## International Multidisciplinary Research Journal

# Golden Research Thoughts

Chief Editor Dr.Tukaram Narayan Shinde

Publisher Mrs.Laxmi Ashok Yakkaldevi Associate Editor Dr.Rajani Dalvi

Honorary Mr.Ashok Yakkaldevi

#### Welcome to GRT

#### **RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595**

Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

Regional Center For Strategic Studies, Sri

Librarian, University of Malaya

Spiru Haret University, Romania

Spiru Haret University, Bucharest,

Titus PopPhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania

Flávio de São Pedro Filho

Kamani Perera

Janaki Sinnasamy

Romona Mihaila

Delia Serbescu

Anurag Misra

DBS College, Kanpur

Romania

Lanka

#### Golden Research Thoughts Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial board. Readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

#### International Advisory Board

Mohammad Hailat Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Carolina Aiken

Abdullah Sabbagh Engineering Studies, Sydney

Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania

Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

George - Calin SERITAN Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi

#### Hasan Baktir English Language and Literature Department, Kayseri

Ghayoor Abbas Chotana Dept of Chemistry, Lahore University of Management Sciences[PK]

Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania

Ilie Pintea. Spiru Haret University, Romania

Xiaohua Yang PhD. USA

.....More

#### Editorial Board

Pratap Vyamktrao Naikwade Iresh Swami ASP College Devrukh, Ratnagiri, MS India Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur

R. R. Patil Head Geology Department Solapur University,Solapur

Rama Bhosale Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education, Panvel

Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University,Kolhapur

Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidvapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai

Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar Arts, Science & Commerce College, Indapur, Pune

Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play, Meerut(U.P.) N.S. Dhaygude Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur

Narendra Kadu Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune

K. M. Bhandarkar Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia

Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain

G. P. Patankar

Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director, Hyderabad AP India.

S.Parvathi Devi Ph.D.-University of Allahabad

Sonal Singh, Vikram University, Ujjain

Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur

R. R. Yalikar Director Managment Institute, Solapur

Umesh Rajderkar Head Humanities & Social Science YCMOU,Nashik

S. R. Pandya Head Education Dept. Mumbai University, Mumbai

Alka Darshan Shrivastava S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar

> Rahul Shriram Sudke Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore

S.KANNAN Annamalai University, TN

Satish Kumar Kalhotra Maulana Azad National Urdu University

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India Cell: 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.aygrt.isrj.org **ISSN No.2231-5063** 

#### Golden Research Thoughts ISSN 2231-5063 Impact Factor : 3.4052(UIF) Volume-4 | Issue-10 | April-2015 Available online at www.aygrt.isrj.org

#### A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF LEADERSHIP STYLES AMONG LEADERS OF PRIMARY, SECONDARY AND HIGHER EDUCATION OF HARYANA.





Vibhuti Kaushik Lecturer.

#### Short Profile

Vibhuti Kaushik is a Lecturer.

#### **Co-Author Details :**

Renu chaudhary Research Scholar M.D.U. Rohtak.



#### ABSTRACT:

Education can be rated as an ideal approach for the development of society. The principle institutional mechanism for developing human capital is the formal educational system of primary, secondary and tertiary training. Leadership plays an important role in the school effectiveness and school improvement. Educational leaders possess a pivotal role in institutions and the importance of their role can not be overlooked. The present study was tried to describe the leadership styles used by the educational leaders of primary, secondary and higher education

departments of Haryana. A sample of 40 participants from each educational department was taken and the tool developed by Dr. T.V.Rao was used to collect the data. The results revealed that the leaders from the three departments use different leadership styles. The leaders of primary education have no clear perception of the style and use all of them as per their convenience whereas leaders of secondary education mostly use delegative style of leadership and the leaders of higher education mostly use participative style of leadership.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Leadership Styles, Primary, Secondary and Higher Education, development of society.

#### citeulike

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Education can be rated as an ideal approach for the development of society. The principle institutional mechanism for developing human capital is its formal education system of primary, secondary and tertiary training. (Nsubuga, 2003). Leadership plays an important role in the school effectiveness and school improvement and this importance has always been emphasized by the researchers from the field of school effectiveness and school improvement. (Hargrea ves, Lieberman, Fullan and Hopkins, 1998). Educational leaders possess a pivotal role in institutions and the importance of their role can not be overlooked. The job of educational leaders involve among other things, the provision of leadership for staff, coordinating both human and material resources to ensure the achievement of organizational goal. In an organization the educational leaders as an administrator influences his subordinates to achieve the goals and objectives of the institution. (Faisal et . al, 2012). At the outlet it is important to define the concept "Leadership" in general and academic leadership in particular. The term leadership has been variously defined in the literature on strategic management. (cathye, 2004). Few leaders understand the full significance of how influential their leadership style is on the performance and satisfaction of their employees. Leaders control both interpersonal and material rewards and punishments that often shape employee behavior and influence on employee's performance, motivation and attitude. (Warrick, 1981).

