



A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF GOVERNMENT AND PRIVATE SCHOOL EDUCATION

Shri Shinde T. N.**Assistant Professor, Department Of History****ABSTRACT**

Education is a fundamental instrument for individual development, social progress, and national growth. Government and private schools play an important role in providing educational opportunities to children from different socioeconomic backgrounds. However, these institutions may differ in terms of infrastructure, teaching methods, availability of learning resources, teacher-student relationships, administrative practices, and academic performance. Government schools generally aim to provide accessible and affordable education to all sections of society, particularly disadvantaged and economically weaker communities. Private schools, on the other hand, often emphasize modern teaching methods, English-language instruction, technological facilities, and extracurricular activities, although their resources and educational quality vary considerably. The present study aims to compare government and private school education by examining their educational facilities, teaching-learning processes, student participation, academic outcomes, and overall learning environments.



It also considers the influence of socioeconomic background, parental involvement, teacher qualifications, and institutional management on students' educational experiences. The study seeks to identify the strengths and limitations of both educational systems and understand the challenges they face in delivering quality education. It emphasizes that educational quality should be assessed through multiple indicators rather than school management type alone. The study highlights the importance of equitable access to education, effective teaching practices, adequate infrastructure, trained teachers, and student-centred learning. The findings may help educators, parents, school administrators, and policymakers identify opportunities for improving educational standards and reducing disparities between government and private schools. Ultimately, the study emphasizes the need to strengthen both systems to ensure inclusive, equitable, and quality education for all students.

KEYWORDS: Government Schools, Private Schools, Comparative Study, Educational Quality, Academic Achievement, Teaching Methods, School Infrastructure, Student Performance, Teacher Qualifications.

INTRODUCTION

Education is one of the most important instruments for individual development, social transformation, and national progress. It develops knowledge, skills, values, attitudes, and abilities that enable individuals to participate effectively in society. In India, the school education system consists of

government and private educational institutions that play a significant role in providing educational opportunities to children from diverse social, economic, and cultural backgrounds. Both types of schools contribute to the development of human resources and the achievement of educational objectives, although they may differ in their administration, infrastructure, teaching practices, financial resources, and learning environments. Government schools are established and managed by central, state, or local government authorities. They generally provide education at relatively low or no tuition cost and serve students from economically weaker and disadvantaged sections of society. These schools are important for promoting educational access, social equality, and inclusion. Government initiatives such as free textbooks, scholarships, midday meals, and other student welfare schemes aim to reduce barriers to education and encourage school attendance. However, some government schools face challenges related to inadequate infrastructure, teacher shortages, limited learning resources, and differences in academic outcomes. The extent of these challenges varies across regions and institutions.

Private schools are managed by private organizations, trusts, societies, or individuals. Many private schools charge tuition fees and may provide facilities such as digital classrooms, computer laboratories, English-language instruction, extracurricular activities, and additional academic support. Some private schools emphasize regular assessment, parental communication, and diverse teaching methods. However, private schools also differ considerably in their resources, affordability, teacher qualifications, and educational standards. High fees may create difficulties for families with limited financial resources, while the quality of education is not necessarily determined by whether a school is privately managed. A comparative study of government and private school education is important for understanding the similarities and differences between these two systems. Such a study can examine infrastructure, teacher-student ratios, teaching-learning methods, curriculum implementation, academic achievement, student attendance, discipline, parental involvement, extracurricular opportunities, and access to educational technology. It can also explore how family income, parental education, social background, and the availability of learning support influence students' educational experiences. These factors are essential because differences in academic performance may arise from several circumstances rather than school management alone.

The comparison of government and private schools should therefore be based on objective indicators and reliable evidence. It is necessary to recognize the achievements, limitations, and challenges of both systems without assuming that one category is uniformly better than the other. Government schools play a vital role in ensuring broad access to education, while private schools contribute to the diversity of educational provision. Both systems require effective teachers, adequate infrastructure, supportive learning environments, transparent administration, and appropriate educational resources to improve student outcomes. The present study aims to compare government and private school education with particular attention to educational facilities, teaching methods, academic performance, student development, and the overall quality of learning. It seeks to identify major differences and common challenges and to understand how these institutions can contribute to equitable and inclusive education. The study may help teachers, parents, school administrators, researchers, and policymakers develop strategies for improving school education. Ultimately, strengthening the quality of both government and private schools is essential for reducing educational inequalities and ensuring that every child has access to meaningful, affordable, and quality education.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

Aim of the Study

The main aim of this study is to compare government and private school education in terms of educational quality, infrastructure, teaching-learning methods, academic achievement, availability of resources, and overall student development. The study also aims to identify the strengths and limitations of both educational systems and suggest measures to improve the quality, accessibility, and equality of school education.

