



ROLE OF STUDENTS IN THE INDIAN NATIONAL MOVEMENT

Vaishnavi Ashok Yakkaldevi
Research Scholar

ABSTRACT

Students played an important role in the development and expansion of the Indian National Movement against British colonial rule. Their participation grew particularly during the major nationalist movements of the twentieth century, when educational institutions became important centres of political discussion, nationalist awareness, and public mobilization. Students participated in demonstrations, boycotts, strikes, Satyagraha, political campaigns, propagation of Swadeshi, and other forms of nationalist activity. The present study examines the role of students in the Indian national movement, with particular attention to their participation in the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement. It explores the contribution of student organizations, youth groups, political volunteers, and student leaders in spreading nationalist ideas and encouraging public participation. The study also examines the role of educational institutions and nationalist educational initiatives in creating political consciousness among young people. Particular attention is given to students who left or boycotted government-controlled educational institutions, participated in demonstrations and picketing, organized meetings, distributed nationalist literature, and supported constructive programmes. During the Quit India Movement, student participation also included underground activities and local forms of resistance in several regions.



The study recognizes that student participation was not uniform throughout India. Differences in region, educational background, political organization, social conditions, and access to nationalist networks influenced the nature and extent of involvement. The study therefore examines student participation within its wider social and political context. The study concludes that students contributed significantly to the expansion of nationalist consciousness and political participation among younger generations. Their involvement strengthened the organizational and social base of the national movement and provided many students with early experience in public affairs, political organization, and civic participation. Student activism therefore represents an important dimension of the broader history of India's struggle for independence.

KEYWORDS: Students, Indian National Movement, Student Participation, Indian Freedom Struggle, Nationalism, Mahatma Gandhi, Indian National Congress, Non-Cooperation Movement.

INTRODUCTION

The Role of Students in the Indian National Movement represents an important aspect of the history of India's struggle against British colonial rule. Students, particularly from schools, colleges, and

universities, became increasingly involved in nationalist politics during the twentieth century. Educational institutions often functioned as centres of political discussion, nationalist awareness, and organization, providing young people with opportunities to participate in public life. Student participation became especially visible during the Non-Cooperation Movement of 1920–1922. Gandhi's call to boycott colonial educational institutions encouraged students in several regions to leave government-controlled or aided schools and colleges and join nationalist institutions. In Chennai, for example, students organized meetings to discuss the boycott of colonial educational institutions, while in Assam student groups participated in boycotts and helped establish alternative national educational institutions. In Bengal, students participated in organized processions and public meetings during the Non-Cooperation Movement. Students of institutions such as Bangabasi College and other colleges in Calcutta took part in marches, promoted the boycott of colonial educational institutions, and appealed to other students to support nationalist activities. Such activities helped connect educational communities with wider nationalist mobilization.

Student involvement continued during later phases of the national movement. During the Civil Disobedience Movement, educational institutions in several regions became centres of nationalist activity. Students participated in demonstrations, political meetings, campaigns supporting Swadeshi and Khadi, and other forms of civil resistance. In Assam, for example, Cotton College became an important centre of student political activity during both the Civil Disobedience and Quit India phases. The Quit India Movement of 1942 witnessed particularly active student participation in several parts of India. After the arrest of major Congress leaders, students organized demonstrations, strikes, public meetings, distribution of nationalist literature, and other forms of resistance. In Cuttack, students organized meetings and circulated bulletins, while student activists in Krishna district participated in demonstrations, strikes, and nationalist activities. Students also contributed to nationalist campaigns through political education, public awareness, collection of funds, promotion of indigenous goods, and mobilization of local communities. Their relatively young age, educational networks, and access to institutional spaces enabled many student activists to communicate nationalist ideas among their peers and in surrounding communities. The historical record also documents cases in which students faced expulsion, arrest, surveillance, and other forms of colonial repression.

The role of students, however, was not uniform throughout India. Participation differed according to region, educational institution, political organization, social background, and local circumstances. Some students worked through organized nationalist bodies, while others participated through local campaigns, strikes, demonstrations, or underground activities. These variations demonstrate the diverse nature of student involvement in the national movement. The present study examines the Role of Students in the Indian National Movement by focusing on student participation in the Non-Cooperation, Civil Disobedience, and Quit India movements. It analyses student organizations, nationalist education, political mobilization, forms of protest, regional experiences, and the contribution of young people to the broader development of India's struggle for independence.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

1. To examine the historical background and development of student participation in the Indian national movement.
2. To study the role of students in creating political awareness and spreading nationalist ideas during British colonial rule.
3. To examine student participation in the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement.