#### LEADERSHIP AND LEADERSHIP STYLES:

Leadership is a complex phenomenon. A great number of definitions have been offered over the years. According to Squires (2001), leaders are concerned with the spiritual aspect of their work that is they have followers who deeply believe in them and they possess a latent power in organizations. Leadership is creating and maintaining a sense of vision, culture and interpersonal relationships.(Day,2000). Tead(1935)describes, leadership as an activity of influencing people to cooperate towards same goal which they come to find desirable. The study of special traits of leaders emerged from the belief that leadership and ability such as intelligence were inherited. In addition to intelligence other factors such as birth orders, status and liberal parents highly correlate with leadership abilities. (Carlson, 1996). Burns in 1978, introduced the concept of transformational and 77transactional leadership. The transformational leadership was considered to be more complex then transactional leadership, the transformational leadership is a process that changed the organization by transforming followers to leaders and leaders to agents of change. (Bass and avlio, 1994) transformational leadership was characterized by few factors. These factors included; charisma that involved the followers respect and trust for the visionary leader; inspirational motivation which involved using symbols or emotional appeals to gain support for the vision; intellectual stimulation which dealt with encouraging followers to think about old problems in new ways; and individual consideration which reflected the personal concern expressed by the leader for the followers. (Bass, 1985) The transactional leadership theory was founded upon idea that leader follower relations were based on negotiation, exchange and contractual dimensions. Therefore, transactional leadership satisfied, generally only the followers lower level or extrinsic needs. Transactional leader, therefore were forced to utilize the reward and penalty aspect of contractual leadership.(Bass1985&Boateng,2012).

Laissez-faire leadership indicates a complete abdication of leadership. In early studies of laissezfaire leadership, (lewin, lippitt& white, 1939) found that this kind of leadership led to lower productivity and satisfaction among followers when compared with autocratic and democratic leadership styles. Although subordinates desired the autonomy that laissiz-faire leadership provided, but subsequent researches substantiate followers' dissatisfaction with this leadership style. (Boateng, 2012)

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

The leadership in education means directing the activity of those engaged in the training of minors towards the achievement of organizational goals set by those persons who have organized themselves for it. In the educational set up this leadership is exercised by the heads/principals. (waguar and siddique, 2012) Educational institutions are critical places where the next generation is educated, and school leaders bear a heavy Burdon of responsibility for the institution. Leaders in educational institution are the same as leaders in other organizations, and inevitably face the challenge of maintaining the goals of institution. (Northduse, 2010). Hallinger and meck (1998) found that a school leaders' leadership style is the main factor that greatly influences school effectiveness. According to Teshome (2005), higher education is crucial for the production of vital human resources such as, teachers, healthcare professional, lawyers, engineers, managers, businessmen, and researchers which are critical for socio- economic development of a nation. Moreover, he described higher education as a center for knowledge and skills creation, adaptation and dissemination. Likewise, Santigo et al (2008) )stated that these are at least four broad ways in which tertiary education contributes to the use of knowledge in both economic and social life: the building knowledge bases (primary through research); the creation of capabilities (through teaching and research training); the diffusion of knowledge (through interaction with knowledge users) and the maintenance of knowledge (inter-generational storage and transmission of knowledge (through codification, libraries, database etc.). Higher education therefore plays multiple roles especially in the transition towards a knowledge based economy by providing the human-resources required for leadership, management, business and professional positions that are important for the development of the country. Universities are complex organizations. They have goals hierarchical system and structures, officials that carry out specified duties and responsibilities, leadership and routine bureaucratic administration for handling day to day work to achieve their mission and goals, it is imperative for universities to have effective leadership that functions at different levels. To put it briefly leadership is one of the major factors effecting universities performance with regard to this, Bitzes and Koen (2010) succinctly put that academic leadership can be viewed as being the biggest advantage a university can have in a a resource hungry competitive higher education environment. Similarly, De-Omari et al (2008) revealed that leaders are one of the crucial factors who have responsibility for and form success or failure of organization. In addition leaders are the key to lead subordinates to perform in order to achieve organizational goals. The academic department is the base unit of universities and colleges. It provides a useful structure for the day to day activities that shape faculty members' attitudes, behaviors and performances. in line with this, Lucas (2002) stated that within institution of higher education, change efforts that directly impact students, educational experiences occur at the department level. He also stated that changes in disciplinary focus, curriculum, research emphases, and teaching quality and student faculty relationship are just a few of the changes that occur as a result of efforts led by department chairs. Department chairs make approximately 80% of all decision on college campuses and are primary responsible for both budgeting and planning activities of the academic enterprise. Therefore, the academic department chair person is one of the most important positions in academic and vital to the operation of higher education institutions. (File and Shibeshi, 2012). A diversified body of literature witnessed that there are various leadership styles such as Democratic, Autocratic, Participative and Laissez-Faire that leaders can exercise in different situations so as to influence their employee with the ultimate aim of maximizing