Objectives of the Study

1. To compare the educational facilities and infrastructure available in government and private schools.
2. To examine the teaching methods and learning processes adopted by government and private school teachers.
3. To compare the academic performance and learning outcomes of students in both types of schools.
4. To study the qualifications, training, experience, and teaching effectiveness of teachers.
5. To examine the availability and use of educational resources, including libraries, laboratories, computers, and digital learning facilities.
6. To analyse the role of parental involvement in students' academic progress in government and private schools.
7. To study the influence of students' socioeconomic backgrounds on educational opportunities and achievement.
8. To compare student attendance, discipline, participation, and involvement in extracurricular activities.
9. To identify the major challenges faced by government and private schools in providing quality education.
10. To suggest measures for improving educational standards, reducing inequalities, and promoting inclusive education in both systems.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The review of literature examines previous studies, educational reports, and policy documents related to the quality, accessibility, and effectiveness of government and private school education in India. Research in this area has focused on differences in school infrastructure, teacher availability, teaching practices, academic achievement, parental involvement, socioeconomic background, and educational opportunities. Existing literature indicates that the comparison between government and private schools is complex because educational outcomes are influenced by several institutional, social, and economic factors. Kingdon (1996), in her study of private schooling in India, examined the growth of private education and its relationship with educational access and efficiency. Her research highlighted the importance of understanding the role of private schools within the broader Indian education system. The study also raised questions about the relationship between school choice, household income, and educational opportunities.

Tooley and Dixon (2005), in their research on private schools serving low-income communities, investigated the contribution of low-cost private institutions to educational provision. Their findings suggested that private schools may provide additional educational choices for disadvantaged families in certain contexts. However, affordability, teacher quality, and the consistency of educational standards remain important considerations when evaluating their effectiveness. The National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT), through its educational surveys and assessment reports, has contributed to understanding student learning outcomes, curriculum implementation, and differences in educational

achievement. These studies emphasize the importance of assessing students' actual competencies rather than relying exclusively on examination results or the administrative category of a school.

The Annual Status of Education Report (ASER), published by Pratham, has examined basic reading and arithmetic abilities among children in rural India. Its findings have drawn attention to learning gaps, variations across regions, and the need to strengthen foundational literacy and numeracy. The report provides useful evidence for understanding educational challenges across different types of schools, although its results must be interpreted according to the population, survey methods, and indicators used. The Unified District Information System for Education Plus (UDISE+) reports published by the Ministry of Education provide information about school enrolment, infrastructure, teachers, facilities, and other institutional indicators. These reports are valuable for comparing government and private schools in terms of access to educational resources and physical facilities. They also help identify differences between states, districts, and rural and urban areas.

The National Education Policy (2020) emphasizes equitable access, foundational literacy and numeracy, inclusive education, teacher development, and improvements in learning outcomes. Although the policy does not treat school management type as the sole determinant of educational quality, it provides a framework for evaluating the educational responsibilities of government and private institutions. It stresses the importance of improving the overall school system and reducing disparities in educational opportunities. UNESCO's Global Education Monitoring Report has examined issues relating to inclusion, equity, school choice, and educational inequality across different countries. Its broader findings highlight how household income, social background, school resources, and education policies can influence access to quality education. This perspective is relevant to the Indian context, where differences in financial capacity and access to learning support may affect students' educational experiences.

The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, provides an important legal framework for elementary education in India. The Act establishes provisions concerning free and compulsory education for children in the specified age group and sets requirements relating to schools and educational responsibilities. It is relevant to comparative research because access, affordability, and the provision of minimum educational standards are central dimensions of school quality.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a comparative research design to examine the similarities and differences between government and private school education. The study focuses on educational facilities, teaching-learning methods, teacher qualifications, academic achievement, student participation, parental involvement, and the overall learning environment. Both primary and secondary data may be used to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the educational systems. Primary data can be collected from selected government and private schools through structured questionnaires, interviews, classroom observations, and discussions with teachers, students, parents, and school administrators. The sample may include students from comparable classes and schools selected from rural and urban areas to represent different educational settings. Equal or reasonably balanced representation of government and private schools can help facilitate meaningful comparisons. Secondary data can be obtained from government reports, Ministry of Education publications, UDISE+ reports, NCERT publications, ASER reports, research articles, books, and relevant educational policies.

