## International Multidisciplinary Research Journal

Golden Research

Thoughts

Chief Editor
Dr.Tukaram Narayan Shinde

Publisher Mrs.Laxmi Ashok Yakkaldevi Associate Editor Dr.Rajani Dalvi

Honorary Mr.Ashok Yakkaldevi

#### Welcome to GRT

#### RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

#### ISSN No.2231-5063

Golden Research Thoughts Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial board. Readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

#### **Regional Editor**

Dr. T. Manichander

#### International Advisory Board

Kamani Perera

Regional Center For Strategic Studies, Sri

Lanka

Janaki Sinnasamy

Librarian, University of Malaya

Romona Mihaila

Spiru Haret University, Romania

Delia Serbescu

Spiru Haret University, Bucharest,

Romania

Anurag Misra

DBS College, Kanpur

Titus PopPhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania

Mohammad Hailat

Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Carolina Aiken

Abdullah Sabbagh

Engineering Studies, Sydney

Ecaterina Patrascu

Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Loredana Bosca

Spiru Haret University, Romania

Fabricio Moraes de Almeida

Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

George - Calin SERITAN

Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi

Hasan Baktir

English Language and Literature

Department, Kayseri

Ghayoor Abbas Chotana

Dept of Chemistry, Lahore University of

Management Sciences[PK]

Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania

Ilie Pintea.

Spiru Haret University, Romania

Xiaohua Yang PhD, USA

.....More

#### **Editorial Board**

Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia

Iresh Swami Rajendra Shendge Pratap Vyamktrao Naikwade

ASP College Devrukh, Ratnagiri, MS India Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur

K. M. Bhandarkar

Vikram University, Ujjain

Sonal Singh

N.S. Dhaygude R. R. Patil

Head Geology Department Solapur

University, Solapur

Rama Bhosale

Narendra Kadu

Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education,

Panvel

Salve R. N.

Department of Sociology, Shivaji

University, Kolhapur

Govind P. Shinde

Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai

Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar

Arts, Science & Commerce College, Indapur, Pune

Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya

Secretary, Play India Play, Meerut(U.P.)

Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University,

Solapur

Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur R. R. Yalikar

Director Managment Institute, Solapur

Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune Umesh Rajderkar

Head Humanities & Social Science

YCMOU, Nashik

S. R. Pandya

Head Education Dept. Mumbai University,

Mumbai

G. P. Patankar Alka Darshan Shrivastava S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar

Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Rahul Shriram Sudke

Director, Hyderabad AP India. Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore

S.Parvathi Devi S.KANNAN

Ph.D.-University of Allahabad Annamalai University, TN

Sonal Singh, Satish Kumar Kalhotra

Maulana Azad National Urdu University Vikram University, Ujjain

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India Cell: 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.oldgrt.lbp.world ISSN: 2231-5063 IMPACT FACTOR: 4.6052(UIF)



#### GOLDEN RESEARCH THOUGHTS



VOLUME - 6 | ISSUE - 12 | JUNE - 2017

# CONSTRUCTION AND STANDARDISATION OF WORK MOTIVATION SCALE (WMS) FOR TEACHERS AT SECONDARY LEVEL

#### M. Malathy<sup>1</sup> and Dr. P. N. Nataraj<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ph.D., Research Scholar, Department of Education, Annamalai University.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Education, Annamalai University.



#### **ABSTRACT**

n attempt has been made to construct and standardize the work motivation scale among the teachers at secondary level. A well structured scale was administered among them. The sample consists of 100 teachers at secondary level randomly selected from the schools situated in Pondicherry state. Initially the scale was constructed with 60 statements which are related to Work motivation. In order to standardize and validate the scale the investigator used the't' test, based on the value 't' finally 46 statements were retained for the final study. This paper discussed about the development of the scale to measure the level of work motivation of teachers at secondary level.

**KEY WORDS-** Work motivation, Secondary level teachers, Standardization.

#### INTRODUCTION

Motivation to work is a human state where competence to work and will to work fuse together. In absence of one other does not produce results. It is the ultimate test of effectiveness of almost every organization because it is directly related to the results achieved. According to Pinder (2008), "Work motivation is a set of energetic forces that originate both within as well as beyond an individual's being, to initiate work-related related behavior and to determine its behavior, and to determine its form, direction, intensity, and duration". Work motivation is an essential incentive to increase work performance; in teaching, work motivation is associated to well-being in the classroom, good learning, and high motivation of the students.

Motivation of teachers is strictly related to perceived self-efficacy in teaching, students' good performances, self-determination, and control of teaching-learning relationship. Work motivation is the process that arouses, energizes, directs, and sustains behavior and performance among employees, (Slocum, & Hellriegel, 2009). Work motivation is a process or path which energizes and develops inner urge in an individual to put effort on successful performance. It helps person employed to exchange his cognitive, affective and connative domains for achievement of organizational goal for salary etc, as contracted by the organization. And in the work motivation work goal is well defined, achievable and measurable and also specific path roles and job responsibilities are measurable and related to goal achievement.

