategies.

5)Investigation:

In view of the accommodation of the profile given, the exploring office begins to pay special mind to the criminal. At the appropriate time of examination, if the officer discovers more data, he advances to the profiler for a more important information. The profiling is said to be fruitful just when the criminal is gotten and admits about the wrongdoing.

6)Apprehension:

At the point when the criminal is gotten, the profile created is contrasted and the profile made by the legal master and is consequently thought about, so that an immaculate profile is produced.

John Douglas worked in FBI from 1970 to 1995. He began his profession as A sharpshooter and after that got to be prisoner mediator. He showed prisoner transaction and connected criminal brain

research at FBI institute. He has widely worked with the most eminent savage hoodlums and sex guilty parties. His ability was to look at their propensities and subsequently anticipate their moves. He has been instrumental in distinguishing broadly known "The Green Killer". He gave probably the most intriguing knowledge about the intention, psyche and operation of the executioner. He has done prominent cases like Case of Jon Bonet, Ramsay kill, The West Mermphis three. He has wrote "Mindhunter: Inside the FBI's Elite Serial Crime Unit" and then some..

Roy Hazelwood is the pioneer in profiling of sexual stalkers. He joined FBI in 1971. He has done parcel of sex wrongdoing cases identified with sexual savage, their casualties and their method of offense. As indicated by his hypothesis, there is no cure for pedophilia or sexual savage. By and by, he is advisor to FBI specialists and law implementation offices to trap the sexually arranged killers. He felt that dream is an intriguing territory which is amazingly important and gives a superior comprehension about wrongdoer. His first case "Harvay Glatman" the Lonely Heart Killer propelled him to work in the zone of profiling. From that point, he chipped away at " Gerard John Screefar" who was included in autoerotic practices and had submitted 29 murders.

In 1980, Roy and John Douglas composed an article which recognized wrongdoing in two general classes i.e Organized and Disorganized wrongdoing.

CSA (Crime Scene Approach):

Prior FBI was the office who has utilized mental profiling in examination and this picked up ubiquity. The famous profiler like John Douglas and Robert Ressesler likewise composed a few books on profiling and their expert involvement in the field of examination.

As needs be Holmes and Holmes (1996) gave Crime Scene Approach (CSA) which was extensively in view of wrongdoing scene and wrongdoing. It concentrated more on the physical confirmation accessible at the place of the offense and its connection to the wrongdoing. The two sorts of guilty parties required in the wrongdoing are
1)The disrupted asocial guilty party
2)The sorted out Non social guilty party

1)The Disorganized Asocial guilty party:

The disarranged asocial guilty party is delineated as somebody who is underneath normal insight, showing some sort of psychiatric ailment, not having the ability to keep up interpersonal relationship separated from his close relatives, sexually inept. He would act all the more incautiously and for the most part acts with whatever weapon is accessible and in this way abandon it at the wrongdoing scene.

He will be more legitimate with the casualty. His overwhelmed casualty is slaughtered with fierceness (FBI, barrage assault, Douglas and Olshaker, 1995) The guilty party dehumanize the casualty and sexually ambushes, mangles the face, private parts and bosoms which is regularly watched. The dead body is left at the wrongdoing scene as opposed to arranging anyplace else. (Holmes& Holmes 1996, Ressler, Burgess and Douglas 1988, Ressler and Shachtman, 1992)

While the sorted out Non-social guilty party albeit knowledgeable is an under achiever and is a disappointment in word related life. He is socially all around settled, will most likely have a family life however regularly shows solitary or psychopathic identity. The wrongdoing scene will depict the capacity to sort out and execute the arrangement. The guilty party frequently has his own particular weapon and conveys with him in the wake of carrying out the wrongdoing. The casualty focused on is for the most part a female who is assaulted by risk/restrictions. The murdering execution is done

according to the guilty party's dreams, for the most part in moderate, difficult way. The body of the casualty is painstakingly arranged off.