4. To analyse the role of student organizations, youth groups, educational institutions, and nationalist associations in mobilizing students.
5. To study the participation of students in demonstrations, strikes, boycotts, Satyagraha, picketing, political meetings, and other nationalist activities.
6. To examine the role of students in promoting Swadeshi, Khadi, national education, and indigenous institutions during the freedom struggle.
7. To analyse the participation of students in underground activities, distribution of nationalist literature, communication networks, and local resistance, particularly during the Quit India Movement.
8. To examine the challenges and forms of colonial repression faced by student activists, including arrests, expulsion, surveillance, and restrictions.
9. To study regional variations in student participation and the influence of local political, social, and educational conditions.
10. To assess the historical significance of student participation in the Indian national movement and its contribution to the broader struggle for independence.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The literature on “Role of Students in the Indian National Movement” has been examined from historical, sociological, political, and educational perspectives. Scholars have studied student participation in nationalist politics, the development of student organizations, political awareness within educational institutions, and the involvement of young people in the Non-Cooperation, Civil Disobedience, and Quit India movements. Anil Rajimwale’s *History of Student Movement in India: Origins and Development (1920–1947)* is an important study devoted specifically to the history of student movements during the late colonial period. The work covers the development of student organizations, regional student politics, nationalist activities, and the emergence of organizations such as the All India Students Federation. It provides a broad framework for understanding students as an organized political force rather than merely as participants in individual nationalist campaigns. Amit Kumar Saurabh’s study, *The History of Student Movements in India: A Sociological Account*, examines student movements from both historical and sociological perspectives. The research emphasizes that student movements have been an important but comparatively underexamined dimension of Indian social and political history. It provides a useful framework for relating student participation to wider processes of social and political change.

Recent scholarship on student politics and nationalism has examined the relationship between colonial universities and political consciousness. Research on student politics between 1920 and 1947 highlights the role of educational institutions as spaces of political awakening and anti-colonial mobilization. It also identifies ideological diversity among students, including Gandhian, socialist, communist, and revolutionary approaches. The literature on the Non-Cooperation Movement demonstrates the active participation of students in boycotting government educational institutions and organizing public demonstrations. A documented example from Bengal records large student processions in Calcutta in 1921, in which students campaigned for educational boycott and encouraged wider public participation. Such evidence indicates that students contributed not only within educational institutions but also to broader nationalist mobilization. Studies of the Civil Disobedience Movement provide further evidence of student participation in political demonstrations, nationalist campaigns, and local resistance. Regional historical records indicate that student activists sometimes faced disciplinary action or expulsion from educational institutions because of their involvement in nationalist activities. The experience of student activists in Odisha, for example, illustrates the connection between student politics and local civil-disobedience campaigns.

The literature on the Quit India Movement of 1942 gives particularly strong attention to student participation. Historical studies and educational resources document students leaving government institutions, organizing demonstrations and protests, distributing political information, and participating in underground activities. Some student groups continued their activities despite government restrictions and arrests. Research on the Quit India period also places students within the wider pattern of youth participation. NCERT-related historical scholarship notes that younger activists became prominent after the imprisonment of major Congress leaders and that student participation formed an important part of the mass character of the 1942 movement. The development of organized student politics is another important area in the literature. Research records the emergence of regional student organizations and the establishment of the All India Students Federation in 1936. The organization brought together students with differing political orientations and provided a national platform for student political activity.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study on “Role of Students in the Indian National Movement” adopts a historical, descriptive, and analytical research methodology. The historical method is used to examine the development of student participation in nationalist politics and to understand the changing role of students within the broader political and social conditions of British colonial India. The study is based on both primary and secondary sources. Primary sources include newspapers, journals, student organization records, political resolutions, speeches, letters, autobiographies, memoirs, government reports, educational records, and other contemporary documents relating to student participation in the national movement. Secondary sources include books, research articles, dissertations, biographies, and scholarly studies concerning Indian nationalism, youth movements, education, and student politics. A descriptive approach is used to document student participation in major phases of the freedom struggle, particularly the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement. The study examines student involvement in educational boycotts, demonstrations, strikes, picketing, Satyagraha, political meetings, promotion of Swadeshi and Khadi, nationalist education, distribution of literature, and underground activities.