#### **METHODOLOGY:**

In order to finalize the items the investigator has followed the item analysis procedure. For the

construction of work motivation scale, the Likert's method has been followed. The scale consists of statements pertaining to the different dimensions of work motivation such as work place relationship, appreciation and recognition, work place incentives, working conditions, feeling involved in the work process, intrinsic development.

After a careful scrutiny of the statements by experts, 60 statements were selected. The scale consists of 46 items for the final study. Each statements is followed by five alternatives namely strongly agree, agree, undecided, disagree, strongly disagree. Scored are given in the order of 5,4,3,2 and 1. The maximum score for an item is 5 and the minimum score is 1. Therefore one can get a maximum score of 300 and minimum score of 60. Work motivation scale was given to as many as 100 teachers at secondary level from Pondicherry state. After scoring the scale for the pilot study, for all the 100 subjects were arranged in order from high scores to low scores, top 25% constitute the high achievers and the bottom 25% constitute the low achievers in the group (Allen L. Edwards-1957). The 't' values are computed for each item of the scale and given in table-1.

TABLE-1
t- Values for the statements of the work motivation scale

Item Number	't' value	Selected/ Not Selected
1	4.12	Selected
2	2.04	Selected
3	3.62	Selected
4	2.10	Selected
5	7.86	Selected
6	7.62	Selected
7	8.78	Selected
8	1.01	Not Selected
9	2.24	Selected
10	5.85	Selected
11	5.40	Selected
12	2.02	Selected
13	1.36	Not Selected
14	4.87	Selected
15	1.07	Not Selected
16	5.20	Selected
17	1.10	Not Selected
18	0.23	Not Selected
19	5.60	Selected
20	5.51	Selected
21	6.63	Selected
22	6.83	Selected
23	5.57	Selected
24	9.93	Selected
25	5.81	Selected
26	5.68	Selected
27	1.27	Not Selected
28	3.96	Selected
29	0.43	Not Selected
30	5.32	Selected
31	3.75	Selected
32	4.69	Selected
33	3.12	Selected
34	0.35	Not Selected
35	1.63	Not Selected
36	0.45	Selected
37	1.41	Not Selected
38	7.59	Selected
39	3.11	Selected
40	7.52	Selected
41	0.63	Not Selected

42	2.80	Selected
43	7.08	Selected
44	6.72	Selected
45	5.20	Selected
46	1.90	Selected
47	2.90	Selected
48	2.48	Selected
49	4.89	Selected
50	2.33	Selected
51	6.54	Selected
52	3.92	Selected
53	8.10	Selected
54	4.52	Selected
55	1.29	Not Selected
56	0.66	Not Selected
57	4.92	Selected
58	4.52	Not Selected
59	7.63	Selected
60	4.90	Selected

Based on the above 't' values a measure of the extent to which a given statement differ between the high and the low group, only those items having the 't' value of 1.75 and above were selected. In this process of selection 11 items were not selected form the table and finally 46 items retained.

#### Reliability and validity

The reliability of the work motivation scale was established through spilt-half technique followed by use of Spear-Brown prophecy formula. The coefficient of internal consistency has been found to be 0.97.

The validity value for this scale was found to be 0.98 by taking square root of reliability coefficient. The face validity of the work motivation scale was established, that the items are selected through discussion and opinion of the teachers at secondary level. The content validity is taken care of by including the items covering six dimensions of work motivation.

#### Norms

To obtain the norms, the percentiles have been computed for the raw scores of work motivation scale for teachers at secondary level and are given in the Table-2.

Table-2
Norms for work motivation scores

Percentiles	Score Range	Interpretation
Below P <sub>25</sub>	183 & Below	Low
P <sub>25</sub> - P <sub>75</sub>	184 - 245	Average
Above P <sub>75</sub>	246 & Above	High

#### **CONCLUSION**

Motivation is a complex concept and can help or harm an organization depending on how it is used within an organization. Even when people have clear work objectives, the right skills and a supportive work environment, they must have sufficient motivation to achieve work objectives. If a superior takes time to understand the needs of teachers, then the recognition can be extremely useful. This will help the productivity and improvement of their employees. So this scale will be very useful to measure the level of work motivation of teachers at secondary level.

#### **REFERENCES**

1.Edwards L. Allen. (1957). Techniques of Attitude Scale Construction. New York: Irvington Publishers, Inc 2.Guilford J.P and Benjamin Fruchter (1973) Fundamental Statistics in psychology and Education. New Newyork: McGraw Hill.

3. Garrett, H.E & Woodworth, R.S (2005) Statistics in Psychology and Education. Paragon international publishers, New Delhi.

4. Maslow, A. H. (1970). Motivation and Personality. 2nd edition, New York: Harper and Row



M. Malathy
Ph.D., Research Scholar, Department of Education, Annamalai University.

# Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper, Summary of Research Project, Theses, Books and Book Review for publication, you will be pleased to know that our journals are

### Associated and Indexed, India

- ★ International Scientific Journal Consortium
- \* OPEN J-GATE

## Associated and Indexed, USA

- EBSCO
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database
- Directory Of Research Journal Indexing

Golden Research Thoughts 258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005, Maharashtra Contact-9595359435 E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com Website: www.oldgrt.lbp.world