Inductive and Deductive Profiling

Brent Turvey has done his graduation in Forensic brain research and has given his commitment in the territory of legal science, criminal profiling, victimology and wrongdoing remaking. He is a court qualified profiler. He has given the hypothesis of Inductive and Deductive profiling and Behavioral Evidence Analysis

Inductive Profiling:

It surveys and assesses comparative attributes of the wrongdoing carried out by various criminal. It concentrates the basic identity quality of the lawbreakers required in a comparable sort of wrongdoing. The inductive profiling depends on the hypothetical and trial investigation of the criminal conduct. It distinguishes the signs and manifestations of criminal conduct and assembles as an extensive profile. The inductive profile is the result of measurable or relative examination and results in instruction speculation, consequently the utilization of the expression "inductive".(Criminal profiling: A prologue to Behaviural Evidence Analysis, Brent Turvey,2006, pg 26). The attributes of the criminal is contemplated, associated and in this way measurably surmised. The profile concentrates on the mental attribute, the usual methodology, victimology and other wrongdoing scene qualities which are equally found in the wrongdoing. The inductive profiling does not require specific scientific information, instruction or preparing in the investigation of criminal conduct.

In this manner profiling is based upon the data dove from previous history, media and now and then from the lawbreakers. It needs the formal information of brain science, human science, criminology and psychiatry. Inductive profiling is compelling just and just when there is a few likenesses between the wrongdoing.

Deductive Profiling:

The information and use of brain science, humanism, criminology and psychiatry assumes an imperative part in deductive profiling. The profiler needs to build the mental picture in view of the wrongdoing scene and the accessible physical proof, as physical confirmation additionally once in a while the provides the insight for non-physical proof. (adore, abhor, envy..) The deductive profiling is process situated wherein the agent will survey the wrongdoing scene, systematic speculation and coordinations to come to conduct investigation prove. (BEA)

More accentuation is given on the review and investigation of after death report, criminological report and victimology. This profiling is tedious in light of the fact that it needs to take a gander at the different considers one specific wrongdoing and along these lines give profile of a criminal and the mindset/psycho pathology of the obscure executioner. It investigation the conduct showed at the season of the wrongdoing, the physical and behavioral confirmation design identified with the wrongdoing.

Contrasted with inductive, deductive profiling is tedious as it assesses the obscure criminal from various points, despite the fact that the blend of inductive and deductive profiling will give a precise profile of the criminal. The deductive criminal profile incorporates the accompanying : ".(Criminal profiling: A prologue to Behaviural Evidence Analysis, Brent Turvey,2006, pg 41).

Behavioral Evidence Analysis (BEA)[25]
1)Equivocal Forensic Analysis:

It incorporates detail comprehension of wrongdoing scene, posthumous report, meet with blamed/witness/casualty/suspects and other related in the wrongdoing, and the foundation of the casualty

2) Victomology:

It ponders in the matter of why a specific casualty is decided for the wrongdoing, how was he identified with the wrongdoing and when did the wrongdoing happen. A ton of it rely on the determination of casualty by the wrongdoer.

3)Crimes Scene attributes :

The scene of offense infers about the connection between the guilty party and the casualty. It provides some insight with reference to whether any collaboration occurred, what were the conditions under which the wrongdoing has happened. and so forth.,

4)Offender Characteristics:

What was the identity of the guilty party. It decides the guilty party's physical qualities and conjugal status at the season of carrying out a wrongdoing. It helps in choosing the identity characteristics like physical developed.

Land Profiling:

This strategy is given by Criminologist; Kim Rossno, which assesses the area of associated serial wrongdoings to decide the most likely range of wrongdoer's home. It is best when a progression of wrongdoing is submitted. It decides the in all likelihood area of a criminal's home based upon the geographic area of wrongdoing locales by mapping wrongdoing and dissecting designs at wrongdoing scene. Land profiling is by and large helpful in the serial wrongdoings (Murder, assault, fire related crime, bombarding), savage wrongdoing (kid kidnapping, sexual manslaughter) and Multiple area violations (Mastercard utilization, property wrongdoings) [26]