An analytical approach is employed to examine the factors that influenced student participation. Particular attention is given to educational institutions, student organizations, youth associations, nationalist leaders, political ideologies, education, regional conditions, and the social background of students. The study also examines the disciplinary measures and colonial repression faced by student activists, including arrests, surveillance, expulsion, and restrictions. A contextual approach is adopted to understand student activism within the broader development of Indian nationalism. Regional variations are considered because student participation differed according to local political conditions, educational institutions, organizational networks, and social circumstances. The study also considers the influence of different ideological currents, including Gandhian, socialist, communist, and revolutionary ideas, where relevant.

The research uses thematic and comparative analysis to identify major patterns in student participation across different movements and regions. The collected information is critically compared and interpreted to assess the historical significance of student activism and its relationship with mass nationalist mobilization. The study is primarily qualitative and does not depend on statistical analysis. Through historical interpretation, source criticism, documentary analysis, and thematic comparison, the research seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the contribution of students to the Indian national movement and their role in the broader struggle for independence.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The Indian national movement was a broad and complex struggle against British colonial rule involving political leaders, organizations, workers, peasants, women, students, and other sections of society. Among these groups, students played an increasingly visible role, particularly during the major mass movements of the twentieth century. Educational institutions became important spaces for political discussion, nationalist awareness, organizational activity, and youth participation. The central problem of the present study is to examine the nature, extent, and historical significance of student participation in the Indian national movement. The study seeks to understand how students developed political awareness, the forms of nationalist activities in which they participated, and the factors that influenced their involvement in different phases of the freedom struggle. The study focuses particularly on student participation in the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement. It examines educational boycotts, demonstrations, strikes, Satyagraha, picketing, political meetings, promotion of Swadeshi and Khadi, distribution of nationalist literature, and underground activities.

Another important aspect of the problem is the role of educational institutions and student organizations in political mobilization. The study examines how schools, colleges, universities, youth organizations, and nationalist educational institutions contributed to the development of political consciousness and collective action among students. The study also seeks to examine the influence of different political and ideological currents on student participation. Gandhian nationalism, socialist ideas, communist thought, revolutionary nationalism, and other political influences shaped student activism in different regions and periods. Understanding these differences is important for examining the diversity of student political activity. The problem further includes the challenges faced by student activists. Colonial authorities used measures such as surveillance, arrests, expulsion from educational institutions, censorship, and restrictions on political activities. The study examines how such measures affected student participation and the organization of nationalist activities. Another significant dimension is the regional variation in student participation. Students in different provinces, cities, educational institutions, and local communities experienced the national movement differently. Local political conditions, social background, educational opportunities, and organizational networks influenced the nature and intensity of student activism.

NEED OF STUDY

The study of “Role of Students in the Indian National Movement” is important for understanding the wider social and political dimensions of India’s struggle against British colonial rule. The national movement involved several sections of society, and students emerged as an increasingly active group, particularly during the major nationalist movements of the twentieth century. Examining their participation provides a broader understanding of how nationalist ideas reached educational institutions and younger generations. The study is needed to understand the historical factors that encouraged students to participate in political activities. Education, political awareness, nationalist organizations, influential leaders, student associations, and the wider political environment contributed to the development of student activism. Educational institutions became important centres for political discussion, organization, and dissemination of nationalist ideas. The study is also necessary to examine student participation in the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement. Students participated in educational boycotts, demonstrations, strikes, Satyagraha, picketing, political meetings, promotion of Swadeshi and Khadi, distribution of nationalist literature, and other forms of resistance. Studying these activities helps explain the contribution of young people to mass political mobilization.

Another important need of the study is to examine the role of student organizations and nationalist educational institutions. These organizations provided opportunities for collective action, political education, leadership development, and communication among students. They also helped connect student activism with broader nationalist networks. The study is further needed to understand the influence of different political ideologies on students. Gandhian nationalism, socialist ideas, communist thought, revolutionary nationalism, and other political currents influenced student activism in different regions and periods. Examining these influences provides a more complete picture of the diversity of student participation. The challenges faced by student activists also require systematic study. Colonial authorities used surveillance, censorship, arrests, expulsion, and restrictions on political activities in educational institutions. Understanding these responses helps explain the difficulties encountered by students who participated in nationalist politics. The study is also significant because student participation varied across regions, institutions, and social backgrounds. Regional political conditions, educational opportunities, local leadership, and organizational networks influenced the nature and extent of student activism. Examining these variations can provide a more balanced and inclusive account of the freedom struggle.