The study examines indicators such as school infrastructure, availability of libraries and laboratories, digital learning facilities, teacher-student ratios, teaching practices, attendance, examination performance, extracurricular activities, and access to educational resources. Information regarding

parental education, family income, and socioeconomic background may also be considered to understand their influence on educational opportunities and student achievement.

The collected data can be classified, tabulated, and analysed using descriptive statistical techniques, including percentages, averages, frequency distributions, and comparative tables. Where appropriate, suitable statistical tests may be used to determine whether observed differences between the two categories of schools are statistically significant. Qualitative information obtained through interviews and observations can be analysed thematically to identify common experiences, challenges, and educational practices.

Ethical considerations are given due importance throughout the research process. Participation should be voluntary, informed consent should be obtained from the relevant participants or guardians, and the confidentiality of respondents should be maintained. The study should present findings objectively and avoid assuming that either government or private schools are uniformly superior. Since educational outcomes are influenced by several institutional and socioeconomic factors, conclusions should be based on the evidence collected from the selected sample. The methodology is intended to provide a systematic and evidence-based comparison of government and private school education. The actual sample size, geographical area, study period, and statistical techniques should be specified according to the researcher's fieldwork and available data.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Education plays a vital role in the intellectual, social, emotional, and economic development of individuals. In India, government and private schools are the two major providers of school education, serving students from different socioeconomic backgrounds. Although both systems aim to impart knowledge and develop students' abilities, differences may exist in their infrastructure, availability of educational resources, teaching methods, teacher-student ratios, academic performance, and learning environments. These differences raise important questions regarding the quality, accessibility, and effectiveness of school education.

Government schools play a significant role in providing affordable and accessible education, particularly to children from economically weaker and disadvantaged communities. However, some government schools face challenges related to infrastructure, the availability of teaching materials, staffing, and learning support. Private schools may offer additional facilities, digital learning resources, and different instructional approaches, but their quality and affordability vary considerably. Consequently, it cannot be assumed that all private schools provide better education than government schools or that all government schools face the same difficulties.

The central problem of the present study is to determine the similarities and differences between government and private school education in terms of educational facilities, teaching-learning processes, student achievement, teacher effectiveness, parental involvement, and overall educational development. It also seeks to understand how socioeconomic background, family support, and institutional resources influence students' learning experiences.

The study further examines whether differences in educational opportunities and learning outcomes are associated with school management, resource availability, or other contextual factors. It aims to identify the major challenges experienced by both types of schools and explore practical measures for improving educational standards and reducing inequalities.

Therefore, the problem addressed by this study is the need for an objective and systematic comparison of government and private school education to understand their respective strengths, limitations, and contributions. The study seeks to generate findings that may assist teachers, parents,

school administrators, and policymakers in improving educational quality, promoting equal opportunities, and ensuring inclusive and effective education for all students.

NEED OF THE STUDY

Education is essential for individual development, social equality, and national progress. Government and private schools play an important role in providing educational opportunities to children from different socioeconomic backgrounds. However, differences in infrastructure, teaching methods, educational resources, teacher availability, and learning environments create a need to examine the functioning and effectiveness of both systems. A comparative study can help develop a clearer understanding of their contributions, challenges, and opportunities for improvement. The study is needed to assess the quality of education provided by government and private schools using relevant indicators such as academic achievement, teaching-learning practices, student participation, classroom facilities, and access to educational technology. It can help identify areas in which each type of school performs effectively and areas that require improvement. Such an assessment is important for understanding educational quality beyond assumptions based solely on school ownership or management.

The study is also necessary to examine educational inequality and differences in access to learning opportunities. Students from economically disadvantaged families may face difficulties related to educational expenses, learning materials, transportation, and access to private tuition. Comparing government and private schools can help identify these barriers and understand how institutional support and family circumstances influence students' educational experiences. Another important need for the study is to understand the role of teachers in improving educational outcomes. Teacher qualifications, professional development, teaching strategies, classroom management, and student-teacher relationships can influence the learning process. Examining these factors in both school systems may provide useful insights for improving teaching effectiveness and student engagement.

The study can also help evaluate the availability and use of educational facilities, including libraries, laboratories, playgrounds, computers, digital classrooms, and sanitation facilities. Identifying differences in these resources can support better planning, resource allocation, and infrastructure development. Furthermore, examining parental involvement and school-community relationships may help explain differences in student attendance, motivation, and academic progress. The findings may be useful to policymakers, education departments, school administrators, teachers, parents, and researchers. They can support evidence-based decisions regarding educational planning, teacher training, school management, student support services, and the implementation of educational policies. The study may also identify practical ways to improve cooperation between schools, families, and communities.