Geological profiling depends on RAT (Routine Activity Theory) which concentrates the philosophy that makes lawbreakers roused to do a wrongdoing at a specific place. It addresses the topographical significance at the season of perpetrating a wrongdoing. Rodent is based upon three segments: 1) Motivated guilty party 2) Suitable casualty and 3) the nonattendance of proficient watchman. Jog (2003) utilized Dragnet, a mechanized framework which gives the likelihood of the area of wrongdoing where the criminal is probably going to carry out the wrongdoing. Trot and Larkin (1993) gave hover hypothesis of ecological range which expresses that the crooks are probably going to live in the region of the casualty as the casualty is effectively and rapidly receptive. A circle is drawn that includes all the connected wrongdoing which will build up the criminal's area. Rossomo (2000) proposed that the culprits are frequently in the area of the casualty. As indicated by a review (2005) Snook et al, in 63% of the cases the executioner lived inside the span of 6 miles from the place of offense. It additionally found that the youthful wrongdoers tend to travel less while the general population with higher I.Qs(or is it more established) voyaged more distant.

CONFINEMENT:

Despite the fact that, profiling is a noteworthy investigative instrument it has not brought about a genuine capture of any criminal. (V. Gerberth, individual correspondence, Feb 6, 1995) It doesn't tackle the wrongdoing however it gives better comprehension of the case. Profiling requires comprehension of human conduct, foundation of broad criminal investigative experience together with legitimate scholastic and measurable preparing. It is imperative to realize that not every one of the

wrongdoings are reasonable for profiling.[7] Crimes which needs mental, social, social and psychopathological appraisal are suitable for profiling viz. serial executioner, serial attacker, serial pyromanias, tyke attack (Hazelwood and Burgess, 1995) Cases including demolition of property, ambush/kill amid the burglary are for the most part not appropriate for profiling. Medicate instigated wrongdoing does not uncover the genuine identity of the criminal, consequently the profiling is not appropriate.

CONCLUSION:

In spite of the fact that profiling is considered as an ineffectual instrument for making inferences, however it has been utilized as a part of each field. It is a push to assess and comprehend the human conduct on various events and in given circumstances. When we audit the writing on profiling, the inadequate apparatus of profiling has been utilized on numerous occasions everywhere throughout the world in various circumstances and endeavors are made to reach inferences. Over a timeframe, it has developed as a craftsmanship when mixed with logical standards and which can turn out to be a viable apparatus for exploring and comprehension human conduct on various events. Here the creator has made an endeavor to audit the writing with reference to wrongdoing examination.

REFERENCES:

1.Albee, George,W.; Hamlin, Roy.M; (1949), An Investigation of the Reliability and Validity of Judgments inferred from drawings; Journal of Clinical Psychology, vol5 pg. 389-392.

2.Beasley,J.,(2004), Serial Murder in America: case studies of seven offenders, Behavioural Sciences and the Law, vol.22, no.3, pg 395-414.

3.Berntson, G. & Cacioppo,J., (2004) Multilevel analyses and reductionism: Why social psychologists should care about neuroscience and vice versa. Cambridge: MIT Press Essays in Social Neuroscience.

4.Carson,D & Bull,R (2003)Handbook of Psychology in Legal Context, 2nd edition, John Wiley & sons Ltd.

5.Canter,D.,(2000) Offender profiling and Criminal Differentiation, Legal and Criminological Psychology, Vol 5 pg23-46

6.Cook,P.,Hinman,D., (1999),Criminal Profilling:Science and Art, Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice, Vol 15,no3 pg 230-241.

7.Craig W. Hjorth, Michele Harway, The body-image of physically abused and normal adolescents,Journal of Clinical Psychology,Volume 37, Issue 4, pages 863-866, October 1981

8.Douglas,J & Burgess (1986) Criminal Profiling : A viable investigative tool against violent crime, FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Vol. 55 pg 9-13.

9.Douglas, J & Olshaker, M., (2000) The cases that haunt us: From Jack the Ripper to JonBenet Ramsey, the FBI's legendary mindhunter sheds light on the mysteries that won't go away. New York: Scribner

10.Edens,J.R.,(2001) 'Misuses of the Hare Psychopathy Checklist-Revised in Court', Journal of Interpersonal Violence, Vol. 16, No. 10, pg. 1082-1094.

11.Egger,S,.(1999) Psychological profiling : Past, Present, and Future, Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice, Vol 15no 3 pg 242-261

12.Grubin,D., (1995) Offender profiling, The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry, Vol.6,o.2 pg 259-263.