FURTHER SUGGESTIONS FOR RESEARCH

Further research on “Role of Students in the Indian National Movement” can examine student participation at regional, district, and institutional levels. Detailed local studies can identify differences in student leadership, political organization, forms of protest, and relationships with local nationalist movements. Future researchers can undertake comparative studies of student participation in the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement. Such research can examine changes in student activism, political awareness, organizational methods, and forms of resistance across different periods. Greater attention should be given to students from different social, economic, caste, regional, and educational backgrounds. Research on rural students, women students, students from marginalized communities, and students in smaller towns can provide a more inclusive understanding of student participation.

Further studies can examine the role of schools, colleges, universities, national educational institutions, hostels, libraries, and student associations as centres of political discussion and nationalist mobilization. Such research can help explain the relationship between education and political consciousness during the colonial period. The development of organized student politics also deserves further research. Studies may examine the activities of student organizations, youth associations, regional groups, and the All India Students Federation, along with the influence of Gandhian, socialist, communist, and revolutionary ideas on student activism. Researchers should also investigate the participation of students in underground activities during the Quit India Movement. Secret communication networks, distribution of nationalist literature, underground publications, political meetings, and local resistance can be studied through archival and regional sources.

Further research is needed on the response of colonial authorities to student activism. Police records, intelligence reports, educational records, university proceedings, court documents, newspapers, and government correspondence can provide valuable evidence concerning surveillance, arrests, expulsions, censorship, and disciplinary measures. Comparative studies of student participation in India and other anti-colonial movements in Asia and Africa may also provide broader perspectives on youth, education, nationalism, and political mobilization.

SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

Scope of the Study

The present study, “Role of Students in the Indian National Movement,” focuses on the historical development and significance of student participation in India’s struggle against British colonial rule. It examines the emergence of political awareness among students and the increasing involvement of young people in nationalist activities during the late nineteenth and twentieth centuries. The study covers student participation in the major phases of the national movement, particularly the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement. It examines activities such as educational boycotts, demonstrations, strikes, Satyagraha, picketing, political meetings, promotion of Swadeshi and Khadi, distribution of nationalist literature, and underground resistance.

The scope also includes the role of schools, colleges, universities, national educational institutions, student organizations, and youth associations in developing political consciousness and collective action. The influence of nationalist leaders and different political ideologies on student activism is also considered. The study examines participation across different regions and social groups and considers the experiences of students from varied educational, social, economic, caste, and regional backgrounds. Attention is also given to student leaders and lesser-known participants whose activities contributed to local and regional nationalist movements. The study further examines the challenges faced by student activists, including colonial surveillance, censorship, arrests, expulsion from educational institutions, and restrictions on political activities. It also considers the historical significance of student participation for the development of political awareness, leadership, and civic engagement among young people.

Limitations of the Study

The study is primarily historical and qualitative and depends on the availability, reliability, and interpretation of primary and secondary sources. Records relating to student participation are often scattered among government documents, educational records, newspapers, organizational archives, and personal accounts. The Indian national movement covered a vast geographical area and involved students from numerous educational institutions and social backgrounds. Therefore, the study cannot provide an equally detailed account of every region, institution, student organization, or individual participant. Greater emphasis is placed on major national developments and selected regional experiences.

Another limitation is that documentation is often stronger for prominent student leaders and large educational centres than for students in rural areas, smaller towns, and less-established institutions. Consequently, the contributions of some local and lesser-known student activists may not be adequately represented in the available historical record. Student activism was also closely connected with wider political and ideological developments, including Gandhian nationalism, socialist and communist movements, revolutionary activities, and regional political organizations. While these influences are considered where relevant, the study does not attempt to provide an exhaustive history of every political current affecting students.