citeulike 🕮 EndNoto 😲 🚵 Linked in. Cooge

organizational performance. (File and Shibeshi, 2012). There have been many studies of different leadership styles adopted by heads of primary, secondary and higher educational institutes separately but in this particular study we aim to compare the leadership styles adopted by them in a single study on which much of the work has not been done.

#### PURPOSE OF THE STUDY:

The purpose of the study is to investigate the standard leadership styles used by head teachers in primary, secondary and higher education.

**Research Methodology** 

**Research design** 

#### Descriptive cum diagnostic research design

The research design is descriptive because it studies the leadership styles adopted by leaders of primary, secondary and higher education institutes and diagnostic because it conducts a comparative analysis of leadership styles among leaders of institutions of primary, secondary and higher education.

#### **OBJECTIVES**:

1To study the leadership styles adopted by leaders in higher education institutes. 2To study the leadership styles adopted by leaders in secondary education institutes. 3To study the leadership style adopted by leaders in primary education institutes. 4To conduct a comparative analysis of leadership styles adopted by leaders of primary, secondary and higher education institutes.

#### **Hypothesis**

No.1 : The leaders in the higher education department do not adopt the Authoritarian Style of leadership.

No.2 : The leaders in secondary education department do not adopt the Authoritarian Style of leadership.

No3: The leaders in the primary education department do not adopt the Authoritarian Style of leadership.

#### Population

The population of the survey was the entire education system i.e., primary, secondary and higher education department of Haryana.

#### Sample size

The random selection of 40 leaders was made from the each educational department.

#### **Data collection**

The data was collected through questionnaires, through personal contact. The questionnaires developed by Dr. T.V.Rao. for various leadership style was used for survey.

#### Analysis and interpretation:

#### Method:

The data collected was statistically analyzed in the light of descriptive statistics. The means of the scores were compared to see the inclination of leaders towards a particular leadership styles.

#### Results have been given below

		Report		
		delegative	autocratic	participative
	Mean	33.90	34.40	33.70
primary	Ν	40	40	40
	Std. Deviation	6.396	5.943	6.285
	Mean	35.45	22.70	25.10
secondary	Ν	40	40	40
	Std. Deviation	6.621	4.553	5.320
	Mean	26.20	18.00	35.80
higher	Ν	40	40	40
	Std. Deviation	4.292	3.856	2.493
	Mean	33.18	35.37	34.20
Total	Ν	120	120	120
	Std. Deviation	6.221	5.803	5.774

#### Table of comparison of means :

#### Results and interpretation:

From the table of means it is observed that in the first case i.e, primary education department there is not much of a difference between the means of all the three values i.e, delegative style, autocratic style and participative style.

		delegative	autocratic	participative
primary	Mean	33.90	34.40	33.70
	Ν	40	40	40
	Std. Deviation	6.396	5.943	6.285

It indicates that there is no clear perception of the mode operated by leaders of primary education. They are flexible in their leadership style and use all of them as per their convenience

In the second case i.e, leaders of secondary education the value of mean are highest for the delegative style.

		delegative	autocratic	participative
	Mean	35.45	22.70	25.10
secondary	N	40	40	40
	Std. Deviation	6.621	4.553	5.320

It indicates that the leaders of secondary education department mostly use delegative style in their leadership.

In the third department i.e, higher education department the value of mean is highest for the participative style of leadership.

		delegative	autocratic	participative
higher	Mean	26.20	18.00	35.80
	Ν	40	40	40
	Std. Deviation	4.292	3.856	2.493

It indicates that leadership style mostly adopted by leaders of higher education is participative leadership style and they seek participation of all the fellow employees in performing a task.