13.Gray, David M.; Pepitone, Albert, Effect of self-esteem on drawings of the human figure. Journal of Consulting Psychology, Vol 28(5), Oct 1964, 452- 455.http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0046529

14.Hazelwood, R.& Michaud, S. G, (2002) Dark Dreams, St. Martin's Press

15.Hetherington, E. Mavis, Effects of father absence on personality development in adolescent

daughters., Developmental Psychology, Vol 7(3), Nov 1972, 313-326.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0033339

16.Hickey,E.W.,(2012) Serial Murderers and their victims, 6th Edition, Thomson/Wadsworth Publishing company (ITP), Belmont, California

17.Hildebrandt, M. & Gutwirth, S.,(2008) Profiling the European Citizen:17 Cross-Disciplinary Perspective. Springer Science and Business Media B.V

18.Hopton, J., (1998) Risk Assessment using Psychological Profiling Techniques : An Evlaution of Possibilities, The British Journal of Social work, Vol 28 no2 pg 247-261

19.Janice M. Dickson, Conway F. Saylor & Alfred J. Finch Jr., Personality Factors, Family Structure, and Sex of Drawn Figure on the Draw-A-Person Test, Journal of Personality Assessment, Volume 55, Issue 1-2, 1990, pages 362-366

20.Jill Rierdan, Elissa Koff & Hope Heller, Gender, Anxiety, and Human Figure Drawings, Journal of Personality Assessment, Volume, Issue 6, 1982 DOI : 10.1207/s15327752jpa4606_6, pages 594-596

21.Kocsis,R, Hayes,A & Irwin,H., (2002) Investigative experience and accuracy in psychological profiling of a violent crime, Journal of Interpersonal Violence, Vol.17 no.8 pg 811-823

22.Kocsis,R, Irwin,H, Hayes,A et al., (2000) Expertise in Psychological Profiling: A comparative assessment, Journal of Interpersonal Violence, Vol 15 no 3, pg 311—331.

23.Leonard Handlera & Jerry Mcintoshb,, Predicting Aggression and Withdrawal in Children with the Draw-A-Person and Bender Gestalt, Journal of Personality Assessment, Volume 35, Issue 4, 1 9 7 1 , pages 331-335,DOI: 10.1080/00223891.1971.10119677

24.Muller, D.A., (2000) Criminal profiling, Real science or just wishful thinking? Homicide studies, Vol 4 (3) pg 234-264

25.Ormerod,D., (1996) Psychological Profiling, The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry, Vol 7 no. 2 pg 341-352.

26.Peterson, M. (1997) Practical analytical techniques: A necessary addition to police education, Journal of Criminal Justice, Vol 8 (1) pg 19-35.

27.Ressler, R. & Shachtman, T., (1997) I have lived in the monster: Inside the mind of the world's most notorious serial killers. New York.St. Martin

28.Rossono,K., (2003)Geographical profiling, The Prosecutor.

29.Rossmo, K.,(1993) A methodological model, American Journal of Criminal Justice, Vol 17 no.2 pg. 1-21.

30.R. Vorpagel, Profiles in murder: An FBI legend dissects killers and their crimes. New York: Dell, 1998.

31.Strano,M.,(2004) A neural network applied to criminal psychological profiling: An Italian Intiative, International Journal of offender therapy and comparative criminology, vol.48, no.4 pg.495-503

32.Trager,J., Brewster,J.,(2001) The effectiveness of psychological Profiles, Journal of Police and Criminal psychology, Vol16, no.1, pg 20-28.

33.W. Petherick, Criminal Profile: Into the mind of Killer, Publication: Modern Books, London, 2005.

34.Woodworth,M & Porter, S., (2000) Historical Foundations and Current Applications of Criminal Profiling in Violent Crime Investigations, Springer Link Journal, Vol 7 no 4 pg 241-264

35.Woodhams,J.,Hollin,C., Bull, R.,(2007), The psychology of linking crimes: A review of the evidence, Legal and Criminology Psychology, Vol.12, no.2 pg.233-249.

Publish Research Article
International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal
For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper,Summary of Research Project,Theses,Books and Book Review for publication,you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed,India

★ International Scientific Journal Consortium
★ OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed,USA

- EBSCO
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database
- Directory Of Research Journal Indexing