Findings

The study of “Role of Students in the Indian National Movement” finds that students played an important and increasingly visible role in the struggle against British colonial rule. Their participation became particularly significant during the major mass movements of the twentieth century and contributed to the expansion of political awareness among young people. The study finds that educational institutions became important centres of political discussion, nationalist awareness, and organization. Students used schools, colleges, universities, hostels, and student associations as spaces for discussing

political developments, organizing meetings, spreading nationalist ideas, and coordinating participation in the national movement. The findings show that students participated in the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement through educational boycotts, demonstrations, strikes, Satyagraha, picketing, political meetings, promotion of Swadeshi and Khadi, and distribution of nationalist literature.

During the Non-Cooperation Movement, many students responded to calls for the boycott of colonial educational institutions and participated in nationalist educational initiatives. The movement provided students with opportunities to connect educational concerns with the wider struggle for self-government. The study further finds that student participation continued during the Civil Disobedience Movement. Students joined demonstrations, boycott campaigns, political meetings, and other forms of civil resistance. In several regions, educational institutions became centres for organizing nationalist activities and mobilizing young people. The findings indicate that student participation was particularly visible during the Quit India Movement of 1942. After the arrest of major Congress leaders, students in several regions participated in demonstrations, strikes, distribution of nationalist materials, communication activities, and underground resistance. Local student networks became important in sustaining political activity under conditions of repression.

Another major finding is that student activism was influenced by different political and ideological currents. Gandhian nationalism, socialist ideas, communist thought, revolutionary nationalism, and regional political movements influenced students in different periods and areas. As a result, student activism was politically diverse rather than uniform. The study also finds that student participation varied considerably according to region, educational institution, social background, economic circumstances, caste, gender, and local political conditions. Major urban educational centres often provided stronger organizational networks, while student participation in rural and smaller institutions developed according to local circumstances. The findings further reveal that student activists faced colonial repression in various forms, including surveillance, arrests, censorship, expulsion from educational institutions, disciplinary action, and restrictions on political activities. These measures influenced the organization and intensity of student participation but did not eliminate nationalist activism.

Discussion

The present study demonstrates that students played an important role in the development and expansion of the Indian national movement. Their participation became particularly prominent during the major mass movements of the twentieth century and reflected the growing influence of nationalist ideas within educational institutions. Students became important participants in political discussions, public demonstrations, organizational activities, and campaigns against colonial rule. A central aspect of student participation was the relationship between education and political consciousness. Schools, colleges, universities, hostels, and student associations became spaces where political ideas were discussed and nationalist activities were organized. Students used these institutional networks to communicate political messages, organize meetings, and mobilize their peers. During the Non-Cooperation Movement, students responded to calls for the boycott of colonial educational institutions. Some left government-controlled institutions and joined national educational initiatives, while others participated in demonstrations, meetings, and campaigns supporting Swadeshi and Khadi. This marked an important connection between student life and nationalist political activity.

The Civil Disobedience Movement provided further opportunities for student participation. Students became involved in demonstrations, strikes, picketing, political meetings, boycott campaigns, and other forms of civil resistance. The participation of students varied across regions and educational

institutions, depending on local leadership, political conditions, and organizational networks. The Quit India Movement of 1942 demonstrated another important dimension of student activism. Following the arrest of major Congress leaders, students in several parts of India participated in demonstrations, strikes, distribution of nationalist literature, communication activities, and underground resistance. In some areas, students helped maintain political networks despite restrictions and repression. The discussion also highlights the diversity of political influences among students. Gandhian nationalism, socialist ideas, communist thought, revolutionary nationalism, and regional political currents influenced student activists in different periods and places. Consequently, student participation cannot be understood as a completely uniform political movement.

Another important dimension is the role of student organizations. Student associations and youth groups provided platforms for collective action, political discussion, leadership development, and organizational training. The growth of organized student politics contributed to the development of a politically conscious younger generation. The study further shows that student participation was influenced by social and regional circumstances. Differences in caste, class, gender, economic background, educational opportunities, region, and local political conditions affected the nature and extent of participation. Urban colleges and universities often had stronger political networks, while student activism in smaller towns and rural areas developed according to local circumstances. Colonial authorities responded to student activism through surveillance, censorship, arrests, expulsions, disciplinary measures, and restrictions on political activity. These measures affected students' educational careers and political organization. At the same time, repression did not completely prevent student participation, and in some circumstances it contributed to the continuation of nationalist activity through alternative organizational networks.