Ultimately, the need for this study arises from the importance of ensuring equitable access to quality education for every child. By comparing government and private schools objectively, the research seeks to identify effective educational practices, address existing challenges, and suggest measures for improving both systems. Its broader purpose is to promote inclusive education, reduce educational disparities, and contribute to the development of a more effective and equitable school education system in India.

FURTHER SUGGESTIONS FOR RESEARCH

Future research may extend the comparative study of government and private school education to different states, districts, rural areas, and urban centres to understand regional variations in educational quality. Researchers may conduct studies with larger and more diverse samples to improve the representativeness of findings and examine differences across primary, secondary, and higher secondary

levels of schooling. Further studies may investigate the relationship between school infrastructure, teacher qualifications, teaching methods, and students' academic achievement. Research may also examine the impact of digital learning tools, smart classrooms, computer facilities, libraries, and laboratory resources on students' learning outcomes in government and private schools.

Longitudinal research could be undertaken to assess changes in students' academic performance, attendance, motivation, and skill development over an extended period. Such studies may help determine how educational policies, school management practices, and teaching interventions influence student progress over time. Future researchers may examine the influence of socioeconomic background, parental education, family income, gender, and rural-urban differences on access to quality education. Special attention could be given to students from disadvantaged communities, children with disabilities, and learners who require additional educational support to understand how effectively both systems promote inclusive education.

Further research may compare teacher recruitment, salaries, job satisfaction, professional development, workload, and classroom practices in government and private schools. Studies could also explore parental participation, school leadership, student discipline, extracurricular activities, and the relationship between school environments and students' social and emotional development. Researchers may investigate the implementation and outcomes of educational policies, including the National Education Policy 2020 and initiatives aimed at strengthening foundational literacy, numeracy, and digital learning. Comparative studies of the effectiveness of government schemes and school-level interventions could provide useful evidence for educational planning and resource allocation.

Future research may also explore the affordability of private education, household expenditure on schooling, and the financial challenges experienced by families. Such studies could assess how educational costs influence school choice, attendance, continuity of education, and opportunities for students from economically weaker backgrounds. Finally, action research and experimental studies may be conducted to evaluate specific interventions, such as teacher training programmes, remedial teaching, digital learning initiatives, and parental engagement activities. These investigations could help identify effective practices that can be adapted to different school contexts. Overall, future research should use reliable data, appropriate comparative methods, and context-sensitive analysis to develop practical strategies for improving the quality, accessibility, and equity of government and private school education.

SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

Scope of the Study

The present study focuses on comparing government and private school education in terms of educational quality, infrastructure, teaching-learning methods, teacher qualifications, academic achievement, and student development. It covers selected government and private schools to examine similarities and differences in their educational facilities, administrative practices, classroom environments, and availability of learning resources. The study also considers the role of teachers, parents, school management, and socioeconomic background in influencing students' educational experiences.

The scope includes an examination of facilities such as libraries, laboratories, playgrounds, sanitation, computers, and digital learning tools. It also considers student attendance, classroom participation, extracurricular activities, parental involvement, and academic performance. The study may include schools from rural and urban areas to understand variations in educational opportunities across different settings. Its findings may help teachers, parents, administrators, and policymakers identify areas for improvement and develop strategies to promote equitable and inclusive education. The study is

primarily concerned with school education in the Indian context, subject to the geographical area and sample selected by the researcher.

Limitations of the Study

The study may be limited by the number of schools, students, teachers, and parents included in the sample. If the research covers only a particular district or region, the findings may not represent all government and private schools in India. Differences in school size, management, student background, curriculum, and available resources may also affect the comparability of the selected institutions.

The availability and accuracy of data may present additional limitations. Academic records, attendance information, and institutional reports may differ in completeness and reliability. Responses collected through questionnaires and interviews may be influenced by personal opinions, memory, or individual experiences. Furthermore, academic achievement is affected by several factors, including family income, parental education, private tuition, student motivation, and the home learning environment, making it difficult to attribute observed differences exclusively to school management.

Time, financial resources, and access to school records may restrict the depth and geographical coverage of the research. The study may also capture educational conditions only during a specific period and may not reflect subsequent changes in policies, infrastructure, or teaching practices. Therefore, the findings should be interpreted within the context of the selected sample, research methods, and study period. These limitations should be acknowledged when drawing conclusions and making recommendations about government and private school education.