#### CONCLUSION:

#### On the bases of the results we conclude that:

1. There is no clear perception of the mode operated by leaders of primary education. They are flexible in their leadership style and use all of them as per their convenience.

2. The leaders of secondary education department mostly use delegative style in their leadership.

3. The leadership style mostly adopted by leaders of higher education is participative leadership style and they seek participation of all the fellow employees in performing a task.

#### **REFERENCES**:

1.Bitzer, M& Koen, M. (2010) "Academic leadership in higher education : A participative perspective from one institution." Higher education leadership. Volume – 8, Issue – 1 2.Burns, J. M. (1978) leadership. New York : Harper raw.



3.Bass, B. M. & Avolio, B.J. (1994) " Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership." Thousand oaks, CA: Sage Publication.

4.Bass, Bbbb. M. (1985) "Leadership and performance beyond expectations." New York: Free Press. 5.Boateng, C (2012). "Leadership styles and effectiveness of principals of vocational technical institutions in Ghana." American international Journal of contemporary Research. Volume-2 Issue-3 P.P. 128-134

6.Carlson, R.V. (1996) "Reframing and reform. New York : Longman.

7.Day, C. (2000) "Beyond transformational Leadership." Educational leadership. Volume-57, Issue-59.

8.File, G & Shibeshi, A (2012) "Department Heads'Self-Perceotion of their leadership styles at Jimma University, Ethopia." Ethopian Journal of educational sciences. Volume-7, Issue-2 P.P. 97-114.

9.Faisal, A ; AZEEM, m ; Aysha, F ; Amina, F ; Saleem, F & Nadeem, R, . (2012). "Impact of educational leadership on institutional performance in Pakistan." Journal of Public Administration and Governance, Volume-2, Issue-2 P.P. 57-94.

10.Hargreaves, A., Lieberman, A., Fullan, M., & Hopkins, D (1993) The international handbook of educational change. Volume-4, Dord recht: Kluwes.

11.Hallinger, P; Heck, R.H. (1998) " Exploring the principals' contribution to school effectiveness." School effectiveness and school Improvement. Volume-9, Issue-2 P.P. 157-191.

12.Lewin,K ;Lippitt, R. & White R.K. (1939) " Pattern of aggressive behavior in experimentally created social climates. " Journal of Social Psychology. Volume- 10. P.P.271-279.

13.Lucas, A.F. (2000) "leading Academic Change: Essential Roles for Department Chairs." San Francisco, Jossey-Bass Publisher.

14. Nsubuga, Y.K. (2008). "Analysis of leadership styles and school performance of secondary schools in Uganda." Unpublished P.Hd Thesis, Nelson Mandela Metrpolitan University.

15. Northause. G. (2007). Leadership Theory and Practice. (3rd ed). London: Sage Publication, Inc.

16.Santiago, P., TremIslay,Ko, Basri.E. and Arnal, E. (2008) "Tertiary Education for the Knowledge Society : Special Features : Equity, innovation, labor Market Internationalization" Volume-2 Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)

17.Sathy e, M (2004) "Leadership in Higher Education : A Qualitative Study." Forum : Qualitative Social Research. Volume-34, Issue-4, P.P.11-15.

18. Tead, O. (1935) "The art of leadership. New York : Mcgraw Hill.

19.Teshome Yizengaw (2005). "Policy Development in Higher Education in Ethiopia and the Role of Donors and Development Partners." Paper Presented at International Expert meeting "Formulas that work: Making Higher Education Support More effective;" The Hague, The Netherlands, 23-24 May 2005.

20.Waqas, S.H & Siddiqui, (2010). "A study about the leadership styles of public and private school principals." Journal of Elementary Education. Volume-18, Issue-1-2. P.P.5-20.

21.Warrick, D.D. (1981) "Leadership style and their consequences." "Journal of experimental learning and simulation." Issue 3-4 P.P.155-172..

### Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper,Summary of Research Project,Theses,Books and Book Review for publication,you will be pleased to know that our journals are

## Associated and Indexed, India

- \* International Scientific Journal Consortium
- \* OPENJ-GATE

## Associated and Indexed, USA

- EBSCO
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database
- Directory Of Research Journal Indexing

Golden Research Thoughts 258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005,Maharashtra Contact-9595359435 E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com Website : www.aygrt.isrj.org