CONCLUSION

The study of "Role of Students in the Indian National Movement" establishes that students played an important role in the growth and expansion of nationalist politics during the struggle against British colonial rule. Their participation became particularly visible during the major mass movements of the twentieth century and connected educational institutions with wider political and social developments. The study concludes that students participated in the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement through educational boycotts, demonstrations, strikes, Satyagraha, picketing, political meetings, promotion of Swadeshi and Khadi, distribution of nationalist literature, and underground activities. Their participation varied across regions and institutions but contributed to the wider mobilization of society. Educational institutions, student organizations, and youth associations provided important spaces for political discussion, organization, and the development of nationalist consciousness. Students were influenced by different political currents, including Gandhian nationalism, socialist ideas, communist thought, and revolutionary nationalism. This produced diverse forms of student activism during the freedom struggle.

The study further concludes that student activists faced colonial surveillance, censorship, arrests, expulsion, disciplinary action, and restrictions on political activities. Despite these challenges, students continued to participate in nationalist campaigns in many regions and, particularly during the Quit India Movement, became involved in decentralized and underground forms of resistance. Student participation also had significance beyond individual nationalist campaigns. Young people gained experience in political organization, public communication, leadership, collective action, and civic engagement. Their involvement contributed to the growth of political awareness among younger generations and strengthened the social and organizational dimensions of the national movement. At the same time, student participation was not

uniform. Region, caste, class, gender, educational background, institutional environment, and local political conditions influenced the nature and extent of activism. Recognizing these variations provides a more comprehensive understanding of the student movement.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The study of “Role of Students in the Indian National Movement” recommends that greater attention should be given to student participation in the teaching and research of modern Indian history. Students should be studied as active participants in nationalist politics rather than only as a supporting section of the freedom struggle. Educational institutions should include systematic study of student participation in the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and Quit India Movement. Primary sources such as student newspapers, contemporary journals, speeches, memoirs, organizational records, and government documents should be used to provide a source-based understanding of student activism. Universities and research institutions should undertake more regional and institutional studies of student participation. Detailed research on schools, colleges, universities, hostels, and student associations can help explain how educational institutions became centres of political awareness and nationalist organization.

Greater attention should be given to students from different social, economic, caste, gender, and regional backgrounds. Research on women students, rural students, students from smaller towns, and students from marginalized communities can provide a more inclusive account of student participation. Further research should examine the development of organized student politics and the influence of Gandhian, socialist, communist, and revolutionary ideas on young activists. Comparative studies can identify how different ideological influences shaped student organizations and political activities during different phases of the freedom movement. The role of students in underground activities during the Quit India Movement deserves greater archival investigation. Researchers should examine police records, educational documents, court proceedings, newspapers, private correspondence, and oral histories to document lesser-known student activists and local resistance networks.

Historical institutions and libraries should preserve and digitize student-related archival materials, including university records, student publications, correspondence, photographs, memoirs, and regional newspapers. Greater accessibility to these sources would support future scholarship. Comparative research on student participation in the Non-Cooperation, Civil Disobedience, and Quit India movements should also be encouraged to examine changes in political methods, organization, participation, and colonial responses.

REFERENCES

1. Rajimwale, Anil. *History of Student Movement in India: Origins and Development, 1920–1947*. New Delhi: Prakashan Sansthan.
2. Chandra, Bipan, Mridula Mukherjee, Aditya Mukherjee, K. N. Panikkar, and Sucheta Mahajan. *India's Struggle for Independence, 1857–1947*. New Delhi: Penguin Books India, 1988.
3. Sarkar, Sumit. *Modern India, 1885–1947*. New Delhi: Macmillan India, 1983.
4. Brown, Judith M. *Gandhi: Prisoner of Hope*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1989.
5. Nanda, B. R. *Mahatma Gandhi: A Biography*. London: George Allen & Unwin, 1958.
6. Majumdar, R. C. *History of the Freedom Movement in India, Vol. III*. Calcutta: Firma K. L. Mukhopadhyay, 1963.
7. Hardiman, David. *Gandhi in His Time and Ours: The Global Legacy of His Ideas*. New York: Columbia University Press, 2003.
8. Forbes, Geraldine. *Women in Modern India*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.

-
9. Gandhi, M. K. The Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi. New Delhi: Publications Division, Government of India.
 10. Government of India. Towards Freedom: Documents on the Movement for Independence in India, 1937–1947. New Delhi: Indian Council of Historical Research and Oxford University Press.