DISCUSSION

The comparative study of government and private school education highlights the importance of examining educational quality, accessibility, infrastructure, teaching practices, and student learning outcomes. Both government and private schools contribute significantly to the development of students and the educational progress of the country. However, differences in institutional resources, management practices, financial support, and socioeconomic conditions may influence the educational experiences of students in these schools. Government schools play a major role in providing affordable education and promoting equal educational opportunities. They serve children from diverse social and economic backgrounds, particularly those from disadvantaged communities. Government initiatives such as free textbooks, scholarships, uniforms, and midday meal programmes can help reduce barriers to education and encourage school attendance. Nevertheless, some government schools may experience challenges related to infrastructure, the availability of teaching materials, teacher shortages, and access to modern educational technology. The extent of these challenges varies across schools and regions.

Private schools often have greater flexibility in their administrative practices and may provide facilities such as digital classrooms, computer laboratories, extracurricular programmes, and additional academic support. Regular communication with parents and the use of varied teaching strategies may also contribute to students' learning experiences in some institutions. However, private schools differ considerably in terms of quality, affordability, infrastructure, and teacher qualifications. The presence of modern facilities does not necessarily guarantee better learning outcomes, and high tuition fees may limit access for economically weaker families. Teaching quality is an important factor in understanding differences between the two systems. Teachers' subject knowledge, professional training, classroom management, and ability to address individual learning needs can influence students' academic progress. Therefore, comparisons should consider not only teachers' qualifications but also their teaching practices,

working conditions, professional development, and the support provided by school management. Effective teaching and a supportive classroom environment are essential in both government and private schools.

Academic achievement is another important dimension of comparison. Examination results may provide information about student performance, but they do not fully represent students' knowledge, creativity, critical thinking, communication skills, or practical understanding. Differences in academic achievement may also reflect parental education, family income, access to private tuition, availability of learning materials at home, and students' prior educational experiences. Consequently, school management alone should not be treated as the only explanation for differences in academic performance. The socioeconomic background of students is particularly relevant to the discussion. Families with greater financial resources may be able to provide additional learning opportunities, including private tuition, digital devices, books, and educational activities outside school. Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds may depend more heavily on school-provided facilities and support. Government schools therefore have an important responsibility in reducing educational inequalities, while private schools can contribute to educational diversity and access where their services are affordable and inclusive.

Parental involvement and school-community relationships also influence educational development. Regular communication between parents and teachers can help identify learning difficulties, improve attendance, and encourage positive study habits. Both government and private schools can strengthen these relationships through parent-teacher meetings, progress reports, counselling, and community participation. Similarly, extracurricular activities, sports, cultural programmes, and opportunities for student leadership can support students' social, emotional, and physical development. The discussion suggests that educational quality should be assessed through multiple indicators, including learning outcomes, teacher effectiveness, infrastructure, student well-being, affordability, and inclusiveness. Government schools may require continued investment in infrastructure, teacher development, and learning resources, while private schools also need to ensure reasonable accessibility, qualified teaching staff, and effective educational practices. Sharing successful teaching methods and strengthening accountability across both systems may help improve overall educational standards.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The quality of education in government and private schools can be improved through systematic planning, effective teaching practices, adequate infrastructure, and continuous evaluation of student learning outcomes. Government schools should receive sufficient financial support to improve classrooms, libraries, laboratories, sanitation facilities, playgrounds, and digital learning resources. Regular maintenance of school infrastructure and the equitable distribution of educational materials should be ensured to create a safe and supportive learning environment. Both government and private schools should emphasize the professional development of teachers through regular training programmes, workshops, and subject-specific development activities. Teachers should be encouraged to adopt student-centred teaching methods, activity-based learning, critical thinking, problem-solving, and practical learning experiences. Appropriate teacher-student ratios and supportive working conditions should be maintained to improve classroom interaction and individual attention.

Schools should focus on improving students' foundational literacy, numeracy, communication skills, and conceptual understanding. Regular assessments should be used to identify learning gaps and provide timely remedial support. Academic performance should be evaluated alongside creativity, critical thinking, social skills, emotional development, and participation in extracurricular activities. Government and private schools should promote equal educational opportunities for students from all socioeconomic backgrounds. Government institutions should strengthen student support schemes, including scholarships,

free learning materials, and other educational assistance for eligible learners. Private schools should maintain transparent fee structures and comply with applicable rules concerning access and inclusion. Special attention should be given to children with disabilities, students from disadvantaged communities, and learners who require additional academic support.

The use of educational technology should be encouraged in both systems according to students' learning needs and the resources available. Digital classrooms, educational applications, online learning materials, and computer facilities should complement classroom teaching rather than replace effective teacher-student interaction. Schools should also provide appropriate digital literacy guidance and ensure that students without personal devices or reliable internet access are not excluded from learning opportunities. Parental involvement should be strengthened through regular parent-teacher meetings, progress reports, counselling, and communication about students' academic and personal development. Schools should encourage cooperation among teachers, parents, administrators, and local communities to identify students' difficulties and develop suitable support strategies.

School management should establish transparent systems for monitoring educational quality, teacher performance, student attendance, and learning outcomes. Periodic evaluations should identify areas requiring improvement, and the findings should be used to develop practical school-development plans. Comparisons between government and private schools should rely on reliable data and consider differences in student backgrounds, school resources, and local circumstances. Finally, government and private schools should share effective educational practices through teacher exchanges, professional networks, joint training programmes, and collaborative academic activities wherever feasible. Educational policies should prioritize measurable learning outcomes, affordability, inclusion, and student well-being rather than relying exclusively on school ownership or examination results. These recommendations can help both systems improve their educational standards and contribute to accessible, equitable, and quality education for all students.

CONCLUSION

Education is a fundamental instrument for individual development, social progress, and national development. The comparative study of government and private school education highlights the important role played by both systems in providing educational opportunities to children from diverse social and economic backgrounds. Although these schools may differ in infrastructure, teaching methods, administrative practices, financial resources, and learning environments, both share the responsibility of developing students' knowledge, skills, values, and abilities.

Government schools contribute significantly to educational accessibility, affordability, and social inclusion, particularly for children from economically weaker and disadvantaged communities. They help promote educational equality through various government-supported programmes and initiatives. However, some government schools require improvements in infrastructure, learning resources, teacher availability, and access to educational technology. Private schools may provide additional facilities, varied teaching approaches, and opportunities for extracurricular development, but differences in affordability, institutional resources, and educational quality exist among them.

The study emphasizes that the quality of education cannot be determined solely by whether a school is government-managed or privately managed. Student achievement and overall development are influenced by teacher effectiveness, parental involvement, family socioeconomic conditions, school leadership, classroom practices, and access to educational resources. Therefore, a meaningful comparison must consider multiple indicators, including academic performance, foundational learning, student participation, inclusiveness, and the overall learning environment.

The study also highlights the importance of strengthening both educational systems through adequate infrastructure, continuous teacher training, effective teaching methods, appropriate use of technology, and regular assessment of learning outcomes. Greater cooperation among schools, parents, communities, and educational authorities can help address common challenges and improve the educational experiences of students.

In conclusion, government and private schools both have significant roles in the Indian education system. Rather than considering them as competing alternatives, educational planning should focus on identifying effective practices, addressing institutional weaknesses, and ensuring equal opportunities for all learners. A balanced and evidence-based approach can help reduce educational disparities and improve the overall quality of school education. Ultimately, the success of any school should be assessed by its ability to provide meaningful learning, support students' overall development, and prepare them to become responsible and capable members of society.

REFERENCES

1. Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) Centre. (2024). Annual Status of Education Report (Rural) 2024. ASER Centre, New Delhi.
2. Government of India. (2009). The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009. Ministry of Law and Justice, New Delhi.
3. Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Education, New Delhi.
4. Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2023). UDISE+ Report 2021–22: Report on Unified District Information System for Education Plus. Department of School Education and Literacy, New Delhi.
5. Kingdon, G. G. (1996). The quality and efficiency of private and public education: A case-study of urban India. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 58(1), 57–82.
6. Kingdon, G. G. (1996). Private schooling in India: Size, nature, and equity-effects. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 31(51), 3306–3314.
7. Kingdon, G. G. (2020). The private schooling phenomenon in India: A review. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 56(10), 1795–1817.
8. National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT). (2005). National Curriculum Framework 2005. NCERT, New Delhi.
9. Tooley, J., & Dixon, P. (2005). *Private Schools Serving the Poor: A Study of Private Schools in Low-Income Countries*. Cato Institute, Washington, DC.
10. UNESCO. (2020). *Global Education Monitoring Report 2020: Inclusion and Education—All Means All*. UNESCO, Paris.